arXiv:gr-qc/0309041v1 8 Sep 2003

Renormalizable Quantum Gauge General Relativity

Ning Wu *

Institute of High Energy Physics, P.O.Box 918-1, Beijing 100039, P.R.China

February 7, 2008

PACS Numbers: 11.15.-q, 04.60.-m, 04.20.Cv, 11.10.Gh.
Keywords: general relativity, gauge field, quantum gravity, renormalization.

Abstract

The quantum gauge general relativity is proposed in the framework of quantum gauge theory of gravity. It is formulated based on gauge principle which states that the correct symmetry for gravitational interactions should be gravitational gauge symmetry. The gravitational gauge group is studied in the paper. Then gravitational gauge interactions of pure gravitational gauge field is studied. It is found that the field equation of gravitational gauge field is just the Einstein's field equation. After that, the gravitational interactions of scalar field, Dirac field and vector fields are studied, and unifications of fundamental interactions are discussed. Path integral quantization of the theory is studied in the paper. The quantum gauge general relativity discussed in this paper is a perturbatively renormalizable quantum gravity, which is one of the most important advantage of the quantum gauge general relativity proposed in this paper. A strict proof on the renormalizability of the theory is also given in this paper. Another important advantage of the quantum gauge general

---

*email address: wuning@mail.ihep.ac.cn

relativity is that it can explain both classical tests of gravity and quantum effects of gravitational interactions, such as gravitational phase effects found in COW experiments and gravitational shielding effects found in Podkletnov experiments. For all classical effects of gravitational interactions, such as classical tests of gravity and cosmological model, quantum gauge general relativity gives out the same theoretical predictions as that of the Einstein's general relaitvity.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction
2. The Transcendental Foundations
3. Gravitational Gauge Group
4. Two Pictures of Gravity
5. Pure Gravitational Gauge fields
6. Gravitational Interactions of Scalar Fields
7. Gravitational Interactions of Dirac Field
8. Gravitational Interactions of Vector Field
9. GSU(N) Unification Model
10. Unification of Fundamental Interactions
11. Classical Limit of Quantum Gauge general Relativity
12. Path Integral Quantization of Gravitational Gauge Field
13. Renormalization
14. Classical Tests of Quantum Gauge General Relativity
15. Quantum Effects of Gravitational Interactions
16. Discussions

1 Introduction

In 1686, Isaac Newton published his book *MATHEMATICAL PRINCIPLES OF NATURAL PHILOSOPHY*. In this book, through studying the motion of planet in solar system, he found that gravity obeys the inverse square law and the magnitude of gravity is proportional to the mass of the object[1]. The Newton's classical theory of gravity is kept unchanged until 1916. At that year, Einstein proposed General Relativity[2, 3]. In this great work, he founded a relativistic theory on gravity, which is based on principle of general relativity and equivalence principle. Newton's classical theory of gravity appears as a classical limit of general relativity.

One of the biggest revolution in human kind in the last century is the foundation of quantum theory. The quantum hypothesis was first introduced into physics by Max Plank in 1900. In 1916, Albert Einstein points out that quantum effects must lead to modifications in the theory of general relativity[4]. Soon after the foundation of quantum mechanics, physicists try to found a theory that could describe the quantum behavior of the full gravitational field. In the 70 years attempts, physicists have found two theories based on quantum mechanics that attempt to unify general relativity and quantum mechanics, one is canonical quantum gravity and another is superstring theory. But for quantum field theory, there are different kinds of mathematical infinities that naturally occur in quantum descriptions of fields. These infinities should be removed by the technique of perturbative renormalization. However, the perturbative renormalization does not work for the quantization of Einstein's theory of gravity, especially in canonical quantum gravity. In superstring theory, in order to make perturbative renormalization to work, physicists have to introduce six extra dimensions. But up to now, none of the extra dimensions have been observed. To found a consistent theory that can unify general relativity and quantum mechanics is a long dream for physicists.

The "relativity revolution" and the "quantum revolution" are among the greatest successes of twentieth century physics, yet two theories appears to be fundamentally incompatible. General relativity remains a purely classical theory which describes the geometry of space and time as smooth and continuous, on the contrary, quantum mechanics divides everything into discrete quanta. The underlying theoretical incompatibility between two theories arises from the way that they treat the geometry of space and time. This situation makes some physicists still wonder whether quantum theory is a truly fundamental theory of Nature, or just a convenient description of some aspects of the microscopic world. Some physicists even consider the twentieth century as the century of the incomplete revolution. To set up a consistent quantum theory of gravity is considered to be the last challenge of quantum theory[5, 6]. In other words, combining general relativity with quantum mechanics is considered to be the last hurdle to be overcome in the "quantum revolution".

In 1921, H.Weyl introduced the concept of gauge transformation into physics[7, 8], which is one of the most important concepts in modern physics, though his original theory is not successful. Later, V.Fock, H.Weyl and W.Pauli found that quantum electrodynamics is a gauge invariant theory[9, 10, 11]. In 1954, Yang and Mills proposed non-Abelian gauge field theory[12]. This theory was soon applied to elementary particle physics. Unified electroweak theory[13, 14, 15] and quantum chromodynamics are all based on gauge field theory. The predictions of unified electroweak theory have been confirmed in a large number of experiments, and the intermediate gauge bosons $W^{\pm}$ and Z^0 which are predicted by unified electroweak model are also found in experiments. The $U(1)$ part of the unified electroweak model, quantum electrodynamics, now become one of the most accurate and best-tested theories of modern physics. All these achievements of gauge field theories suggest that gauge field theory is a fundamental theory that describes fundamental interactions in Nature. Now, it is generally believed that four kinds of fundamental interactions in Nature are all gauge interactions and they can be described by gauge field theory. From theoretical point of view, the principle of local gauge invariance plays a fundamental role in particle's interaction theory.

Gauge treatment of gravity was suggested immediately after the gauge theory birth itself[16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. In the traditional gauge treatment of gravity, Lorentz group is localized, and the gravitational field is not represented by gauge potential. It is represented by metric field. The theory has beautiful mathematical forms, but up to now, its renormalizability is not proved. In other words, it is conventionally considered to be non-renormalizable. There are also some other attempts to use Yang-Mills theory to reformulate quantum gravity[22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. In these new approaches, the importance of gauge fields is emphasized. Some physicists also try to use gauge potential to represent gravitational field, some suggest that we should pay more attention on translation group.

I will not talk too much on the history of quantum gravity and the incompatibilities between quantum mechanics and general relativity here. Materials on these subject can be widely found in literatures. Now we want to ask that, except for traditional canonical quantum gravity and superstring theory, whether exists another approach to set up a fundamental theory, in which general relativity and quantum mechanics are compatible? In this paper, a new approach is proposed to consistently unify general relativity and quantum mechanics.

In 1991, a completely new formulation of quantum gauge theory of gravity is proposed By N.Wu [27, 28, 29, 30]. In this new attempt, the gravitational interactions are treated as a kind of fundamental interactions in flat Minkowski space-time, not space-time geometry. So, in quantum gauge theory of gravity, the basic physical space-time is always flat. In this new approach, the unification of fundamental interactions can be formulated in a simple and beautiful way[31, 32, 33]. If we use the mass generation mechanism which is proposed in literature [34], we can propose a new theory on gravity which contains massive graviton and the introduction of massive graviton does not affect the strict local gravitational gauge symmetry of the Lagrangian and does not affect the traditional long-range gravitational force[35]. The existence of massive graviton will help us to understand the possible origin of dark energy and dark matter in the Universe. Quantum gauge theory of gravity can be used to explain quantum effects of gravitational interactions, such as gravitational phase effects in COW experiments[36, 37, 38], and the gravitational shielding effects in Podkletnov experiments[39, 40, 41, 42].

In this paper, the quantum gauge general relativity is studied in the frame work of quantum gauge theory of gravity. In all previous work of quantum gauge theory of gravity, a very simple Lagrangian for pure gravitational gauge field is selected, which is quite similar to that of ordinary $SU(N)$ gauge field. As is discussed in literature [30], the selection of the Lagrangian for pure gravitational gauge field is not unique, which is quite a special case in gauge field theory, which originates from the fact that many gravitational gauge invariant terms can be constructed from Minkowski metric, pure gravitational gauge field and the field strength of gravitational gauge field. Different selections of the Lagrangian for pure gravitational gauge field will result in different models of quantum gravity. In this paper, another selection of the Lagrangian for pure gravitational gauge field is adopted, that is, the scalar "curvature" R is selected to be the Lagrangian for pure gravitational gauge field. In this new quantum gauge model of gravity, one of the most interesting thing is that the field equation of the gravitational gauge field is just the Einstein's field equation. So, this model is called quantum gauge general relativity. In this paper, we try to give a systematic formulation of the quantum gauge general relativity. So, for the sake of integrity, we will repeat all important discussions in quantum gauge theory of gravity, so that those readers who are not familiar with quantum gauge theory of gravity can understand the whole paper without the help of other related literature. Some discussions are just copied from literature [30]. The difference between the model proposed in this paper and the model proposed in literature [30] is only that they have different Lagrangian for pure gravitational gauge field, and therefore that the dynamics for gravitational gauge field is different.

2 The Transcendental Foundations

It is known that action principle is one of the most important fundamental principle in quantum field theory. Action principle says that any quantum system is described by an action. The action of the system contains all interaction information, contains all information of the fundamental dynamics. The least of the action gives out the classical equation of motion of a field. Action principle is widely used in quantum field theory. We will accept it as one of the most fundamental principles in this new quantum gauge general relativity. The rationality of action principle will not be discussed here, but it is well know that the rationality of the action principle has already been tested by a huge amount of experiments. However, this principle is not a special principle for quantum gravity, it is a ubiquitous principle in quantum field theory. Quantum gravity discussed in this paper is a kind of quantum field theory, it's naturally to accept action principle as one of its fundamental principles.

We need a special transcendental principle to introduce quantum gravitational field, which should be the foundation of all kinds of fundamental interactions in Nature. This special principle is gauge principle. In order to introduce this important principle, let's first study some fundamental laws in the fundamental interactions other than gravitational interactions. We know that, except for gravitational interactions, there are strong interactions, electromagnetic interactions and weak interactions, which are described by quantum chromodynamics, quantum electrodynamics and unified electroweak theory respectively. Let's study these three fundamental interactions one by one.

Quantum electrodynamics (QED) is one of the most successful theory in physics which has been tested by most accurate experiments. Let's study some logic in QED. It is know that QED theory has $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. According to Noether's theorem, there is a conserved charge corresponding to the global $U(1)$ gauge transformations. This conserved charge is just the ordinary electric charge. On the other hand, in order to keep local $U(1)$ gauge symmetry of the system, we had to introduce a $U(1)$ gauge field, which transmits electromagnetic interactions. This $U(1)$ gauge field is just the well-know electromagnetic field. The electromagnetic interactions between charged particles and the dynamics of electromagnetic field are completely determined by the requirement of local $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. The source of this electromagnetic field is just the conserved charge which is given by Noether's theorem. After quantization of the field, this conserved charge becomes the generator of the quantum $U(1)$ gauge transformations. The quantum $U(1)$ gauge transformation has only one generator, it has no generator other than the quantum electric charge.

Quantum chromodynamics (QCD) is a prospective fundamental theory for strong interactions. QCD theory has $SU(3)$ gauge symmetry. The global $SU(3)$ gauge symmetry of the system gives out conserved charges of the theory, which are usually called color charges. The local $SU(3)$ gauge symmetry of the system requires introduction of a set of $SU(3)$ non-Abelian gauge fields, and the dynamics of non-Abelian gauge fields and the strong interactions between color charged particles and gauge fields are completely determined by the requirement of local $SU(3)$ gauge symmetry of the system. These $SU(3)$ non-Abelian gauge fields are usually call gluon fields. The sources of gluon fields are color charges. After quantization, these color charges become generators of quantum $SU(3)$ gauge transformation. Something which is different from $U(1)$ Abelian gauge symmetry is that gauge fields themselves carry color charges.

Unified electroweak model is the fundamental theory for electroweak interactions. Unified electroweak model is usually called the standard model. It has $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ symmetry. The global $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge symmetry of the system also gives out conserved charges of the system, The requirement of local $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge symmetry needs introducing a set of $SU(2)$ non-Abelian gauge fields and one $U(1)$ Abelian gauge field. These gauge fields transmit weak interactions and electromagnetic interactions, which correspond to intermediate gauge bosons $W^{\pm}$, Z^0 and photon. The sources of these gauge fields are just the conserved Noether charges. After quantization, these conserved charges become generators of quantum $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge transformation.

QED, QCD and the standard model are three fundamental theories for three kinds of fundamental interactions. Now we need to summarize some fundamental laws of Nature on interactions. Let's first ruminate over above discussions. Then we will find that our formulations on three different fundamental interaction theories are almost completely the same, that is the global gauge symmetry of the system gives out conserved Noether charges, in order to keep local gauge symmetry of the system, we have to introduce gauge field or a set of gauge fields, these gauge fields transmit interactions, the source of these gauge fields are the conserved charges, and these conserved Noether charges become generators of quantum gauge transformations after quantization. These will be the main content of gauge principle.

Before we formulate gauge principle formally, we need to study something more on symmetry. It is know that not all symmetries can be localized, and not all symmetries can be regarded as gauge symmetries and have corresponding gauge fields. For example, time reversal symmetry, space reflection symmetry, $\cdots$ are those kinds of symmetries. We can not find any gauge fields or interactions which correspond to these symmetries. It suggests that symmetries can be divided into two different classes in nature. Gauge symmetry is a special kind of symmetry which has the following properties: 1) it can be localized; 2) it has some conserved charges related to it; 3) it has a kind of interactions related to it; 4) it is usually a continuous symmetry. This symmetry can completely determine the dynamical behavior of a kind of fundamental interactions. For the sake of simplicity, we call this kind of symmetry dynamical symmetry or gauge symmetry. Any kind of fundamental interactions has a gauge symmetry corresponding to it. In QED, the $U(1)$ symmetry is a gauge symmetry, in QCD, the color $SU(3)$ symmetry is a gauge symmetry and in the standard model, the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ symmetry is also a gauge symmetry. The gravitational gauge symmetry which we will discuss below is also a kind of gauge symmetry. The time reversal symmetry and space reflection symmetry are not gauge symmetries. Those global symmetries which can not be localized are not gauge symmetries either. Gauge symmetry is a fundamental concept for gauge principle.

Gauge principle can be formulated as follows: Any kind of fundamental interactions has a gauge symmetry corresponding to it; the gauge symmetry completely determines the forms of interactions. In principle, the gauge principle has the following four different contents:

1. **Conservation Law:** the global gauge symmetry gives out conserved current and conserved charge;

2. **Interactions:** the requirement of the local gauge symmetry requires introduction of gauge field or a set of gauge fields; the interactions between gauge fields and matter fields are completely determined by the requirement of local gauge symmetry; these gauge fields transmit the corresponding interactions;

3. **Source:** qualitative speaking, the conserved charge given by global gauge symmetry is the source of gauge field; for non-Abel gauge field, gauge field is also the source of itself;

4. **Quantum Transformation:** the conserved charges given by global gauge symmetry become generators of quantum gauge transformation after quantization, and for this kind of interactions, the quantum transformation can not have generators other than quantum conserved charges given by global gauge symmetry.

It is known that conservation law is the objective origin of gauge symmetry, so gauge symmetry is the exterior exhibition of the interior conservation law. The conservation law is the law that exists in fundamental interactions, so fundamental interactions are the logic precondition and foundation of the conservation law. Gauge principle tells us how to study conservation law and fundamental interactions through symmetry. Gauge principle is one of the most important transcendental fundamental principles for all kinds of fundamental interactions in Nature; it reveals the common nature of all kinds of fundamental interactions in Nature. It is also the transcendental foundation of the quantum gravity which is formulated in this paper. It will help us to select the gauge symmetry for quantum gravitational theory and help us to determine the Lagrangian of the system. In a meaning, we can say that without gauge principle, we can not set up this new renormalizable quantum gauge general relativity.

Another transcendental principle that widely used in quantum field theory is the microscopic causality principle. The central idea of the causality principle is that any changes in the objective world have their causation. Quantum field theory is a relativistic theory. It is known that, in the special theory of relativity, the limit spread speed is the speed of light. It means that, in a definite reference system, the limit spread speed of the causation of some changes is the speed of light. Therefore, the special theory of relativity exclude the possibility of the existence of any kinds of non-local interactions in a fundamental theory. Quantum field theory inherits this basic idea and calls it the microscopic causality principle. There are several expressions of the microscopic causality principle in quantum field theory. One expression says that two events which happen at the same time but in different space position are two independent events. The mathematical formulation for microscopic causality principle is that

$$[O_1(\vec{x},t) \ \ , \ \ O_2(\vec{y},t)] = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

when $\vec{x} \neq \vec{y}$. In the above relation, $O_1(\vec{x},t)$ and $O_2(\vec{y},t)$ are two different arbitrary local bosonic operators. Another important expression of the microscopic causality principle is that, in the Lagrangian of a fundamental theory, all operators appear in the same point of space-time. Gravitational interactions are a kind of physical interactions, the fundamental theory of gravity should also obey microscopic causality principle. This requirement is realized in the construction of the Lagrangian for gravity. We will require that all field operators in the Lagrangian should be at the same point of space-time.

Because quantum field theory is a kind of relativistic theory, it should obey some fundamental principles of the special theory of relativity, such as principle of special relativity and principle of invariance of light speed. These two principles conventionally exhibit themselves through Lorentz invariance. So, in constructing the Lagrangian of the quantum theory of gravity, we require that it should have global Lorentz invariance. This is also a transcendental requirement for the quantum theory of gravity. But what we treat here that is different from that of the traditional quantum gravity is that we do not localize Lorentz transformation. Because gauge principle forbids us to localize Lorentz transformation, asks us only to localize gravitational gauge transformation. We will discuss this topic in details later. However, it is important to remember that global Lorentz invariance of the Lagrangian is a fundamental requirement. The requirement of global Lorentz invariance can also be treated as a transcendental principle of the quantum theory of gravity.

It is widely known that the *priori* foundations of general relativity is the equivalence principle and the principle of general covariance[2, 3, 43, 44]. In the classical general relativity, it was generally believed that the fundamental laws of nature should be invariant or covariant under a general transformation of reference frame. In general relativity, the equivalence principle tells us the existence of a local inertial reference frame and the fundamental laws in the local inertial reference frame which are the same as those in inertial reference system. Then, after a general transformation of reference frame, the fundamental laws of nature in arbitrary reference frame or in arbitrary curved space-time can be obtained. However, this point of view is criticized in the literature [45]. In literature [45], it is argued that almost all basic physical equations of nature are not invariant or covariant under the most general transformations of reference frame. In other words, almost all basic physical equations in general relativity will change their forms under the transformation from the local inertial reference frame to a curved space-time. So, if we deduce basic physical equations from a local inertial reference frame by a transformation of reference frame as dictated by equivalence principle and the principle of general covariance, what we obtained are different from those in general relativity. Therefore, the equivalence principle and the principle of general covariance are not real *priori* foundations of general relativity. So, the new renormalizable quantum gauge general relativity will not be constructed based on these two principles. We will find that gauge principle is enough for us to set up a self-consistent quantum gauge general relativity.

Though we will not use the logic of classical general relativity in the formulation of quantum gauge general relativity, we should not forget the principle of general covariance. It should be stated that, in the new quantum gauge theory of gravity, the principle of general covariance appears in another way, that is, it realized itself through local gravitational gauge symmetry. From mathematical point of view, the local gravitational gauge invariance is just the general covariance in general relativity. In this case, the general transformation in the principle of general covariance can not be as big as in classical general relativity, for it can not be the most general transformation of reference frame, can only be the first kind of general transformation of reference frame[45]. In other words, the symmetry for gravitational interactions is much smaller than that in general relativity. In the new quantum gauge general relativity, the equivalence principle plays no role. In other words, we will not accept the equivalence principle as a transcendental principle of the new quantum gauge general relativity, for gauge principle is enough for us to construct quantum gauge general relativity. In fact, there are some essential difficulties in using the equivalence principle as a transcendental principle in quantum gauge general relativity. It is known that, in quantum field theory, both inertial mass and gravitational mass are not fundamental quantities. In quantum field theory, mass is a paranotion from conservative energy-momentum tensor. In other words, mass is not a transcendental concepts in quantum field theory. So, it can not be a constituent of a transcendental principle, for all constituents of a transcendental principle should be transcendental. On the other hand, inertial energy momentum tensor and the gravitational energy-momentum tensor have different origins, if gravity is considered, they are essentially different. It is generally believed that the transcendental principles should reveal the most deepest essentially relationship of objective laws of nature, not only a superficial quantitative relationship of some quantities. And in a meaning, equivalence principle has a meaning of quantitative relation of two physical quantities, so it is not suitable to be a transcendental principle of a fundamental theory. In all, in quantum gauge general relativity, the equivalence principle has no position.

3 Gravitational Gauge Group

Before we start our mathematical formulation of quantum gauge general relativity, we have to determine which group is the symmetry of gravitational interactions, which is the starting point of the whole theory. It is know that, in the traditional gauge theory of gravity, Lorentz group is localized. We will not follow this way, for it contradicts with gauge principle. Now, we use gauge principle to determine which group is the exact group for quantum gauge general relativity.

Some of the most important properties of gravity can be obtained by studying classical Newton's gravity. In the classical Newton's theory of gravity, the gravitational force between two point objects is given by:

$$f = G\frac{m_1 m_2}{r^2} \tag{3.1}$$

with m_1 and m_2 masses of two objects, r the distance between two objects. So, gravity is proportional to the masses of both objects, in other words, mass is the source of gravitational field. In general relativity, the Einstein's field equation is the equation which gives out the relation between energy-momentum tensor and space-time curvature, which is essentially the relation between energy-momentum tensor and gravitational field. In the Einstein's field equation, energy-momentum is treated as the source of gravity. This points of view is inherited in the quantum gauge general relativity. In other words, the starting point of the new quantum gauge general relativity is that the energy-momentum is the source of gravitational field. According to rule 3 and rule 1 of gauge principle, we know that, energy-momentum should be the conserved charges of the corresponding global symmetry, which is just the symmetry for gravity. According to quantum field theory, energy-momentum is the conserved charge of global space-time translation, the corresponding conserved current is energy-momentum tensor. Therefore, the global space-time translation is the global gravitational gauge transformation. According to rule 4, we know that, after quantization, the energy-momentum operator becomes the generator of gravitational gauge transformation. It also states that, except for energy-momentum operator, there is no other generator for gravitational gauge transformation, such as, angular momentum operator $M_{\mu\nu}$ can not be the generator of gravitational gauge transformation. This is the reason why we do not localize Lorentz transformation in the quantum gauge general relativity, for the generator of Lorentz transformation is not energy-momentum operator. According to rule 2 of gauge principle, the gravitational interactions will be completely determined by the requirement of the local gravitational gauge symmetry. These are the basic ideas of the new quantum gauge general relativity, and they are completely deductions of gauge principle.

We know that the generator of Lorentz group is angular momentum operator $M_{\mu\nu}$. If we localize Lorentz group, according to gauge principle, angular momentum will become source of a new filed, which transmits direct spin interactions. This kind of interactions does not belong to traditional Newton-Einstein gravity. It is a new kind of interactions. Up to now, we do not know whether this kind of interactions exists in Nature or not. Besides, spin-spin interaction is a kind of non-renormalizable interaction. In other words, a quantum theory which contains spin-spin interaction is a non-renormalizable quantum theory. For these reasons, we will not localize Lorentz group in this paper. We only localize translation group in this paper. We will find that go along this way, we can set up a consistent quantum gauge general relativity which is perturbatively renormalizable. In other words, only localizing space-time translation group is enough for us to set up a consistent quantum gravity.

From above discussions, we know that, from mathematical point of view, gravitational gauge transformation is the inverse transformation of space-time translation, and gravitational gauge group is space-time translation group. Suppose that there is an arbitrary function $\phi(x)$ of space-time coordinates x^μ. The global space-time translation is:

$$x^\mu \to x'^\mu = x^\mu + \epsilon^\mu. \tag{3.2}$$

The corresponding transformation for function $\phi(x)$ is

$$\phi(x) \to \phi'(x') = \phi(x) = \phi(x' - \epsilon). \tag{3.3}$$

According to Taylor series expansion, we have:

$$\phi(x-\epsilon) = \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \epsilon^{\mu_1} \cdots \epsilon^{\mu^n} \partial_{\mu_1} \cdots \partial_{\mu_n}\right) \phi(x), \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\partial_{\mu_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu_i}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Let's define a special exponential operation here. Define

$$E^{a^\mu \cdot b_\mu} \overset{\triangle}{=} 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} a^{\mu_1} \cdots a^{\mu_n} \cdot b_{\mu_1} \cdots b_{\mu_n}. \tag{3.6}$$

This definition is quite different from that of ordinary exponential function. In general cases, operators a^μ and b_μ do not commutate each other, so

$$E^{a^\mu \cdot b_\mu} \neq E^{b_\mu \cdot a^\mu}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$E^{a^\mu \cdot b_\mu} \neq e^{a^\mu \cdot b_\mu}, \tag{3.8}$$

where $e^{a^\mu \cdot b_\mu}$ is the ordinary exponential function whose definition is

$$e^{a^\mu \cdot b_\mu} \equiv 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (a^{\mu_1} \cdot b_{\mu_1}) \cdots (a_{\mu_n} \cdot b_{\mu_n}). \tag{3.9}$$

If operators a^μ and b_μ commutate each other, we will have

$$E^{a^\mu \cdot b_\mu} = E^{b_\mu \cdot a^\mu}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$E^{a^\mu \cdot b_\mu} = e^{a^\mu \cdot b_\mu}. \tag{3.11}$$

The translation operator $\hat{U}_\epsilon$ can be defined by

$$\hat{U}_\epsilon \equiv 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \epsilon^{\mu_1} \cdots \epsilon^{\mu_n} \partial_{\mu_1} \cdots \partial_{\mu_n}. \tag{3.12}$$

Then we have

$$\phi(x-\epsilon) = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \phi(x)). \tag{3.13}$$

In order to have a good form which is similar to ordinary gauge transformation operators, the form of $\hat{U}_\epsilon$ can also be written as

$$\hat{U}_\epsilon = E^{-i\epsilon^\mu \cdot \hat{P}_\mu}, \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$\hat{P}_\mu = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}. \tag{3.15}$$

$\hat{P}_\mu$ is just the energy-momentum operator in space-time coordinate space. In the definition of $\hat{U}_\epsilon$ given by eq.(3.14), ϵ^μ can be independent of space-time coordinate or a function of space-time coordinate, in a ward, it can be an arbitrary function of space time coordinate x^μ.

Some operation properties of the translation operator $\hat{U}_\epsilon$ are summarized below.

1. Operator $\hat{U}_\epsilon$ translate the space-time point of a field from x to $x-\epsilon$,

$$\phi(x-\epsilon) = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \phi(x)), \tag{3.16}$$

   where ϵ^μ can be any function of space-time coordinate. This relation can also be regarded as the definition of the translation operator $\hat{U}_\epsilon$.

2. If ϵ is a function of space-time coordinate, that is $\partial_\mu \epsilon^\nu \neq 0$, then

$$\hat{U}_\epsilon = E^{-i\epsilon^\mu \cdot \hat{P}_\mu} \neq E^{-i\hat{P}_\mu \cdot \epsilon^\mu}, \tag{3.17}$$

   and

$$\hat{U}_\epsilon = E^{-i\epsilon^\mu \cdot \hat{P}_\mu} \neq e^{-i\epsilon^\mu \cdot \hat{P}_\mu}. \tag{3.18}$$

   If ϵ is a constant, that is $\partial_\mu \epsilon^\nu = 0$, then

$$\hat{U}_\epsilon = E^{-i\epsilon^\mu \cdot \hat{P}_\mu} = E^{-i\hat{P}_\mu \cdot \epsilon^\mu}, \tag{3.19}$$

   and

$$\hat{U}_\epsilon = E^{-i\epsilon^\mu \cdot \hat{P}_\mu} = e^{-i\epsilon^\mu \cdot \hat{P}_\mu}. \tag{3.20}$$

3. Suppose that $\phi_1(x)$ and $\phi_2(x)$ are two arbitrary functions of space-time coordinate, then we have

$$\Big(\hat{U}_\epsilon(\phi_1(x)\cdot\phi_2(x))\Big) = \Big(\hat{U}_\epsilon\phi_1(x)\Big)\cdot\Big(\hat{U}_\epsilon\phi_2(x)\Big) \tag{3.21}$$

4. Suppose that A^μ and B_μ are two arbitrary operators in Hilbert space, λ is an arbitrary ordinary c-number which is commutate with operators A^μ and B_μ, then we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} E^{\lambda A^\mu \cdot B_\mu} = A^\mu \cdot E^{\lambda A^\mu \cdot B_\mu} \cdot B_\mu. \tag{3.22}$$

5. Suppose that ϵ is an arbitrary function of space-time coordinate, then

$$(\partial_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon) = -i(\partial_\mu \epsilon^\nu)\hat{U}_\epsilon \hat{P}_\nu. \tag{3.23}$$

6. Suppose that A^μ and B_μ are two arbitrary operators in Hilbert space, then

$$tr(E^{A^\mu \cdot B_\mu} E^{-B_\mu \cdot A^\mu}) = tr\mathbf{I}, \tag{3.24}$$

where tr is the trace operation and $\mathbf{I}$ is the unit operator in the Hilbert space.

7. Suppose that A^μ, B_μ and C^μ are three operators in Hilbert space, but operators A^μ and C^ν commutate each other:

$$[A^\mu \quad , \quad C^\nu] = 0, \tag{3.25}$$

then

$$tr(E^{A^\mu \cdot B_\mu} E^{B_\nu \cdot C^\nu}) = tr(E^{(A^\mu + C^\mu) \cdot B_\mu}). \tag{3.26}$$

8. Suppose that A^μ, B_μ and C^μ are three operators in Hilbert space, they satisfy

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [A^\mu \quad , \quad C^\nu] & = & 0, \\ [B_\mu \quad , \quad C^\nu] & = & 0, \end{array} \tag{3.27}$$

then

$$E^{A^\mu \cdot B_\mu} E^{C^\nu \cdot B_\nu} = E^{(A^\mu + C^\mu) \cdot B_\mu}. \tag{3.28}$$

9. Suppose that A^μ, B_μ and C^μ are three operators in Hilbert space, they satisfy

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [A^\mu \quad , \quad C^\nu] & = & 0, \\ [[B_\mu \quad , \quad C^\nu] \quad , \quad A^\rho] & = & 0, \\ [[B_\mu \quad , \quad C^\nu] \quad , \quad C^\rho] & = & 0, \end{array} \tag{3.29}$$

then,

$$E^{A^\mu \cdot B_\mu} E^{C^\nu \cdot B_\nu} = E^{(A^\mu + C^\mu) \cdot B_\mu} + [E^{A^\mu \cdot B_\mu} \quad , \quad C^\sigma] E^{C^\nu \cdot B_\nu} B_\sigma. \tag{3.30}$$

10. Suppose that $\hat{U}_{\epsilon_1}$ and $\hat{U}_{\epsilon_2}$ are two arbitrary translation operators, define

$$\hat{U}_{\epsilon_3} = \hat{U}_{\epsilon_2} \cdot \hat{U}_{\epsilon_1}, \tag{3.31}$$

then,

$$\epsilon_3^\mu(x) = \epsilon_2^\mu(x) + \epsilon_1^\mu(x - \epsilon_2(x)). \tag{3.32}$$

This property means that the product of two translation operator satisfy closure property, which is one of the conditions that any group must satisfy.

11. Suppose that $\hat{U}_\epsilon$ is a non-singular translation operator, then

$$\hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1} = E^{i\epsilon^\mu(f(x))\cdot\hat{P}_\mu}, \tag{3.33}$$

where $f(x)$ is defined by the following relations:

$$f(x-\epsilon(x)) = x. \tag{3.34}$$

$\hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}$ is the inverse operator of $\hat{U}_\epsilon$, so

$$\hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}\hat{U}_\epsilon = \hat{U}_\epsilon\hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1} = \mathbf{1}, \tag{3.35}$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit element of the gravitational gauge group.

12. The product operation of translation also satisfies associative law. Suppose that $\hat{U}_{\epsilon_1}$, $\hat{U}_{\epsilon_2}$ and $\hat{U}_{\epsilon_3}$ are three arbitrary translation operators, then

$$\hat{U}_{\epsilon_3}\cdot(\hat{U}_{\epsilon_2}\cdot\hat{U}_{\epsilon_1}) = (\hat{U}_{\epsilon_3}\cdot\hat{U}_{\epsilon_2})\cdot\hat{U}_{\epsilon_1}. \tag{3.36}$$

13. Suppose that $\hat{U}_\epsilon$ is an arbitrary translation operator and $\phi(x)$ is an arbitrary function of space-time coordinate, then

$$\hat{U}_\epsilon\phi(x)\hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1} = \phi(x-\epsilon(x)). \tag{3.37}$$

This relation is quite useful in the discussions of gravitational gauge symmetry.

14. Suppose that $\hat{U}_\epsilon$ is an arbitrary translation operator. Define

$$\Lambda^\alpha{}_\beta = \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial(x-\epsilon(x))^\beta}, \tag{3.38}$$

$$\Lambda_\alpha{}^\beta = \frac{\partial(x-\epsilon(x))^\beta}{\partial x^\alpha}. \tag{3.39}$$

They satisfy

$$\Lambda_\alpha{}^\mu\Lambda^\alpha{}_\nu = \delta^\mu_\nu, \tag{3.40}$$

$$\Lambda_\mu{}^\alpha\Lambda^\nu{}_\alpha = \delta^\nu_\mu. \tag{3.41}$$

Then we have following relations:

$$\hat{U}_\epsilon\hat{P}_\alpha\hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1} = \Lambda^\beta{}_\alpha\hat{P}_\beta, \tag{3.42}$$

$$\hat{U}_\epsilon \mathrm{d}x^\alpha\hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1} = \Lambda_\beta{}^\alpha \mathrm{d}x^\beta, \tag{3.43}$$

which give out the the transformation laws of $\hat{P}_\alpha$ and $\mathrm{d}x^\alpha$ under local gravitational gauge transformations.

Gravitational gauge group (GGG) is a transformation group which consists of all non-singular translation operators $\hat{U}_\epsilon$. We can easily see that gravitational gauge group is indeed a group, for

1. the product of two arbitrary non-singular translation operators is also a non-singular translation operator, which is also an element of the gravitational gauge group. So, the product of the group satisfies closure property which is expressed in eq(3.31);
2. the product of the gravitational gauge group also satisfies the associative law which is expressed in eq(3.36);
3. the gravitational gauge group has its unit element **1**, which satisfies
$$\mathbf{1} \cdot \hat{U}_\epsilon = \hat{U}_\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{1} = \hat{U}_\epsilon; \tag{3.44}$$
4. every non-singular element $\hat{U}_\epsilon$ has its inverse element which is given by eqs(3.33) and (3.35).

According to gauge principle, the gravitational gauge group is the symmetry of gravitational interactions. The global invariance of gravitational gauge transformation will give out conserved charges which is just the ordinary inertial energy-momentum; the requirement of local gravitational gauge invariance needs introducing gravitational gauge field, and gravitational interactions are completely determined by the local gravitational gauge invariance.

The generators of gravitational gauge group is just the energy-momentum operators $\hat{P}_\alpha$. This is required by gauge principle. It can also be seen from the form of infinitesimal transformations. Suppose that ϵ is an infinitesimal quantity, then we have

$$\hat{U}_\epsilon \simeq 1 - i\epsilon^\alpha \hat{P}_\alpha. \tag{3.45}$$

Therefore,

$$i\frac{\partial \hat{U}_\epsilon}{\partial \epsilon^\alpha} \mid_{\epsilon=0} \tag{3.46}$$

gives out generators $\hat{P}_\alpha$ of gravitational gauge group. It is known that generators of gravitational gauge group commute each other

$$[\hat{P}_\alpha \quad , \quad \hat{P}_\beta] = 0. \tag{3.47}$$

However, the commutation property of generators does not mean that gravitational gauge group is an Abelian group, because two general elements of gravitational gauge group do not commute:

$$[\hat{U}_{\epsilon_1} \quad , \quad \hat{U}_{\epsilon_2}] \neq 0. \tag{3.48}$$

Gravitational gauge group is a kind of non-Abelian gauge group. The non-Able nature of gravitational gauge group will cause self-interactions of gravitational gauge field.

In order to avoid confusion, we need to pay some attention to some differences between two concepts: space-time translation group and gravitational gauge group. Generally speaking, space-time translation is a kind of coordinates transformation, that is, the objects or fields in space-time are fixed while the space-time coordinates that describe the motion of objective matter undergo transformation. But gravitational gauge transformation is a kind of system transformation rather than a kind of coordinates transformation. In system transformation, the space-time coordinate system is fixed while objects or fields undergo transformation. From mathematical point of view, space-time translation and gravitational gauge transformation are essentially the same, and the space-time translation is the inverse transformation of the gravitational gauge transformation; but from physical point of view, space-time translation and gravitational gauge transformation are quite different, especially when we discuss gravitational gauge transformation of gravitational gauge field. For gravitational gauge field, its gravitational gauge transformation is not the inverse transformation of its space-time translation. In a meaning, space-time translation is a kind of mathematical transformation, which contains little dynamical information of interactions; while gravitational gauge transformation is a kind of physical transformation, which contains all dynamical information of interactions and is convenient for us to study physical interactions. Through gravitational gauge symmetry, we can determine the whole gravitational interactions among various kinds of fields. This is the reason why we do not call gravitational gauge transformation space-time translation. This is important for all of our discussions on gravitational gauge transformations of various kinds of fields.

Suppose that $\phi(x)$ is an arbitrary scalar field. Its gravitational gauge transformation is

$$\phi(x) \to \phi'(x) = (\hat{U}_{\epsilon} \phi(x)). \tag{3.49}$$

Similar to ordinary $SU(N)$ non-Abelian gauge field theory, there are two kinds of scalars. For example, in chiral perturbative theory, the ordinary π mesons are scalar fields, but they are vector fields in isospin space. Similar case exists in gravitational gauge field theory. A Lorentz scalar can be a scalar or a vector or a tensor in the space of gravitational gauge group. For the sake of simplicity, we call this space gravitational gauge space or gravitational gauge Lie algebra. If $\phi(x)$ is a scalar in the gravitational gauge space, we just simply denote it as $\phi(x)$ in gauge group space. If it is a vector in the gravitational gauge space, it can be expanded in the gravitational gauge space in the following way:

$$\phi(x) = \phi^{\alpha}(x) \cdot \hat{P}_{\alpha}. \tag{3.50}$$

The transformation of component field is

$$\phi^{\alpha}(x) \to \phi'^{\alpha}(x) = \Lambda^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} \hat{U}_{\epsilon} \phi^{\beta}(x) \hat{U}_{\epsilon}^{-1}. \tag{3.51}$$

The important thing that we must remember is that, the α index is not a Lorentz index, it is just a group index. For gravitation gauge group, it is quite special that a group index looks like a Lorentz index. We must be carefully on this important thing. This will cause some fundamental changes on quantum gravity. Lorentz scalar $\phi(x)$ can also be a tensor in gauge group space. suppose that it is a nth order tensor in gauge group space, then it can be expanded as

$$\phi(x) = \phi^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n}(x) \cdot \hat{P}_{\alpha_1} \cdots \hat{P}_{\alpha_n}. \tag{3.52}$$

The transformation of component field is

$$\phi^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n}(x) \to \phi'^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n}(x) = \Lambda^{\alpha_1}{}_{\beta_1} \cdots \Lambda^{\alpha_n}{}_{\beta_n} \hat{U}_{\epsilon} \phi^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_n}(x) \hat{U}_{\epsilon}^{-1}. \tag{3.53}$$

If $\phi(x)$ is a spinor field, the above discussion is also valid. That is, a spinor can also be a scalar or a vector or a tensor in the space of gravitational gauge group. The gravitational gauge transformations of the component fields are also given by eqs.(3.49-3.53). There is no transformations in spinor space, which is different from the Lorentz transformation of a spinor.

Suppose that $A_{\mu}(x)$ is an arbitrary vector field. Here, the index μ is a Lorentz index. Its gravitational gauge transformation is:

$$A_{\mu}(x) \to A'_{\mu}(x) = (\hat{U}_{\epsilon} A_{\mu}(x)). \tag{3.54}$$

Please remember that there is no rotation in the space of Lorentz index μ, while in the general coordinates transformations in general relativity, there is rotation in the space of Lorentz index μ. The reason is that gravitational gauge transformation is a kind of system transformation, while in general relativity, the general coordinates transformation is a kind of coordinates transformation. If $A_{\mu}(x)$ is a scalar in the gravitational gauge space, eq(3.54) is all for its gauge transformation. If $A_{\mu}(x)$ is a vector in the gravitational gauge space, it can be expanded as:

$$A_{\mu}(x) = A_{\mu}^{\alpha}(x) \cdot \hat{P}_{\alpha}. \tag{3.55}$$

The transformation of component field is

$$A^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x) \to A'^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x) = \Lambda^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} \hat{U}_{\epsilon} A_{\mu}{}^{\beta}(x) \hat{U}_{\epsilon}^{-1}. \tag{3.56}$$

If $A_{\mu}(x)$ is a nth order tensor in the gravitational gauge space, then

$$A_{\mu}(x) = A^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n}_{\mu}(x) \cdot \hat{P}_{\alpha_1} \cdots \hat{P}_{\alpha_n}. \tag{3.57}$$

The transformation of component fields is

$$A^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n}_{\mu}(x) \to A'^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n}_{\mu}(x) = \Lambda^{\alpha_1}{}_{\beta_1} \cdots \Lambda^{\alpha_n}{}_{\beta_n} \hat{U}_{\epsilon} A^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_n}_{\mu}(x) \hat{U}_{\epsilon}^{-1}. \tag{3.58}$$

Therefore, under gravitational gauge transformations, the behavior of a group index is quite different from that of a Lorentz index. However, they have the same behavior in global Lorentz transformations.

Generally speaking, suppose that $T^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n}_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_m}(x)$ is an arbitrary tensor, its gravitational gauge transformations are:

$$T^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n}_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_m}(x) \to T'^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n}_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_m}(x) = (\hat{U}_{\epsilon} T^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n}_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_m}(x)). \tag{3.59}$$

If it is a pth order tensor in group space, then

$$T^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n}_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_m}(x) = T^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n ; \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p}_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_m}(x) \cdot \hat{P}_{\alpha_1} \cdots \hat{P}_{\alpha_p}. \tag{3.60}$$

The transformation of component fields is

$$T^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n ; \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p}_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_m}(x) \to T'^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n ; \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p}_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_m}(x) = \Lambda^{\alpha_1}{}_{\beta_1} \cdots \Lambda^{\alpha_p}{}_{\beta_p} \hat{U}_{\epsilon} T^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n ; \beta_1 \cdots \beta_p}_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_m}(x) \hat{U}_{\epsilon}^{-1}. \tag{3.61}$$

$\eta^{\mu\nu}$ is a second order Lorentz tensor, but it is a scalar in gravitational gauge space. It is the metric of the coordinate space. A Lorentz index can be raised or descended by this metric tensor. In a Minkowski space-time, it is selected to be:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \eta^{0\,0} & = & -1, \\ \eta^{1\,1} & = & 1, \\ \eta^{2\,2} & = & 1, \\ \eta^{3\,3} & = & 1, \end{array} \tag{3.62}$$

and other components of $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ vanish. $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ is the traditional Minkowski metric.

4 Two Pictures of Gravity

As we have mentioned above, quantum gauge general relativity is logically independent of traditional quantum gravity. It is know that, there are at least two pictures of gravity. In one picture, gravity is treated as space-time geometry. In this picture, space-time is curved and there is no physical gravitational interactions, for all effects of gravity are represented by space-time metric. In another picture, gravity is treated as a kind of fundamental interactions. In this picture, space-time is always flat and space-time metric is always selected to be the Minkowski metric. For the sake of simplicity, we call the first picture gemeotry picture of gravity and the second picture physics picture of gravity.

The concepts of "physics picture of gravity" and "geometrical picture of gravity" are key important to understand the present theory. In order to understand these important things, we use quantum mechanics as an example. In quantum mechanics, there are many pictures, such Schrodinger picture, Heisenberg picture, $\cdots$ etc. In Schrodinger picture, operators of physical quantities are fixed and do not change with time, but wave functions are evolve with time. On the contrary, in Heisenberg picture, wave functions are fixed and do not change with time, but operators evolve with time. If we want to know whether wave functions is changed with time or not, you must first determine in which picture you study wave functions. If you do not know in which picture you study wave functions, you will not know whether wave functions should be changed with time or not. Now, similar case happens in quantum gauge theory of gravity. If you want to know whether space-time is curved or not, you must first determine in which picture gravity is studied. In physics picture of gravity, space-time is flat, but in geometry picture of gravity, space-time is curved. They are two different space-times, i.e., the space-time in physics picture of gravity is different from the space-time in geometrical picture of gravity. Quantum gauge theory of gravity is formulated in the physics picture of gravity, classical Newton's theory of gravity is also formulated in the physics picture of gravity, and the Einstein's general relativity is formulated in the geometrical picture of gravity. Please do not discuss any problem simultaneous in two pictures, which is dangerous.

Quantum gauge general relativity is foumulated in the physics picture of gravity. So, in quantum gauge general relativity, space-time is always flat and gravity is treated as a kind of fundatmental interactions. In order to avoid confusing, we do not introduce any comcept of curved space-time and we do not use any language of geometry at present. It is suggest that anyone read this paper do not try to find any geometrical meaning of any physical quantities, do not use the language of geometry to understand anything of this paper and forget everything about the concept of fibre bundles, connections, curved space-time metric, $\cdots$ etc, for the present theory is not formulated in the geometry picture of gravity. After we go into the geometry picture of gravity and set up the geometrical picture of quantum gauge theory of gravity, we can use geometry language and study the geometry meaning of the present theory. But at present, we will not use the language of geometry.

There are mainly the following four reasons to introduce the physics picture of gravity and formulate quantum gauge general relativity in the physics picture of gravity:

1. It has a clear interaction picture, so we can use perturbation theory to calculate the amplitudes of physical process.
2. We can use traditional gauge field theory to study quantum behavior of gravitational interactions, and four different kinds of fundamental interactions in Nature can be formulated in the same manner, and four kinds of fundamental interactions can be unified in a simple and beautiful way.
3. The perturbatively renormalizability of the theory can be easily proved in the physics picture of gravity.
4. Quantum effects of gravitational interactions can be easily understood in the physics picture of gravity.

Gravitational gauge transformation is different from space-time translation. In gravitational gauge transformation, space-time is fixed, space-time coordinates are not changed, only fields and objects undergo some translation. In a meaning, gravitational gauge transformation is a kind of physical transformation on objects and fields. The traditional space-time translation is a kind of transformation in which objects and fields are kept unchanged while space-time coordinates undergo translation. In a meaning, space-time translation is a kind of geometrical transformation on space-time. Because quantum gauge theory of gravity is set up in the physics picture of gravity, we have to use gravitational gauge transformation in our discussion, for physics picture needs physical transformation. We do not discuss translation transformation of space-time and gauge translations in physics picture of gravity. In a meaning, space-time translation is a kind of geometrical transformation, which contains geometrical information of space-time structure and is convenient for us to study space-time geometry; while gravitational gauge transformation is a kind of physical transformation, which contains all dynamical information of gravitational interactions and is convenient for us to study physical interactions. Though from mathematical point of view, for global transformations, space-time translation is the inverse transformation of the gravitational gauge transformation. But from physical point of view and for local transformation, they are not the same. In the quantum gauge general relativity, we do not gauge translation group, but gauge gravitational gauge group, for translation group is different from gravitational gauge group. Translation group is the symmetry of space-time, but gravitational gauge group is the symmetry group of physical fields and objects. They have essential difference from physical point of view.

5 Pure Gravitational Gauge Fields

Before we study gravitational field, we must determine which field represents gravitational field. In the traditional gravitational gauge theory, gravitational field is represented by space-time metric tensor. If there is gravitational field in space-time, the space-time metric will not be equivalent to Minkowski metric, and space-time will become curved. In other words, in the traditional gravitational gauge theory, quantum gravity is formulated in curved space-time. In this paper, we will not follow this way. The underlying point of view of this new quantum gauge general relativity is that it is formulated in the framework of traditional quantum field theory, gravity is treated as a kind of physical interactions in flat space-time and the gravitational field is represented by gauge potential. In other words, if we put gravity into the structure of space-time, the space-time will become curved and there will be no physical gravity in space-time, because all gravitational effects are put into space-time metric and gravity is geometrized. But if we study physical gravitational interactions, it is better to rescue gravity from space-time metric and treat gravity as a kind of physical interactions. In this case, space-time is flat and there is physical gravity in Minkowski space-time. For this reason, we will not introduce the concept of curved space-time to study quantum gravity in the most part of this paper. So, in most chapters of this paper, the space-time is always flat, the gravitational field is represented by gauge potential, and gravitational interactions are always treated as physical interactions. In fact, what gravitational field is represented by gauge potential is required by gauge principle.

Now, let's begin to construct the Lagrangian of quantum gauge general relativity. For the sake of simplicity, let's suppose that $\phi(x)$ is a Lorentz scalar and gauge group scalar. According to above discussions, its gravitational gauge transformation is

$$\phi(x) \to \phi'(x) = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \phi(x)). \tag{5.1}$$

Because

$$(\partial_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon) \neq 0, \tag{5.2}$$

partial derivative of $\phi(x)$ does not transform covariantly under gravitational gauge transformation

$$\partial_\mu \phi(x) \to \partial_\mu \phi'(x) \neq (\hat{U}_\epsilon \partial_\mu \phi(x)). \tag{5.3}$$

In order to construct an action which is invariant under local gravitational gauge transformation, gravitational gauge covariant derivative is highly necessary. The gravitational gauge covariant derivative is defined by

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - igC_\mu(x), \tag{5.4}$$

where $C_\mu(x)$ is the gravitational gauge field and g is the coupling constant of gravitational gauge interactions. It is a Lorentz vector. Under gravitational gauge transformations, it transforms as

$$C_\mu(x) \to C'_\mu(x) = \hat{U}_\epsilon(x) C_\mu(x) \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}(x) + \frac{i}{g} \hat{U}_\epsilon(x)(\partial_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}(x)). \tag{5.5}$$

Using the original definition of $\hat{U}_\epsilon$, we can strictly proved that

$$[\partial_\mu \quad , \quad \hat{U}_\epsilon] = (\partial_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon). \tag{5.6}$$

Therefor, we have

$$\hat{U}_\epsilon \partial_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1} = \partial_\mu + \hat{U}_\epsilon(\partial_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}), \tag{5.7}$$

$$\hat{U}_\epsilon D_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1} = \partial_\mu - igC'_\mu(x). \tag{5.8}$$

So, under local gravitational gauge transformations,

$$D_\mu \phi(x) \to D'_\mu \phi'(x) = (\hat{U}_\epsilon D_\mu \phi(x)), \tag{5.9}$$

$$D_\mu(x) \to D'_\mu(x) = \hat{U}_\epsilon D_\mu(x) \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}. \tag{5.10}$$

Gravitational gauge field $C_\mu(x)$ is vector field, it is a Lorentz vector. It is also a vector in gravitational gauge space, so it can be expanded as linear combinations of generators of gravitational gauge group

$$C_\mu(x) = C_\mu^\alpha(x) \cdot \hat{P}_\alpha. \tag{5.11}$$

C_μ^α are component fields of gravitational gauge field. It looks like a second rank tensor. But according to our previous discussion, it is not a tensor field, it is a vector field. The index α is not a Lorentz index, it is just a gauge group index. Gravitational gauge field C_μ^α has only one Lorentz index, so it is a kind of vector field. This is a result of gauge principle. The gravitational gauge transformation of component field is

$$C_\mu^\alpha(x) \to C_\mu'^\alpha(x) = \Lambda^\alpha{}_\beta(\hat{U}_\epsilon C_\mu^\beta(x)) - \frac{1}{g}(\hat{U}_\epsilon \partial_\mu \epsilon^\alpha(y)), \tag{5.12}$$

where y is a function of space-time coordinates which satisfy

$$(\hat{U}_\epsilon y(x)) = x. \tag{5.13}$$

Define matrix G as

$$G = (G_\mu^\alpha) = (\delta_\mu^\alpha - g C_\mu^\alpha). \tag{5.14}$$

A simple form for matrix G is

$$G = I - gC, \tag{5.15}$$

where I is a unit matrix and $C = (C_\mu^\alpha)$. Therefore,

$$G^{-1} = \frac{1}{I - gC}. \tag{5.16}$$

G^{-1} is the inverse matrix of G, it satisfies

$$(G^{-1})_\beta^\mu G_\mu^\alpha = \delta_\beta^\alpha, \tag{5.17}$$

$$G_\mu^\alpha (G^{-1})_\alpha^\nu = \delta_\mu^\nu. \tag{5.18}$$

Define

$$g^{\alpha\beta} \overset{\triangle}{=} \eta^{\mu\nu} G_\mu^\alpha G_\nu^\beta. \tag{5.19}$$

$$g_{\alpha\beta} \overset{\triangle}{=} \eta_{\mu\nu} (G^{-1})_\alpha^\mu (G^{-1})_\beta^\nu. \tag{5.20}$$

It can be easily proved that

$$g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\beta\gamma} = \delta_\alpha^\gamma, \tag{5.21}$$

$$g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\beta\gamma} = \delta_\gamma^\alpha. \tag{5.22}$$

Under gravitational gauge transformations, they transform as

$$G_\mu^\alpha(x) \to G_\mu'^\alpha(x) = \Lambda^\alpha{}_{\alpha_1}(\hat{U}_\epsilon G_\mu^{\alpha_1}(x)), \tag{5.23}$$

$$G_\alpha^{-1\mu}(x) \to G_\alpha'^{-1\mu}(x) = \Lambda_\alpha{}^{\alpha_1}(\hat{U}_\epsilon G_{\alpha_1}^{-1\mu}(x)), \tag{5.24}$$

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(x) \to g'_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \Lambda_\alpha{}^{\alpha_1} \Lambda_\beta{}^{\beta_1}(\hat{U}_\epsilon g_{\alpha_1\beta_1}(x)), \tag{5.25}$$

$$g^{\alpha\beta}(x) \to g'^{\alpha\beta}(x) = \Lambda^\alpha{}_{\alpha_1} \Lambda^\beta{}_{\beta_1}(\hat{U}_\epsilon g^{\alpha_1\beta_1}(x)), \tag{5.26}$$

The strength of gravitational gauge field is defined by

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{-ig}[D_\mu \quad , \quad D_\nu], \tag{5.27}$$

or

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu C_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu C_\mu(x) - igC_\mu(x)C_\nu(x) + igC_\nu(x)C_\mu(x). \tag{5.28}$$

$F_{\mu\nu}$ is a second order Lorentz tensor. It is a vector is group space, so it can be expanded in group space,

$$F_{\mu\nu}(x) = F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}(x) \cdot \hat{P}_\alpha. \tag{5.29}$$

The explicit form of component field strengths is

$$F^\alpha_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu C^\alpha_\nu - \partial_\nu C^\alpha_\mu - gC^\beta_\mu \partial_\beta C^\alpha_\nu + gC^\beta_\nu \partial_\beta C^\alpha_\mu \tag{5.30}$$

The strength of gravitational gauge field transforms covariantly under gravitational gauge transformation

$$F_{\mu\nu} \to F'_{\mu\nu} = \hat{U}_\epsilon F_{\mu\nu} \hat{U}^{-1}_\epsilon. \tag{5.31}$$

The gravitational gauge transformation of the component field strength is

$$F^\alpha_{\mu\nu} \to F'^\alpha_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\alpha{}_\beta(\hat{U}_\epsilon F^\beta_{\mu\nu}). \tag{5.32}$$

In physics picture of gravity, $g_{\alpha\beta}$ defined by (5.20) and $g^{\alpha\beta}$ defined by (5.19) are not space-time metric, for the space-time metric are always Minkowski metric. They are only two composite field operators which are composed of gravitational gauge field. Using these two operators, we can calculate another important operator

$$\Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\gamma\delta} \left(\frac{\partial g_{\alpha\delta}}{\partial x^\beta} + \frac{\partial g_{\beta\delta}}{\partial x^\alpha} - \frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x^\delta} \right). \tag{5.33}$$

From above definition, we can see that $\Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ looks like the affine connection in general relativity. But now, it has no geometric meaning, it is not the affine connection in the curved space-time in geometrical picture of gravity, for now we are in the physics picture of gravity. It is only a composite field operator. Using the following relation,

$$-gF^\gamma_{\mu\nu} = G^\alpha_\mu G^\beta_\nu [(G^{-1}\partial_\alpha G)^\gamma_\beta - (G^{-1}\partial_\beta G)^\gamma_\alpha], \tag{5.34}$$

where $F^\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ is the component field strength of gravitational gauge field, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \quad = \quad & -\tfrac{1}{2}[G^{-1\mu}_\beta \partial_\alpha G^\gamma_\mu + G^{-1\mu}_\alpha \partial_\beta G^\gamma_\mu] \\ & +\tfrac{g}{2} g^{\gamma\delta}(g_{\beta\alpha_1} G^{-1\mu}_\alpha + g_{\alpha\alpha_1} G^{-1\mu}_\beta) G^{-1\nu}_\delta F^{\alpha_1}_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

Operator $R^{\delta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is defined by

$$R^{\delta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \triangleq \partial_{\gamma}\Gamma^{\delta}_{\alpha\beta} - \partial_{\beta}\Gamma^{\delta}_{\alpha\gamma} + \Gamma^{\eta}_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\delta}_{\gamma\eta} - \Gamma^{\eta}_{\alpha\gamma}\Gamma^{\delta}_{\beta\eta}, \tag{5.36}$$

the operator $R_{\alpha\gamma}$ is defined by

$$R_{\alpha\gamma} \triangleq R^{\beta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \tag{5.37}$$

and the scalar operator R is defined by

$$R \triangleq g^{\alpha\gamma}R_{\alpha\gamma}. \tag{5.38}$$

Operator $R_{\alpha\gamma}$ can also be calculated from the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\gamma} &= \tfrac{1}{2}g^{\beta\delta}(\partial_{\beta}\partial_{\delta}g_{\alpha\gamma} - \partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\delta}g_{\beta\gamma} - \partial_{\beta}\partial_{\gamma}g_{\alpha\delta} + \partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\gamma}g_{\beta\delta}) \\ &\quad + g^{\beta\delta}g_{\alpha_1\beta_1}(\Gamma^{\alpha_1}_{\alpha\gamma}\Gamma^{\beta_1}_{\beta\delta} - \Gamma^{\alpha_1}_{\alpha\delta}\Gamma^{\beta_1}_{\beta\gamma}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

The explicit expression for the operator $R_{\alpha\gamma}$ is

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}
R_{\alpha\gamma} & = & -(\partial_\gamma\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^\mu_\mu + 2(\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^\mu_\mu \\
& & +\eta^{\rho\sigma}\eta_{\mu\nu}(\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^\mu_\rho(\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\sigma \\
& & +\tfrac{1}{2}g^{\beta\delta}\eta_{\mu\nu}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\delta G\cdot G^{-1})^\mu_\alpha G^{-1\nu}_\gamma \\
& & -\tfrac{1}{2}g^{\beta\delta}\eta_{\mu\nu}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta\partial_\delta G\cdot G^{-1})^\mu_\alpha G^{-1\nu}_\gamma \\
& & +\tfrac{1}{2}g^{\beta\delta}\eta_{\mu\nu}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\delta G\cdot G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1})^\mu_\alpha G^{-1\nu}_\gamma \\
& & +\tfrac{1}{2}g^{\beta\delta}\eta_{\mu\nu}G^{-1\mu}_\alpha(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\delta G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\gamma \\
& & -\tfrac{1}{2}g^{\beta\delta}\eta_{\mu\nu}G^{-1\mu}_\alpha(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta\partial_\delta G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\gamma \\
& & +\tfrac{1}{2}g^{\beta\delta}\eta_{\mu\nu}G^{-1\mu}_\alpha(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\delta G\cdot G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\gamma \\
& & +g^{\beta\delta}\eta_{\mu\nu}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1})^\mu_\alpha(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\delta G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\gamma \\
& & -\tfrac{1}{2}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta G)^\beta_\alpha - \tfrac{1}{2}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\gamma G)^\beta_\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\gamma\partial_\beta G)^\beta_\alpha \\
& & -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\rho\sigma}\eta_{\mu\nu}G^\delta_\rho(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\delta G\cdot G^{-1})^\mu_\alpha(\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\sigma \\
& & -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\rho\sigma}\eta_{\mu\nu}G^\delta_\rho(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1})^\mu_\alpha(\partial_\delta G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\sigma \\
& & -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\rho\sigma}\eta_{\mu\nu}G^\delta_\rho G^{-1\mu}_\alpha(\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\delta G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\sigma \\
& & +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\rho\sigma}\eta_{\mu\nu}G^\delta_\rho G^{-1\mu}_\alpha(\partial_\gamma\partial_\delta G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\sigma \\
& & -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\rho\sigma}\eta_{\mu\nu}G^\delta_\rho G^{-1\mu}_\alpha(\partial_\delta G\cdot G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\sigma \\
& & -\tfrac{1}{2}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\alpha G)^\beta_\gamma - \tfrac{1}{2}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta G)^\beta_\gamma + \tfrac{1}{2}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta\partial_\alpha G)^\beta_\gamma \\
& & -\eta^{\rho\sigma}\eta_{\mu\nu}G^\beta_\sigma(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^\mu_\gamma(\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\rho \\
& & -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\rho\sigma}\eta_{\mu\nu}G^\beta_\sigma G^{-1\mu}_\gamma(\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\rho \\
& & +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\rho\sigma}\eta_{\mu\nu}G^\beta_\sigma G^{-1\mu}_\gamma(\partial_\beta\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\rho - \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\rho\sigma}\eta_{\mu\nu}G^\beta_\sigma G^{-1\mu}_\gamma(\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\rho \\
& & +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu_1\nu_1}G^\beta_{\nu_1}(\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1})^\mu_{\mu_1}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\gamma \\
& & +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu_1\nu_1}G^\beta_{\nu_1}(\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1})^\mu_{\mu_1}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\alpha \\
& & -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu_1\nu_1}(\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1})^\mu_{\mu_1}(\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_{\nu_1} \\
& & -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu_1\nu_1}G^\beta_{\nu_1}(\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1})^\mu_{\mu_1}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\alpha \\
& & -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu_1\nu_1}G^\delta_{\mu_1}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\delta G\cdot G^{-1})^\mu_\gamma(\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_{\nu_1} \\
& & -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu_1\nu_1}G^\delta_{\mu_1}G^\beta_{\nu_1}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\delta G\cdot G^{-1})^\mu_\gamma(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\alpha \\
& & -\tfrac{g}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu_3\nu_3}F^\delta_{\mu_1\nu_1}G^\beta_{\nu_3}G^{-1\mu}_\delta G^{-1\nu}_\gamma G^{-1\mu_1}_\alpha(\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1})^{\nu_1}_{\mu_3} \\
& & -\tfrac{g}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu_3\nu_3}F^\delta_{\mu_1\nu_1}G^\beta_{\nu_3}G^{-1\mu}_\delta G^{-1\nu}_\alpha G^{-1\mu_1}_\gamma(\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1})^{\nu_1}_{\mu_3} \\
& & -\tfrac{g}{2}F^\beta_{\mu\nu}G^{-1\mu}_\beta(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\gamma - \tfrac{g}{2}F^\beta_{\mu\nu}G^{-1\mu}_\beta(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\alpha \\
& & +\tfrac{g}{4}\eta^{\mu_3\mu_1}\eta_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\mu_1\nu_1}G^{-1\mu}_\beta G^{-1\nu}_\alpha(\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1})^{\nu_1}_{\mu_3} + \tfrac{g}{4}F^\beta_{\mu\nu}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\beta G^{-1\mu}_\alpha \\
& & +\tfrac{g}{4}\eta^{\mu_3\mu_1}\eta_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\mu_1\nu_1}G^{-1\mu}_\beta G^{-1\nu}_\alpha G^\delta_{\mu_3}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\delta G\cdot G^{-1})^{\nu_1}_\gamma \\
& & +\tfrac{g}{4}F^\beta_{\mu\nu}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\gamma G^{-1\mu}_\alpha + \tfrac{g}{4}F^\beta_{\mu\nu}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\alpha G^{-1\mu}_\gamma \\
& & +\tfrac{g}{4}\eta^{\mu_3\mu_1}\eta_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\mu_1\nu_1}G^{-1\mu}_\beta G^{-1\nu}_\gamma(\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^{\nu_1}_{\mu_3} + \tfrac{g}{4}F^\beta_{\mu\nu}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^\nu_\beta G^{-1\mu}_\gamma \\
& & +\tfrac{g}{4}\eta^{\mu_3\mu_1}\eta_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\mu_1\nu_1}G^{-1\mu}_\beta G^{-1\nu}_\gamma G^\delta_{\mu_3}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\delta G\cdot G^{-1})^{\nu_1}_\alpha \\
& & +\tfrac{g^2}{2}\eta^{\nu\nu_1}\eta_{\mu_2\nu_2}F^\beta_{\mu\nu}F^{\beta_2}_{\mu_1\nu_1}G^{-1\mu}_\beta G^{-1\mu_2}_{\beta_2}(G^{-1\nu_2}_\alpha G^{-1\mu_1}_\gamma + G^{-1\nu_2}_\gamma G^{-1\mu_1}_\alpha) \\
& & -\tfrac{g^2}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\mu_2\nu_2}\eta^{\mu_1\nu_1}\eta^{\rho_1\sigma_1}F^\beta_{\rho_1\nu_1}F^{\beta_1}_{\sigma_1\mu_1}G^{-1\mu}_\beta G^{-1\mu_2}_{\beta_1}G^{-1\nu}_\gamma G^{-1\nu_2}_\alpha \\
& & -\tfrac{g^2}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu_1\nu_1}F^\beta_{\mu_2\nu_1}F^{\beta_1}_{\mu_3\mu_1}G^{-1\mu}_\beta G^{-1\mu_2}_{\beta_1}G^{-1\nu}_\gamma G^{-1\mu_3}_\alpha \\
& & -\tfrac{g^2}{4}\eta_{\mu_2\nu_2}\eta^{\mu_1\nu_1}F^\beta_{\nu\nu_1}F^{\beta_1}_{\mu\mu_1}G^{-1\mu}_\beta G^{-1\mu_2}_{\beta_1}G^{-1\nu}_\gamma G^{-1\nu_2}_\alpha \\
& & -\tfrac{g^2}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu_2\nu_2}F^\beta_{\mu_1\nu_2}F^{\beta_1}_{\mu_3\mu_2}G^{-1\mu}_\beta G^{-1\nu}_{\beta_1}G^{-1\mu_1}_\gamma G^{-1\mu_3}_\alpha
\end{array}
\qquad (5.40)
$$

Operator R can be calculated from the following relation

$$R = g^{\alpha\gamma}g^{\beta\delta}(\partial_\beta\partial_\delta g_{\alpha\gamma} - \partial_\beta\partial_\gamma g_{\alpha\delta}) + g^{\alpha\gamma}g^{\beta\delta}g_{\alpha_1\beta_1}(\Gamma^{\alpha_1}_{\alpha\gamma}\Gamma^{\beta_1}_{\beta\delta} - \Gamma^{\alpha_1}_{\alpha\delta}\Gamma^{\beta_1}_{\beta\gamma}). \tag{5.41}$$

The explicit expression for the operator R is

$$\begin{aligned}
R \;=\;& 4g^{\alpha\gamma}(\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1})^{\mu}_{\mu} - 2g^{\alpha\gamma}(\partial_\alpha\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1})^{\mu}_{\mu} \\
& +\tfrac{3}{2}\eta^{\rho\sigma}\eta_{\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\gamma}(\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^{\mu}_{\rho}(\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1})^{\nu}_{\sigma} - 2\eta^{\mu\nu}G^{\gamma}_{\nu}(\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\alpha G)^{\alpha}_{\mu} \\
& -2\eta^{\mu\nu}G^{\gamma}_{\nu}(\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\gamma G)^{\alpha}_{\mu} + 2\eta^{\mu\nu}G^{\gamma}_{\nu}(\partial_\gamma\partial_\alpha G)^{\alpha}_{\mu} \\
& -\tfrac{3}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\rho\sigma}\eta^{\mu_1\nu_1}G^{\gamma}_{\nu_1}G^{\alpha}_{\rho}(\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^{\mu}_{\mu_1}(\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1})^{\nu}_{\sigma} \\
& -\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\rho\sigma}\eta^{\mu_1\nu_1}G^{\gamma}_{\nu_1}G^{\alpha}_{\rho}(\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1})^{\mu}_{\mu_1}(\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^{\nu}_{\sigma} \\
& +\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu_2\nu_2}\eta^{\mu_1\nu_1}G^{\beta}_{\nu_2}G^{\alpha}_{\mu_1}(\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1})^{\mu}_{\mu_2}(\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^{\nu}_{\nu_1} \\
& -2g\eta^{\mu\nu}F^{\alpha}_{\mu_1\nu_1}G^{\beta}_{\nu}G^{-1\mu_1}_{\alpha}(\partial_\beta G\cdot G^{-1})^{\nu_1}_{\mu} \\
& +g\eta^{\lambda\mu}F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}(\partial_\alpha G\cdot G^{-1})^{\nu}_{\lambda} \\
& +g\eta^{\rho\mu}F^{\alpha}_{\rho\sigma}G^{\gamma}_{\mu}(G^{-1}\cdot\partial_\gamma G\cdot G^{-1})^{\sigma}_{\alpha} \\
& +g^2\eta^{\nu\nu_1}F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}F^{\alpha_1}_{\mu_1\nu_1}G^{-1\mu}_{\alpha}G^{-1\mu_1}_{\alpha_1} \\
& -\tfrac{g^2}{2}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma} \\
& -\tfrac{g^2}{2}\eta^{\nu\nu_1}F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}F^{\alpha_1}_{\mu_1\nu_1}G^{-1\mu_1}_{\alpha}G^{-1\mu}_{\alpha_1}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.42}$$

Please note that the second last line in the above expression is just the Lagrangian that we used in literature [30]. In order to set up the quantum gauge general relativity, we will not select it to be the Lagrangian of the model.

It can be strictly proved that, under gravitational gauge transformations, these operators transforms as

$$\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}(x) \to \Gamma'^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \Lambda_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha_1}\Lambda_{\beta}^{\ \beta_1}\Lambda^{\gamma}_{\ \gamma_1}(\hat{U}_\epsilon\Gamma^{\gamma_1}_{\alpha_1\beta_1}(x)) - \Lambda_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha_1}\Lambda_{\beta}^{\ \beta_1}(\partial_{\beta_1}\Lambda^{\gamma}_{\ \alpha_1}) \tag{5.43}$$

$$R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(x) \to R'_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(x) = \Lambda_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha_1}\Lambda_{\beta}^{\ \beta_1}\Lambda_{\gamma}^{\ \gamma_1}\Lambda_{\delta}^{\ \delta_1}(\hat{U}_\epsilon R_{\alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_1\delta_1}(x)), \tag{5.44}$$

$$R_{\alpha\beta}(x) \to R'_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \Lambda_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha_1}\Lambda_{\beta}^{\ \beta_1}(\hat{U}_\epsilon R_{\alpha_1\beta_1}(x)), \tag{5.45}$$

$$R(x) \to R'(x) = (\hat{U}_\epsilon R(x)). \tag{5.46}$$

Now, we need to determine the Lagrangian of the model. In order to set up the model for quantum gauge general relativity, we need to select R in eq.(5.42) to be the Lagrangian. However, its expression is too complicated to be used in further calculation. So, we need to changed it into a simpler form. After rather lengthy and complicated calculations, it is found that it can be written into another form

$$R = R_0 + \frac{1}{J(C)}\partial_\beta \left[2J(C) g^{\alpha\beta} G^{-1\mu}_\alpha (\partial_\gamma G^\gamma_\mu) - 2J(C) g^{\beta\delta} G^{-1\mu}_\alpha (\partial_\delta G^\alpha_\mu)\right], \tag{5.47}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 \;=\; & \tfrac{g^2}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma} g_{\alpha\beta} F^\alpha_{\mu\nu} F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ & + \tfrac{g^2}{2}\eta^{\mu\rho} G^{-1\nu}_\beta G^{-1\sigma}_\alpha F^\alpha_{\mu\nu} F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ & - g^2 \eta^{\mu\rho} G^{-1\nu}_\alpha G^{-1\sigma}_\beta F^\alpha_{\mu\nu} F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.48}$$

and

$$J(C) = \sqrt{-\mathrm{det} g_{\alpha\beta}}. \tag{5.49}$$

It is quite surprising that operator R_0 has very simple and beautiful form. It has an obvious symmetric form under gravitational gauge transformation. The second term in eq.(5.47) is only a surface term in action, so it has no contribution to the action, and if we select R or R_0 to be the Lagrangian of the system, they will give out the same action and the same field equation for gravitational gauge field. Because R_0 is much simpler and more symmetric, we select it to be the Lagrangian of the quantum gauge general relativity

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 \;=\; & -\tfrac{1}{16\pi G} R_0 = -\tfrac{1}{4g^2} R_0 \\ =\; & -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma} g_{\alpha\beta} F^\alpha_{\mu\nu} F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho} G^{-1\nu}_\beta G^{-1\sigma}_\alpha F^\alpha_{\mu\nu} F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ & +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho} G^{-1\nu}_\alpha G^{-1\sigma}_\beta F^\alpha_{\mu\nu} F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.50}$$

If we define

$$C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma} g_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\rho} G^{-1\nu}_\beta G^{-1\sigma}_\alpha - \eta^{\mu\rho} G^{-1\nu}_\alpha G^{-1\sigma}_\beta, \tag{5.51}$$

then the above lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_0$ can be written into a simpler form:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{1}{4} C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} F^\alpha_{\mu\nu} F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}. \tag{5.52}$$

The first term in the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_0$ of (5.50) is just the Lagrangian in the previous work[30]. In fact, every term in the above Lagrangian has gravitational gauge symmetry. So, the most general Lagrangian for quantum gauge theory of gravity is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 \;=\; & c_1 \eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma} g_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma} \\ & + c_2 \eta^{\mu\rho} G^{-1\nu}_{\beta} G^{-1\sigma}_{\alpha} F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma} \\ & + c_3 \eta^{\mu\rho} G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha} G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta} F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.53}$$

Because the action given by this Lagrangian has strict local gravitational gauge symmetry, the model based on this Lagrangian is perturbatively renormalizable. The strict formal proof on the renormalizability of the quantum gauge general relativity is suitable to the model based on this most general Lagrangian. For quantum gauge general relativity, the parameters c_1, c_2 and c_3 are

$$c_1 = -\frac{1}{16}, \quad c_2 = -\frac{1}{8}, \quad c_3 = \frac{1}{4}. \tag{5.54}$$

For the model discussed in the literature [30], the parameters c_1, c_2 and c_3 are

$$c_1 = -\frac{1}{4}, \quad c_2 = 0, \quad c_3 = 0. \tag{5.55}$$

Different selection of the parameters c_1, c_2 and c_3 gives out different model for quantum gravity, and therefore different dynamics of gravitational field. This situation is quite special for gravitational interactions. We know that, for ordinary $SU(N)$ gauge field theory, using gauge field strength, we can only construct one gauge invariant Lagrangian which is a quadratic form of field strength. In other words, the Lagrangian for pure gauge field in ordinary $SU(N)$ gauge field theory is unique. However, in quantum gauge theory of gravity, we can construct three different gauge invariant terms which are quadratic forms of field strength of gravitational gauge field. Indeed, in many aspects, quantum gauge theory of gravity is different from the traditional gauge field theory.

Using relations (5.24), (5.25) and (5.32), we can easily prove that the Lagrangian defined by eq.(5.50) transforms covariantly under gravitational gauge transformation

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \to \mathcal{L}_0' = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \mathcal{L}_0). \tag{5.56}$$

In order to resume the gravitational gauge symmetry of the action, we introduce an extremely important factor $J(C)$ which is defined by eq.(5.49). The gravitational gauge transformations of $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is given by eq.(5.25). Then $J(C)$ transforms as

$$J(C) \to J'(C') = J \cdot (\hat{U}_\epsilon J(C)), \tag{5.57}$$

where J is the Jacobian of the transformation,

$$J = det\left(\frac{\partial(x-\epsilon)^\mu}{\partial x^\nu}\right). \tag{5.58}$$

The Lagrangian for gravitational gauge field is selected as

$$\mathcal{L} = J(C)\mathcal{L}_0 = \sqrt{-\mathrm{det} g_{\alpha\beta}} \cdot \mathcal{L}_0, \tag{5.59}$$

and the action for gravitational gauge field is

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \mathcal{L}. \tag{5.60}$$

It can be proved that this action has gravitational gauge symmetry. In other words, it is invariant under gravitational gauge transformation,

$$S \to S' = S. \tag{5.61}$$

In order to prove the gravitational gauge symmetry of the action, the following relation is important,

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4 x J \left(\hat{U}_\epsilon f(x)\right) = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x f(x), \tag{5.62}$$

where $f(x)$ is an arbitrary function of space-time coordinate.

According to gauge principle, the global gauge symmetry will give out conserved charges. Now, let's discuss the conserved charges of global gravitational gauge transformation. Suppose that ϵ^α is an infinitesimal constant 4-vector. Then, in the first order approximation, we have

$$\hat{U}_\epsilon = 1 - \epsilon^\alpha \partial_\alpha + o(\epsilon^2). \tag{5.63}$$

The first order variation of the gravitational gauge field is

$$\delta C_\mu^\alpha(x) = -\epsilon^\nu \partial_\nu C_\mu^\alpha, \tag{5.64}$$

and the first order variation of action is:

$$\delta S = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \; \epsilon^\alpha \partial_\mu T_{i\alpha}^\mu, \tag{5.65}$$

where $T_{i\alpha}^\mu$ is the inertial energy-momentum tensor, whose definition is

$$T_{i\alpha}^\mu \equiv J(C)\left(-\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu C_\nu^\beta}\partial_\alpha C_\nu^\beta + \delta_\alpha^\mu \mathcal{L}_0\right). \tag{5.66}$$

Its explicit expression is

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu}_{i\alpha} = J(C)[\; & \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\beta\gamma}G^{\mu}_{\lambda}F^{\gamma}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\beta}_{\nu}) \\ & -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}F^{\mu}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\beta}_{\nu}) \\ & +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\gamma}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}G^{\mu}_{\lambda}F^{\gamma}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\beta}_{\nu}) \\ & -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\gamma}G^{\mu}_{\lambda}F^{\gamma}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\beta}_{\nu}) \\ & +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\delta^{\mu}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\gamma}F^{\gamma}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\beta}_{\nu}) \\ & -\tfrac{1}{16}\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\beta\gamma}F^{\gamma}_{\lambda\nu}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{8}\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\gamma}F^{\gamma}_{\lambda\nu}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma} \\ & +\tfrac{1}{4}\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\gamma}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}F^{\gamma}_{\lambda\nu}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.67}$$

The global gravitational gauge symmetry of the system gives out the conservation law of inertial energy-momentum tensor

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu}_{i\alpha} = 0. \tag{5.68}$$

Except for the factor $J(C)$, the form of the inertial energy-momentum tensor is almost completely the same as that in the traditional quantum field theory. It means that gravitational interactions will change energy-momentum of matter fields, which is what we expected in Einstein's general relativity.

The Euler-Lagrange equation for gravitational gauge field is

$$\partial_{\mu}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\partial_{\mu}C^{\alpha}_{\nu}} = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial C^{\alpha}_{\nu}}. \tag{5.69}$$

This form is completely the same as what we have ever seen in quantum field theory. But if we insert eq.(5.59) into it, we will get

$$\partial_{\mu}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_0}{\partial\partial_{\mu}C^{\alpha}_{\nu}} = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_0}{\partial C^{\alpha}_{\nu}} + gG^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}\mathcal{L}_0 - gG^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}(\partial_{\mu}C^{\alpha}_{\nu})\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_0}{\partial\partial_{\mu}C^{\alpha}_{\nu}}. \tag{5.70}$$

Eq.(5.30) can be changed into

$$F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} = (D_{\mu}C^{\alpha}_{\nu}) - (D_{\nu}C^{\alpha}_{\mu}), \tag{5.71}$$

so the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_0$ depends on gravitational gauge fields through its covariant derivative, $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and $G_{\alpha}^{-1\mu}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_0}{\partial C_\nu^\alpha} & = & \frac{g}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}\eta^{\lambda\sigma}g_{\beta\gamma}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta}(\partial_\alpha C_\lambda^\gamma) \\ & & +\frac{g}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}G_{\beta}^{-1\lambda}G_{\gamma}^{-1\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta}(\partial_\alpha C_\lambda^\gamma) \\ & & -\frac{g}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}G_{\gamma}^{-1\lambda}G_{\beta}^{-1\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta}(\partial_\alpha C_\lambda^\gamma) \\ & & -\frac{g}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G_{\beta}^{-1\nu}G_{\gamma}^{-1\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta}(\partial_\alpha C_\lambda^\gamma) \\ & & +\frac{g}{2}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G_{\gamma}^{-1\nu}G_{\beta}^{-1\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta}(\partial_\alpha C_\lambda^\gamma) \\ & & +\frac{g}{8}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\kappa\sigma}g_{\alpha\gamma}G_{\beta}^{-1\nu}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta}F_{\kappa\lambda}^{\gamma} \\ & & -\frac{g}{4}\eta^{\kappa\rho}G_{\beta}^{-1\nu}G_{\alpha}^{-1\lambda}G_{\gamma}^{-1\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta}F_{\kappa\lambda}^{\gamma} \\ & & +\frac{g}{2}\eta^{\kappa\rho}G_{\gamma}^{-1\nu}G_{\alpha}^{-1\lambda}G_{\beta}^{-1\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta}F_{\kappa\lambda}^{\gamma}, \end{array} \tag{5.72}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_0}{\partial\partial_\mu C_\nu^\alpha} & = & -\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}G_\lambda^\mu F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta} \\ & & +\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}G_{\alpha}^{-1\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\mu} \\ & & -\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G_{\beta}^{-1\nu}G_{\alpha}^{-1\sigma}G_\lambda^\mu F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta} \\ & & +\frac{1}{2}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G_{\alpha}^{-1\nu}G_{\beta}^{-1\sigma}G_\lambda^\mu F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta} \\ & & -\frac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\delta_\alpha^\mu G_{\beta}^{-1\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta}. \end{array} \tag{5.73}$$

The above field equation of gravitational gauge fields are changed into

$$\begin{array}{rl} \partial_\mu(& \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta} - \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}F_{\rho\alpha}^{\mu} + \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}F_{\rho\alpha}^{\nu} \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\rho}\delta_\alpha^\nu F_{\rho\beta}^{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\delta_\alpha^\mu F_{\rho\beta}^{\beta}) = -gT_{g\alpha}^{\nu}, \end{array} \tag{5.74}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\nu}_{g\alpha} = \; & \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}\eta^{\lambda\sigma}g_{\beta\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\gamma}_{\lambda}) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}G^{-1\lambda}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\gamma}_{\lambda}) \\
& -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}G^{-1\lambda}_{\gamma}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\gamma}_{\lambda}) \\
& -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\gamma}_{\lambda}) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\gamma}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\gamma}_{\lambda}) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}G^{-1\kappa}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(D_{\lambda}C^{\gamma}_{\kappa}) \\
& -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}G^{-1\sigma}_{\alpha}G^{-1\kappa}_{\gamma}F^{\mu}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\mu}C^{\gamma}_{\kappa}) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}G^{-1\kappa}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\gamma}_{\kappa}) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\alpha}G^{-1\kappa}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(D_{\lambda}C^{\gamma}_{\kappa}) \\
& -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}G^{-1\kappa}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(D_{\lambda}C^{\gamma}_{\kappa}) \\
& -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\partial_{\mu}(g_{\alpha\beta}C^{\mu}_{\lambda}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}) \\
& -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}\partial_{\beta}(C^{\sigma}_{\lambda}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\partial_{\alpha}(C^{\sigma}_{\lambda}G^{-1\lambda}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{4g}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\partial_{\mu}[(G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\alpha}G^{\mu}_{\lambda}-\delta^{\nu}_{\beta}\delta^{\sigma}_{\alpha}\delta^{\mu}_{\lambda})F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}] \\
& -\tfrac{1}{2g}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\partial_{\mu}[(G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}G^{\mu}_{\lambda}-\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}\delta^{\sigma}_{\beta}\delta^{\mu}_{\lambda})F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}] \\
& -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\kappa\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\kappa\lambda} \\
& +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\kappa\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\gamma}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\kappa\lambda} \\
& -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\lambda\sigma}g_{\alpha\gamma}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\mu\lambda} \\
& -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\lambda\sigma}g_{\beta\gamma}G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\mu\lambda} \\
& -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}G^{-1\lambda}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\mu\lambda} \\
& +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}G^{-1\lambda}_{\gamma}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\mu\lambda}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.75}
$$

$T^{\nu}_{g\alpha}$ is also a conserved current, that is

$$\partial_{\nu}T^{\nu}_{g\alpha} = 0, \tag{5.76}$$

because of the following identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}(\; & \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}F^{\mu}_{\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^{\nu}_{\rho\alpha} \\
& -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\rho}\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta} + \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta}) = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.77}
$$

$T^{\nu}_{g\alpha}$ is called gravitational energy-momentum tensor, which is the source of gravitational gauge field. Now we get two different energy-momentum tensors, one is the inertial energy-momentum tensor $T^{\nu}_{i\alpha}$ and another is the gravitational energy-momentum tensor $T^{\nu}_{g\alpha}$. They are similar, but they are different. The inertial energy-momentum tensor $T^{\nu}_{i\alpha}$ is given by conservation law which is associate with global gravitational gauge symmetry, it gives out an energy-momentum 4-vector:

$$P_{i\alpha} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{x}\; T^{0}_{i\alpha}. \tag{5.78}$$

It is a conserved charges,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} P_{i\alpha} = 0. \tag{5.79}$$

The time component of $P_{i\alpha}$, that is P_{i0}, gives out the Hamiltonian H of the system,

$$H = -P_{i\ 0} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{x}\ J(C) \left(\pi^{\mu}_{\alpha} \overset{\cdot}{C}{}^{\alpha}_{\mu} - \mathcal{L}_0 \right). \tag{5.80}$$

According to our conventional belief, H should be the inertial energy of the system, therefore $P_{i\alpha}$ is the inertial energy-momentum of the system. The gravitational energy-momentum is given by the field equation of gravitational gauge field, it is also a conserved current. The space integration of the time component of it gives out a conserved energy-momentum 4-vector,

$$P_{g\alpha} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{x}\ T^0_{g\alpha}. \tag{5.81}$$

It is also a conserved charge,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} P_{g\alpha} = 0. \tag{5.82}$$

Its time component just gives out the gravitational energy of the system, which is the source of gravitational gauge field. This can be easily seen. For a static system, if we Set ν and α in eq.(5.74) to 0, select harmonic gauge and make the leading term approximation, we can get(details on this deducing can be found in the chapter on classical limit of quantum gauge general relativity)

$$\nabla^2 C^0_0 = -g T^0_{g0}. \tag{5.83}$$

Define

$$E^i = -\partial_i C^0_0. \tag{5.84}$$

E^i is just the field strength of gravitational gauge field for a static system. The space integration of eq.(5.83) gives out

$$\oint \mathrm{d}\, \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{E} = g \int \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{x}\ T^0_{g0}. \tag{5.85}$$

According to Newton's classical theory of gravity, $\int \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{x}\ T^0_{g0}$ in the right hand term is just the gravitational mass of the system. Denote the gravitational mass of the system as M_g, that is

$$M_g = -\int \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{x}\ T^0_{g0}. \tag{5.86}$$

Then eq(5.85) is changed into

$$\oint \mathrm{d}\, \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{E} = -g M_g. \tag{5.87}$$

This is just the classical Newton's law of universal gravitation. It can be strictly proved that gravitational mass is different from inertial mass. They are not equivalent. But their difference is at least first order infinitesimal quantity if the gravitational field gC^α_μ, for this difference is proportional to gC^α_μ. So, this difference is too small to be detected in experiments. But in the environment of strong gravitational field, the difference will become relatively larger and will be easier to be detected. Much more highly precise measurement of this difference is strongly needed to test this prediction and to test the validity of the equivalence principle. In the chapter of classical limit of quantum gauge theory of gravity, we will return to discuss this problem again.

As we have stated before, the model given by the lagrangian (5.50) is quantum gauge general relativity, so the field equation of gravitational gauge field given by this Lagrangian should be the Einstein's field equation. Indeed, this is true. That is, the field equation (5.74) is just the Einstein's field equation. If we use periodic boundary conditions, all surface terms in the action must vanish, that is

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x \; J(C)\cdot\frac{1}{J(C)}\partial_\beta\left[2J(C)g^{\alpha\beta}G^{-1\mu}_\alpha(\partial_\gamma G^\gamma_\mu)-2J(C)g^{\beta\delta}G^{-1\mu}_\alpha(\partial_\delta G^\alpha_\mu)\right]=0. \qquad (5.88)$$

Combine the above equation with the equation (5.47), we have

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x \; J(C)\cdot R_0=\int \mathrm{d}^4x \; J(C)\cdot R. \qquad (5.89)$$

So, the action of the model can be written into

$$S=-\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int \mathrm{d}^4x \; \sqrt{-\mathrm{det}g_{\alpha\beta}}\cdot R. \qquad (5.90)$$

Denote the action of matter field as S_M. If we consider the gravitational interactions of matter fields, the total action of the system should be

$$S=-\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int \mathrm{d}^4x \; \sqrt{-\mathrm{det}g_{\alpha\beta}}\cdot R+S_M. \qquad (5.91)$$

Make a variation of gravitational gauge field C^α_μ, the variation of the operator $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is

$$\delta g_{\alpha\beta}=g(g_{\gamma\beta}G^{-1\mu}_\alpha+g_{\gamma\alpha}G^{-1\mu}_\beta)\delta C^\gamma_\mu, \qquad (5.92)$$

and the change in the action is

$$\delta S=\frac{g}{16\pi G}\int \mathrm{d}^4x \; \sqrt{-\mathrm{det}g_{\alpha_1\beta_1}}\left[R^{\alpha\beta}-\frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}R+8\pi GT^{\alpha\beta}\right](g_{\gamma\beta}G^{-1\mu}_\alpha+g_{\gamma\alpha}G^{-1\mu}_\beta)\delta C^\gamma_\mu, \qquad (5.93)$$

where $T^{\alpha\beta}$ is the symmetric energy-momentum tensor which is defined by

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-\det g_{\alpha_1\beta_1}}} \frac{\delta S_M}{\delta g_{\alpha\beta}}. \tag{5.94}$$

The total action S is stationary with respect to arbitrary variations in C_μ^γ if and only if

$$\left[R^{\alpha_1\beta_1} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha_1\beta_1} R + 8\pi G T^{\alpha_1\beta_1} \right] (g_{\gamma\beta_1} G_{\alpha_1}^{-1\mu} + g_{\gamma\alpha_1} G_{\beta_1}^{-1\mu}) = 0. \tag{5.95}$$

Multiply both side of the above equation with G_μ^α, we get

$$\left[R^{\alpha\beta_1} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta_1} R + 8\pi G T^{\alpha\beta_1} \right] g_{\gamma\beta_1} = 0. \tag{5.96}$$

Then multiply both side of the above equation with $g^{\gamma\beta}$, we get

$$R^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} R + 8\pi G T^{\alpha\beta} = 0, \tag{5.97}$$

which is just the Einstein's field equation. Now, from the same action, the least action principle gives out two equations (5.74) and (5.93). They are different in forms, but they are essentially the same, for one action can only give out one field equation. So, in quantum gauge general relativity, the field equation of gravitational gauge field is the Einstein's field equation.

Now, let's discuss self-coupling of gravitational field. The Lagrangian of gravitational gauge field is given by eq(5.59). Because

$$J(C) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^n}{n} \mathrm{tr}(C^n) \right)^m \tag{5.98}$$

there are vertexes of n gravitational gauge fields in tree diagram where n can be arbitrary integer number that is greater than 3. This property is important for renormalization of the theory. Because the coupling constant of the gravitational gauge interactions has negative mass dimension, any kind of regular vertex exists divergence. In order to cancel these divergences, we need to introduce the corresponding counterterms. Because of the existence of the vertex of n gravitational gauge fields in tree diagram in the non-renormalized Lagrangian, we need not introduce any new counterterm which does not exist in the non-renormalized Lagrangian, what we need to do is to redefine gravitational coupling constant g and gravitational gauge field C_μ^α in renormalization. If there is no $J(C)$ term in the original Lagrangian, then we will have to introduce infinite counterterms in renormalization, and therefore the theory is non-renormalizable. Because of the existence of the factor $J(C)$, though quantum gauge theory of gravity looks like a non-renormalizable theory according to the power counting law, it is indeed renormalizable. In a word, the factor $J(C)$ is highly important for the quantum gauge general relativity.

6 Gravitational Interactions of Scalar Fields

Now, let's start to discuss gravitational interactions of matter fields. First, we discuss gravitational interactions of scalar fields. For the sake of simplicity, we first discuss real scalar field. Suppose that $\phi(x)$ is a real scalar field. The traditional Lagrangian for the real scalar field is

$$-\frac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi(x)\partial_\nu\phi(x)-\frac{m^2}{2}\phi^2(x), \tag{6.1}$$

where m is the mass of scalar field. This is the Lagrangian for a free real scalar field. Its Euler-Lagrangian equation of motion is

$$(\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu-m^2)\phi(x)=0, \tag{6.2}$$

which is the famous Klein-Gordan equation.

Now, replace the ordinary partial derivative ∂_μ with gauge covariant derivative D_μ, and add into the Lagrangian of pure gravitational gauge field, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_0 \;=\;& -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\phi)(D_\nu\phi)-\tfrac{m^2}{2}\phi^2 \\ & -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}G^{-1\nu}_\beta G^{-1\sigma}_\alpha F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ & +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}G^{-1\nu}_\alpha G^{-1\sigma}_\beta F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

The full Lagrangian is selected to be

$$\mathcal{L}=J(C)\mathcal{L}_0, \tag{6.4}$$

and the action S is defined by

$$S=\int \mathrm{d}^4x\ \mathcal{L}. \tag{6.5}$$

Using our previous definitions of gauge covariant derivative D_μ and strength of gravitational gauge field $F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$, we can obtain an explicit form of Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$,

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_F + \mathcal{L}_I, \tag{6.6}$$

with $\mathcal{L}_F$ the free Lagrangian and $\mathcal{L}_I$ the interaction Lagrangian. Their explicit expressions are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_F \;=\; & -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi(x)\partial_\nu\phi(x) - \tfrac{m^2}{2}\phi^2(x) \\ & -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\eta_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha}_{0\mu\nu}F^{\beta}_{0\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^{\alpha}_{0\mu\beta}F^{\beta}_{0\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^{\alpha}_{0\mu\alpha}F^{\beta}_{0\rho\beta} \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_I \;=\; & (J(C)-1)\cdot(-\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi(x)\partial_\nu\phi(x) - \tfrac{m^2}{2}\phi^2(x)) \\ & +gJ(C)\eta^{\mu\nu}C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(\partial_\alpha\phi)(\partial_\nu\phi) - \tfrac{g^2}{2}J(C)\eta^{\mu\nu}C^{\alpha}_{\mu}C^{\beta}_{\nu}(\partial_\alpha\phi)(\partial_\beta\phi) \\ & +self\ interaction\ terms\ of\ Gravitational\ gauge\ field, \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

where,

$$F^{\alpha}_{0\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu C^{\alpha}_{\nu} - \partial_\nu C^{\alpha}_{\mu}. \tag{6.9}$$

From eq.(6.8), we can see that scalar field can directly couples to any number of gravitational gauge fields. This is one of the most important interaction properties of gravity. Other kinds of interactions, such as strong interactions, weak interactions and electromagnetic interactions do not have this kind of interaction properties. Because the gravitational coupling constant has negative mass dimension, renormalization of theory needs this kind of interaction properties. In other words, if matter field can not directly couple to any number of gravitational gauge fields, the theory will be non-renormalizable.

The symmetries of the theory can be easily seen from eq.(6.3). First, let's discuss Lorentz symmetry. In eq.(6.3), some indexes are Lorentz indexes and some are group indexes. Lorentz indexes and group indexes have different transformation law under gravitational gauge transformation, but they have the same transformation law under Lorentz transformation. Therefor, it can be easily seen that both $\mathcal{L}_0$ and $J(C)$ are Lorentz scalars, the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ and action S are invariant under global Lorentz transformation.

Under gravitational gauge transformations, real scalar field $\phi(x)$ transforms as

$$\phi(x) \to \phi'(x) = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \phi(x)), \tag{6.10}$$

therefore,

$$D_\mu\phi(x) \to D'_\mu\phi'(x) = (\hat{U}_\epsilon D_\mu\phi(x)). \tag{6.11}$$

Using above relations and relations (5.24), (5.25) and (5.32) we can easily prove that $\mathcal{L}_0$ transforms covariantly

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \to \mathcal{L}_0' = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \mathcal{L}_0), \tag{6.12}$$

and the action eq.(6.5) of the system is invariant,

$$S \to S' = S. \tag{6.13}$$

Please remember that eq.(5.62) and eq.(5.57) are an important relations to be used in the proof of the gravitational gauge symmetry of the action.

Global gravitational gauge symmetry gives out conserved charges. Suppose that $\hat{U}_\epsilon$ is an infinitesimal gravitational gauge transformation, it will have the form of eq.(5.63). The first order variations of fields are

$$\delta C_\mu^\alpha(x) = -\epsilon^\nu (\partial_\nu C_\mu^\alpha(x)), \tag{6.14}$$

$$\delta \phi(x) = -\epsilon^\nu (\partial_\nu \phi(x)), \tag{6.15}$$

Using Euler-Lagrange equation of motions for scalar fields and field equation for gravitational gauge fields, we can obtain that

$$\delta S = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \epsilon^\alpha \partial_\mu T_{i\alpha}^\mu, \tag{6.16}$$

where

$$T_{i\alpha}^\mu \equiv J(C) \left(-\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \partial_\alpha \phi - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu C_\nu^\beta} \partial_\alpha C_\nu^\beta + \delta_\alpha^\mu \mathcal{L}_0 \right). \tag{6.17}$$

Because action is invariant under global gravitational gauge transformation,

$$\delta S = 0, \tag{6.18}$$

and ϵ^α is an arbitrary infinitesimal constant 4-vector, we obtain,

$$\partial_\mu T_{i\alpha}^\mu = 0. \tag{6.19}$$

This is the conservation equation for inertial energy-momentum tensor. $T_{i\alpha}^\mu$ is the conserved current which corresponds to the global gravitational gauge symmetry. The space integration of the time component of inertial energy-momentum tensor gives out the conserved charge, which is just the inertial energy-momentum of the system. The time component of the conserved charge is the Hamilton of the system, which is

$$H = -P_{i\ 0} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{x}\ J(C) (\pi_\phi \dot{\phi} + \pi_\alpha^\mu \dot{C}_\mu^\alpha - \mathcal{L}_0), \tag{6.20}$$

where π_ϕ and π^μ_α are canonical conjugate momenta of the real scalar field and gravitational field

$$\pi_\phi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \overset{\cdot}{\phi}}, \tag{6.21}$$

$$\pi^\mu_\alpha = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \overset{\cdot}{C^\alpha_\mu}}. \tag{6.22}$$

The inertial space momentum of the system is given by

$$P^i = P_{i\ i} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \overrightarrow{x}\ J(C)(-\pi_\phi \partial_i \phi - \pi^\mu_\alpha \partial_i C^\alpha_\mu). \tag{6.23}$$

According to gauge principle, after quantization, they will become generators of quantum gravitational gauge transformation.

Using the difinion (5.19), we can change the Lagrangian given by eq.(6.3) into

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha \phi)(\partial_\beta \phi) - \frac{m^2}{2}\phi^2 - \frac{1}{16\pi G} R_0. \tag{6.24}$$

$g^{\alpha\beta}$ is the metric tensor of curved group space-time. We can easily see that, when there is no gravitational field in space-time, that is,

$$C^\alpha_\mu = 0, \tag{6.25}$$

the group space-time will be flat

$$g^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{6.26}$$

This is what we expected in Einstein's general relativity.

Euler-Lagrange equations of motion can be easily deduced from action principle. Keep gravitational gauge field C^α_μ fixed and let real scalar field vary infinitesimally, then the first order infinitesimal variation of action is

$$\delta S = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x J(C) \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \phi} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} - g G^{-1\nu}_\alpha (\partial_\mu C^\alpha_\nu) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \right) \delta \phi. \tag{6.27}$$

Because $\delta\phi$ is an arbitrary variation of scalar field, according to action principle, we get

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \phi} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} - g G^{-1\nu}_\alpha (\partial_\mu C^\alpha_\nu) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} = 0. \tag{6.28}$$

Because of the existence of the factor $J(C)$, the equation of motion for scalar field is quite different from the traditional form in quantum field theory. But the difference is a second order infinitesimal quantity if we suppose that both gravitational coupling constant and gravitational gauge field are first order infinitesimal quantities. Because

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\alpha \phi} = -g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \phi, \tag{6.29}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \phi} = -m^2 \phi, \tag{6.30}$$

the explicit form of the equation of motion of scalar field is

$$g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \phi - m^2 \phi + (\partial_\alpha g^{\alpha\beta}) \partial_\beta \phi + g g^{\alpha\beta} (\partial_\beta \phi) G^{-1\nu}_\gamma (\partial_\alpha C^\gamma_\nu) = 0. \tag{6.31}$$

The field equation for gravitational gauge field is:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu (\quad & \tfrac{1}{4} \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma} g_{\alpha\beta} F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{4} \eta^{\nu\rho} F^\mu_{\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4} \eta^{\mu\rho} F^\nu_{\rho\alpha} \\ & - \tfrac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\rho} \delta^\nu_\alpha F^\beta_{\rho\beta} + \tfrac{1}{2} \eta^{\nu\rho} \delta^\mu_\alpha F^\beta_{\rho\beta}) = -g T^\nu_{g\alpha}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.32}$$

where $T^\nu_{g\alpha}$ is the gravitational energy-momentum tensor, whose definition is:

$$\begin{aligned} T^\nu_{g\alpha} \quad = \quad & - \tfrac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial D_\nu C^\beta_\mu} \partial_\alpha C^\beta_\mu - \tfrac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial D_\nu \phi} \partial_\alpha \phi + G^{-1\nu}_\alpha \mathcal{L}_0 - G^{-1\lambda}_\beta (\partial_\mu C^\beta_\lambda) \tfrac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu C^\alpha_\nu} \\ & - \tfrac{1}{4} \eta^{\lambda\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma} \partial_\mu (g_{\alpha\beta} C^\mu_\lambda F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}) \\ & - \tfrac{1}{4} \eta^{\nu\rho} \partial_\beta (C^\sigma_\lambda G^{-1\lambda}_\alpha F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}) \\ & + \tfrac{1}{2} \eta^{\nu\rho} \partial_\alpha (C^\sigma_\lambda G^{-1\lambda}_\beta F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}) \\ & + \tfrac{1}{4g} \eta^{\lambda\rho} \partial_\mu [(G^{-1\nu}_\beta G^{-1\sigma}_\alpha G^\mu_\lambda - \delta^\nu_\beta \delta^\sigma_\alpha \delta^\mu_\lambda) F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}] \\ & - \tfrac{1}{2g} \eta^{\lambda\rho} \partial_\mu [(G^{-1\nu}_\alpha G^{-1\sigma}_\beta G^\mu_\lambda - \delta^\nu_\alpha \delta^\sigma_\beta \delta^\mu_\lambda) F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}] \\ & - \tfrac{1}{4} \eta^{\kappa\rho} G^{-1\nu}_\beta G^{-1\lambda}_\alpha G^{-1\sigma}_\gamma F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} F^\gamma_{\kappa\lambda} \\ & + \tfrac{1}{2} \eta^{\kappa\rho} G^{-1\nu}_\gamma G^{-1\lambda}_\alpha G^{-1\sigma}_\beta F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} F^\gamma_{\kappa\lambda} \\ & - \tfrac{1}{8} \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\lambda\sigma} g_{\alpha\gamma} G^{-1\nu}_\beta F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} F^\gamma_{\mu\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.33}$$

We can see again that, for matter field, its inertial energy-momentum tensor is also different from the gravitational energy-momentum tensor, this difference completely originate from the influences of gravitational gauge field. Compare eq.(6.33) with eq.(6.17), and set gravitational gauge field to zero, that is

$$D_\mu \phi = \partial_\mu \phi, \tag{6.34}$$

$$J(C) = 1, \tag{6.35}$$

then we find that two energy-momentum tensors are completely the same:

$$T^{\mu}_{i\alpha} = T^{\mu}_{g\alpha}. \tag{6.36}$$

It means that the equivalence principle only strictly hold in a space-time where there is no gravitational field. In the environment of strong gravitational field, such as in black hole, the equivalence principle will be strongly violated.

Field equation of gravitational gauge field can be written into another form. Starting from eq. (6.24) and making a variation of gravitational gauge field, we get

$$\delta S = \frac{g}{16\pi G} \int \mathrm{d}^4x \; J(C) \left[R^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} R + 8\pi G T^{\alpha\beta} \right] (g_{\gamma\beta} G^{-1\mu}_{\alpha} + g_{\gamma\alpha} G^{-1\mu}_{\beta}) \delta C^{\gamma}_{\mu}, \tag{6.37}$$

where $T^{\alpha\beta}$ is the energy-momentum tensor of the scalar field

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\alpha_1} g^{\beta\beta_1} (\partial_{\alpha_1}\phi)(\partial_{\beta_1}\phi) + g^{\alpha\beta} \left[-\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha_1\beta_1} (\partial_{\alpha_1}\phi)(\partial_{\beta_1}\phi) - \frac{m^2}{2}\phi^2 \right]. \tag{6.38}$$

Then action principle gives out the Einstein's field equation

$$R^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} R + 8\pi G T^{\alpha\beta} = 0. \tag{6.39}$$

The Einstein's field equation is equivalent to the field equation (6.32).

Define

$$\mathbf{L} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{x} \; \mathcal{L} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{x} \; J(C) \mathcal{L}_0. \tag{6.40}$$

Then, we can easily prove that

$$\frac{\delta \mathbf{L}}{\delta \phi} = J(C) \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \phi} - \partial_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_i \phi} - g G^{-1\mu}_{\alpha} (\partial_i C^{\alpha}_{\mu}) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_i \phi} \right), \tag{6.41}$$

$$\frac{\delta \mathbf{L}}{\delta \dot{\phi}} = J(C) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \dot{\phi}}, \tag{6.42}$$

$$\frac{\delta \mathbf{L}}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\nu}} = J(C) \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial C^{\alpha}_{\nu}} - \partial_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_i C^{\alpha}_{\nu}} + g G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_0 - g G^{-1\mu}_{\beta} (\partial_i C^{\beta}_{\mu}) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_i C^{\alpha}_{\nu}} \right), \tag{6.43}$$

$$\frac{\delta \mathbf{L}}{\delta \dot{C}^{\alpha}_{\nu}} = J(C) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \dot{C}^{\alpha}_{\nu}}. \tag{6.44}$$

Then, Hamilton's action principle gives out the following equations of motion:

$$\frac{\delta \mathbf{L}}{\delta \phi} - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \frac{\delta \mathbf{L}}{\delta \dot{\phi}} = 0, \tag{6.45}$$

$$\frac{\delta \mathbf{L}}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\nu}} - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \frac{\delta \mathbf{L}}{\delta \dot{C}^{\alpha}_{\nu}} = 0. \tag{6.46}$$

These two equations of motion are essentially the same as the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion which we have obtained before. But these two equations have more beautiful forms.

The Hamiltonian of the system is given by a Legendre transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \int \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{x} \left(\frac{\delta \mathbf{L}}{\delta \dot{\phi}} \dot{\phi} + \frac{\delta \mathbf{L}}{\delta \dot{C}^{\alpha}_{\mu}} \dot{C}^{\alpha}_{\mu} \right) - \mathbf{L} \\ &= \int \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{x}\, J(C) \left(\pi_{\phi} \dot{\phi} + \pi^{\mu}_{\alpha} \dot{C}^{\alpha}_{\mu} - \mathcal{L}_0 \right), \end{aligned} \tag{6.47}$$

where π_ϕ and π^{μ}_{α} are canonical conjugate momenta whose definitions are given by (6.21) and (6.22). It can be easily seen that the Hamiltonian given by Legendre transformation is completely the same as that given by inertial energy-momentum tensor. After Legendre transformation, ϕ, C^{α}_{μ}, $J(C)\pi_\phi$ and $J(C)\pi^{\mu}_{\alpha}$ are canonical independent variables. Let these variables vary infinitesimally, we can get

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi} = - \frac{\delta \mathbf{L}}{\delta \phi}, \tag{6.48}$$

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta (J(C)\pi_{\phi})} = \dot{\phi}, \tag{6.49}$$

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\nu}} = - \frac{\delta \mathbf{L}}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\nu}}, \tag{6.50}$$

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta (J(C)\pi^{\nu}_{\alpha})} = \dot{C}^{\alpha}_{\nu}. \tag{6.51}$$

Then, Hamilton's equations of motion read:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \phi = \frac{\delta H}{\delta (J(C)\pi_{\phi})}, \tag{6.52}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} (J(C)\pi_{\phi}) = - \frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi}, \tag{6.53}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} C^{\alpha}_{\nu} = \frac{\delta H}{\delta (J(C)\pi^{\nu}_{\alpha})}, \tag{6.54}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(J(C)\pi_{\alpha}^{\nu}) = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta C_{\nu}^{\alpha}}. \tag{6.55}$$

The forms of the Hamilton's equations of motion are completely the same as those appears in usual quantum field theory and usual classical analytical mechanics. Therefor, the introduction of the factor $J(C)$ does not affect the forms of Lagrange equations of motion and Hamilton's equations of motion.

The Poisson brackets of two general functional of canonical arguments can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \{A \quad , \quad B\} \quad = \quad & \int \mathrm{d}^3 \overrightarrow{x} \left(\frac{\delta A}{\delta \phi} \frac{\delta B}{\delta (J(C)\pi_{\phi})} - \frac{\delta A}{\delta (J(C)\pi_{\phi})} \frac{\delta B}{\delta \phi} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\delta A}{\delta C_{\nu}^{\alpha}} \frac{\delta B}{\delta (J(C)\pi_{\alpha}^{\nu})} - \frac{\delta A}{\delta (J(C)\pi_{\alpha}^{\nu})} \frac{\delta B}{\delta C_{\nu}^{\alpha}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.56}$$

According to this definition, we have

$$\{\phi(\overrightarrow{x}, t) \quad , \quad (J(C)\pi_{\phi})(\overrightarrow{y}, t)\} = \delta^3(\overrightarrow{x} - \overrightarrow{y}), \tag{6.57}$$

$$\{C_{\nu}^{\alpha}(\overrightarrow{x}, t) \quad , \quad (J(C)\pi_{\beta}^{\mu})(\overrightarrow{y}, t)\} = \delta_{\nu}^{\mu}\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha}\delta^3(\overrightarrow{x} - \overrightarrow{y}). \tag{6.58}$$

These two relations can be used as the starting point of canonical quantization of quantum gravity.

Using Poisson brackets, the Hamilton's equations of motion can be expressed in other forms,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\phi(\overrightarrow{x}, t) = \{\phi(\overrightarrow{x}, t) \quad , \quad H\}, \tag{6.59}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(J(C)\pi_{\phi})(\overrightarrow{x}, t) = \{(J(C)\pi_{\phi})(\overrightarrow{x}, t) \quad , \quad H\}, \tag{6.60}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}C_{\nu}^{\alpha}(\overrightarrow{x}, t) = \{C_{\nu}^{\alpha}(\overrightarrow{x}, t) \quad , \quad H\}, \tag{6.61}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(J(C)\pi_{\alpha}^{\nu})(\overrightarrow{x}, t) = \{(J(C)\pi_{\alpha}^{\nu})(\overrightarrow{x}, t) \quad , \quad H\}. \tag{6.62}$$

Therefore, if A is an arbitrary functional of the canonical arguments ϕ, C_{μ}^{α}, $J(C)\pi_{\phi}$ and $J(C)\pi_{\alpha}^{\mu}$, then we have

$$\dot{A} = \{A \quad , \quad H\}. \tag{6.63}$$

After quantization, this equation will become the Heisenberg equation.

If $\phi(x)$ is a complex scalar field, its traditional Lagrangian is

$$-\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\phi(x)\partial_{\nu}\phi^*(x) - m^2\phi(x)\phi^*(x). \tag{6.64}$$

Replace ordinary partial derivative with gauge covariant derivative, and add into the Lagrangian for pure gravitational gauge field, we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_0 &= -\eta^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\phi)(D_\nu\phi)^* - m^2\phi\phi^* \\ &\quad -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta} \\ &\quad -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}G_\beta^{-1\nu}G_\alpha^{-1\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta} \\ &\quad +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}G_\alpha^{-1\nu}G_\beta^{-1\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.65}$$

Repeating all above discussions, we can get the whole theory for gravitational interactions of complex scalar fields. We will not repeat this discussion here.

7 Gravitational Interactions of Dirac Field

In the usual quantum field theory, the Lagrangian for Dirac field is

$$-\bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + m)\psi. \tag{7.1}$$

Replace ordinary partial derivative with gauge covariant derivative, and add into the Lagrangian of pure gravitational gauge field, we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_0 &= -\bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu D_\mu + m)\psi \\ &\quad -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta} \\ &\quad -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}G_\beta^{-1\nu}G_\alpha^{-1\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta} \\ &\quad +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}G_\alpha^{-1\nu}G_\beta^{-1\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

The full Lagrangian of the system is

$$\mathcal{L} = J(C)\mathcal{L}_0, \tag{7.3}$$

and the corresponding action is

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\mathcal{L} = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \quad J(C)\mathcal{L}_0. \tag{7.4}$$

This Lagrangian can be separated into two parts,

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_F + \mathcal{L}_I, \tag{7.5}$$

with $\mathcal{L}_F$ the free Lagrangian and $\mathcal{L}_I$ the interaction Lagrangian. Their explicit forms are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_F &= -\bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + m)\psi \\ &\quad -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\eta_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha}_{0\mu\nu}F^{\beta}_{0\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^{\alpha}_{0\mu\beta}F^{\beta}_{0\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^{\alpha}_{0\mu\alpha}F^{\beta}_{0\rho\beta},\end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_I &= (J(C)-1)\cdot\left[-\bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + m)\psi\right] + gJ(C)\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(\partial_\alpha\psi)C^{\alpha}_{\mu} \\ &\quad + self\ interaction\ terms\ of\ Gravitational\ gauge\ field.\end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

From $\mathcal{L}_I$, we can see that Dirac field can directly couple to any number of gravitational gauge fields, the mass term of Dirac field also take part in gravitational interactions. All these interactions are completely determined by the requirement of gravitational gauge symmetry. The Lagrangian function before renormalization almost contains all kind of divergent vertex, which is important in the renormalization of the theory. Besides, from eq.(7.7), we can directly write out Feynman rules of the corresponding interaction vertexes.

Because the traditional Lagrangian function eq.(7.1) is invariant under global Lorentz transformation, which is already proved in the traditional quantum field theory, and the covariant derivative has the same behavior as partial derivative under global Lorentz transformation, the first two terms of Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ are global Lorentz invariant. We have already prove that the Lagrangian function for pure gravitational gauge field is invariant under global Lorentz transformation. Therefor, $\mathcal{L}$ has global Lorentz symmetry.

The gravitational gauge transformation of Dirac field is

$$\psi(x) \to \psi'(x) = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \psi(x)). \tag{7.8}$$

$\bar{\psi}$ transforms similarly,

$$\bar{\psi}(x) \to \bar{\psi}'(x) = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \bar{\psi}(x)). \tag{7.9}$$

Dirac γ-matrices is not a physical field, so it keeps unchanged under gravitational gauge transformation,

$$\gamma^\mu \to \gamma^\mu. \tag{7.10}$$

Using above relations and relations (5.24), (5.25) and (5.32) we can prove that, under gravitational gauge transformation, $\mathcal{L}_0$ transforms as

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \to \mathcal{L}'_0 = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \mathcal{L}_0). \tag{7.11}$$

So,

$$\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}' = J(\hat{U}_\epsilon \mathcal{L}_0), \tag{7.12}$$

where J is the Jacobi of the corresponding space-time translation. Then using eq.(5.62), we can prove that the action S has gravitational gauge symmetry.

Suppose that $\hat{U}_\epsilon$ is an infinitesimal global transformation, then the first order infinitesimal variations of Dirac field are

$$\delta\psi = -\epsilon^\nu \partial_\nu \psi, \tag{7.13}$$

$$\delta\bar{\psi} = -\epsilon^\nu \partial_\nu \bar{\psi}. \tag{7.14}$$

The first order variation of action is

$$\delta S = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \epsilon^\alpha \partial_\mu T^\mu_{i\alpha}, \tag{7.15}$$

where $T^\mu_{i\alpha}$ is the inertial energy-momentum tensor whose definition is,

$$T^\mu_{i\alpha} \equiv J(C) \left(-\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu \psi} \partial_\alpha \psi - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu C^\beta_\nu} \partial_\alpha C^\beta_\nu + \delta^\mu_\alpha \mathcal{L}_0 \right). \tag{7.16}$$

The global gravitational gauge symmetry of action gives out conservation equation of the inertial energy-momentum tensor,

$$\partial_\mu T^\mu_{i\alpha} = 0. \tag{7.17}$$

The inertial energy-momentum tensor is the conserved current which expected by gauge principle. The space integration of its time component gives out the conserved energy-momentum of the system,

$$H = -P_{i\ 0} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{x}\ J(C) \left(\pi_\psi \dot{\psi} + \pi^\mu_\alpha \dot{C}^\alpha_\mu - \mathcal{L}_0 \right), \tag{7.18}$$

$$P^i = P_{i\ i} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{x}\ J(C) \left(-\pi_\psi \partial_i \psi - \pi^\mu_\alpha \partial_i C^\alpha_\mu \right), \tag{7.19}$$

where

$$\pi_\psi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \dot{\psi}}. \tag{7.20}$$

The equation of motion of Dirac field is

$$(\gamma^\mu D_\mu + m)\psi = 0. \tag{7.21}$$

From this expression, we can see that the factor $J(C)$ does not affect the equation of motion of Dirac field. This is caused by the asymmetric form of the Lagrangian. If we use a symmetric form of Lagrangian, the factor $J(C)$ will also affect the equation of motion of Dirac field, which will be discussed later.

The field equation of gravitational gauge field can be easily deduced,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu(\ & \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}F^{\mu}_{\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^{\nu}_{\rho\alpha} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\rho}\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta} + \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta}) = -gT^{\nu}_{g\alpha}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.22}$$

where $T^{\nu}_{g\alpha}$ is the gravitational energy-momentum tensor, whose definition is:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\nu}_{g\alpha} \ = \ & -\tfrac{\partial\mathcal{L}_0}{\partial D_\nu C^{\beta}_{\mu}}\partial_\alpha C^{\beta}_{\mu} - \tfrac{\partial\mathcal{L}_0}{\partial D_\nu\psi}\partial_\alpha\psi + G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}\mathcal{L}_0 - G^{-1\lambda}_{\beta}(\partial_\mu C^{\beta}_{\lambda})\tfrac{\partial\mathcal{L}_0}{\partial\partial_\mu C^{\alpha}_{\nu}} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\partial_\mu(g_{\alpha\beta}C^{\mu}_{\lambda}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}) \\ & -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}\partial_\beta(C^{\sigma}_{\lambda}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}) \\ & +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\partial_\alpha(C^{\sigma}_{\lambda}G^{-1\lambda}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}) \\ & +\tfrac{1}{4g}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\partial_\mu[(G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\alpha}G^{\mu}_{\lambda} - \delta^{\nu}_{\beta}\delta^{\sigma}_{\alpha}\delta^{\mu}_{\lambda})F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}] \\ & -\tfrac{1}{2g}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\partial_\mu[(G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}G^{\mu}_{\lambda} - \delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}\delta^{\sigma}_{\beta}\delta^{\mu}_{\lambda})F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}] \\ & -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\kappa\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\kappa\lambda} \\ & +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\kappa\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\gamma}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\kappa\lambda} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\lambda\sigma}g_{\alpha\gamma}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\mu\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.23}$$

We see again that the gravitational energy-momentum tensor is different from the inertial energy-momentum tensor. This field equation is also equivalent to the Einstein's field equation which has the following form

$$R^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}R + 8\pi G T^{\alpha\beta} = 0, \tag{7.24}$$

where $T^{\alpha\beta}$ is the symmetric energy-momentum tensor of Dirac field

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\gamma}\psi)(G^{\alpha}_{\mu}g^{\beta\gamma} + G^{\beta}_{\mu}g^{\alpha\gamma}) - g^{\alpha\beta}\bar{\psi}(\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu} + m)\psi \tag{7.25}$$

In usual quantum field theory, the Lagrangian for Dirac field has a more symmetric form, which is

$$-\bar{\psi}(\gamma^{\mu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\mu} + m)\psi, \tag{7.26}$$

where

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_\mu = \frac{\partial_\mu - \overset{\leftarrow}{\partial_\mu}}{2}. \tag{7.27}$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation of motion of eq.(7.26) also gives out the conventional Dirac equation.

Now replace ordinary space-time partial derivative with covariant derivative, and add into the Lagrangian of pure gravitational gauge field, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 &= -\bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_\mu + m)\psi \\ &\quad -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma} g_{\alpha\beta} F^\alpha_{\mu\nu} F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ &\quad -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho} G^{-1\nu}_\beta G^{-1\sigma}_\alpha F^\alpha_{\mu\nu} F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ &\quad +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho} G^{-1\nu}_\alpha G^{-1\sigma}_\beta F^\alpha_{\mu\nu} F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.28}$$

where $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_\mu$ is defined by

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_\mu = \frac{D_\mu - \overset{\leftarrow}{D}_\mu}{2}. \tag{7.29}$$

Operator $\overset{\leftarrow}{D}_\mu$ is understood in the following way

$$f(x) \overset{\leftarrow}{D}_\mu g(x) = (D_\mu f(x)) g(x), \tag{7.30}$$

with $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ two arbitrary functions. The Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ and action S are also defined by eqs.(7.3-7.4). In this case, the free Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_F$ and interaction Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_I$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_F &= -\bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_\mu + m)\psi \\ &\quad -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\eta_{\alpha\beta} F^\alpha_{0\mu\nu} F^\beta_{0\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho} F^\alpha_{0\mu\beta} F^\beta_{0\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho} F^\alpha_{0\mu\alpha} F^\beta_{0\rho\beta}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.31}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_I &= (J(C)-1)\cdot\left[-\bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_\mu + m)\psi\right] + gJ(C)(\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_\alpha \psi) C^\alpha_\mu \\ &\quad + self\ interaction\ terms\ of\ Gravitational\ gauge\ field. \end{aligned} \tag{7.32}$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation of motion for Dirac field is

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \bar{\psi}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}} - g G^{-1\nu}_\alpha (\partial_\mu C^\alpha_\nu) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}} = 0. \tag{7.33}$$

Because

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \bar{\psi}} = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi - m\psi, \tag{7.34}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}} = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^\alpha G^\mu_\alpha \psi, \tag{7.35}$$

eq.(7.33) will be changed into

$$(\gamma^\mu D_\mu + m)\psi = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma^\mu (\partial_\alpha G^\alpha_\mu)\psi - \frac{1}{2} g \gamma^\mu \psi G^{-1\nu}_\beta (D_\mu C^\beta_\nu). \tag{7.36}$$

If gravitational gauge field vanishes, this equation of motion will return to the traditional Dirac equation.

The inertial energy-momentum tensor now becomes

$$T^\mu_{i\alpha} = J(C)\left(-\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu \psi}\partial_\alpha \psi - (\partial_\alpha \bar{\psi})\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu C^\beta_\nu}\partial_\alpha C^\beta_\nu + \delta^\mu_\alpha \mathcal{L}_0 \right), \tag{7.37}$$

and the gravitational energy-momentum tensor becomes

$$\begin{array}{rcl} T^\nu_{g\alpha} & = & -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial D_\nu C^\beta_\mu}\partial_\alpha C^\beta_\mu - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial D_\nu \psi}\partial_\alpha \psi - (\partial_\alpha \bar{\psi})\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial D_\nu \bar{\psi}} + G^{-1\nu}_\alpha \mathcal{L}_0 \\ & & -G^{-1\lambda}_\beta (\partial_\mu C^\beta_\lambda)\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu C^\alpha_\nu} \\ & & -\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\partial_\mu (g_{\alpha\beta} C^\mu_\lambda F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}) \\ & & -\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}\partial_\beta (C^\sigma_\lambda G^{-1\lambda}_\alpha F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}) \\ & & +\frac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\partial_\alpha (C^\sigma_\lambda G^{-1\lambda}_\beta F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}) \\ & & +\frac{1}{4g}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\partial_\mu [(G^{-1\nu}_\beta G^{-1\sigma}_\alpha G^\mu_\lambda - \delta^\nu_\beta \delta^\sigma_\alpha \delta^\mu_\lambda) F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}] \\ & & -\frac{1}{2g}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\partial_\mu [(G^{-1\nu}_\alpha G^{-1\sigma}_\beta G^\mu_\lambda - \delta^\nu_\alpha \delta^\sigma_\beta \delta^\mu_\lambda) F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}] \\ & & -\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\kappa\rho} G^{-1\nu}_\beta G^{-1\lambda}_\alpha G^{-1\sigma}_\gamma F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} F^\gamma_{\kappa\lambda} \\ & & +\frac{1}{2}\eta^{\kappa\rho} G^{-1\nu}_\gamma G^{-1\lambda}_\alpha G^{-1\sigma}_\beta F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} F^\gamma_{\kappa\lambda} \\ & & -\frac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\lambda\sigma} g_{\alpha\gamma} G^{-1\nu}_\beta F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} F^\gamma_{\mu\lambda}. \end{array} \tag{7.38}$$

Both of them are conserved energy-momentum tensor. But they are not equivalent. The field equation of gravitational gauge field still are (7.22), but should replace the gravitational energy-momentum tensor $T_{g\alpha}^{\nu}$ with (7.38). The Einstein's field equation is still (7.24), but the energy-momentum tensor $T^{\alpha\beta}$ should be

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\gamma} \psi)(G_{\mu}^{\alpha} g^{\beta\gamma} + G_{\mu}^{\beta} g^{\alpha\gamma}) - g^{\alpha\beta} \left[\bar{\psi}(\gamma^{\mu} \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu} + m)\psi\right] \tag{7.39}$$

8 Gravitational Interactions of Vector Field

The traditional Lagrangian for vector field is

$$-\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}A_{\mu\nu}A_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{m^2}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}A_{\mu}A_{\nu}, \tag{8.1}$$

where $A_{\mu\nu}$ is the strength of vector field which is given by

$$\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}. \tag{8.2}$$

The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_0$ that describes gravitational interactions between vector field and gravitational fields is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 \;=\; & -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}A_{\mu\nu}A_{\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{m^2}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}A_{\mu}A_{\nu} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}G_{\beta}^{-1\nu}G_{\alpha}^{-1\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta} \\ & +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}G_{\alpha}^{-1\nu}G_{\beta}^{-1\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}F_{\rho\sigma}^{\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

In eq.(8.3), the definition of strength $A_{\mu\nu}$ is not given by eq.(8.2), it is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mu\nu} &= D_{\mu}A_{\nu} - D_{\nu}A_{\mu} \\ &= \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} - gC_{\mu}^{\alpha}\partial_{\alpha}A_{\nu} + gC_{\nu}^{\alpha}\partial_{\alpha}A_{\mu}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

where D_{μ} is the gravitational gauge covariant derivative, whose definition is given by eq.(5.4). The full Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ is given by,

$$\mathcal{L} = J(C)\mathcal{L}_0. \tag{8.5}$$

The action S is defined by

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \; \mathcal{L}. \tag{8.6}$$

The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ can be separated into two parts: the free Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_F$ and interaction Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_I$. The explicit forms of them are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_F &= -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}A_{0\mu\nu}A_{0\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{m^2}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}A_\mu A_\nu \\ &\quad -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\eta_{\alpha\beta}F^\alpha_{0\mu\nu}F^\beta_{0\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^\alpha_{0\mu\beta}F^\beta_{0\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^\alpha_{0\mu\alpha}F^\beta_{0\rho\beta},\end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_I &= (J(C)-1)\cdot(-\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}A_{0\mu\nu}A_{0\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{m^2}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}A_\mu A_\nu) \\ &\quad +gJ(C)\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}A_{0\mu\nu}C^\alpha_\rho\partial_\alpha A_\sigma \\ &\quad -\tfrac{g^2}{2}J(C)\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}(C^\alpha_\mu C^\beta_\rho(\partial_\alpha A_\nu)(\partial_\beta A_\sigma) - C^\alpha_\nu C^\beta_\rho(\partial_\alpha A_\mu)(\partial_\beta A_\sigma)) \\ &\quad + self\ interaction\ terms\ of\ Gravitational\ gauge\ field,\end{aligned} \tag{8.8}$$

where $A_{0\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. The first three lines of $\mathcal{L}_I$ contain interactions between vector field and gravitational gauge fields. It can be seen that the vector field can also directly couple to arbitrary number of gravitational gauge fields, which is one of the most important properties of gravitational gauge interactions. This interaction property is required and determined by local gravitational gauge symmetry.

Under Lorentz transformations, group index and Lorentz index have the same behavior. Therefor every term in the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ are Lorentz scalar, and the whole Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ and action S have Lorentz symmetry.

Under gravitational gauge transformations, vector field A_μ transforms as

$$A_\mu(x) \to A'_\mu(x) = (\hat{U}_\epsilon A_\mu(x)). \tag{8.9}$$

$D_\mu A_\nu$ and $A_{\mu\nu}$ transform covariantly,

$$D_\mu A_\nu \to D'_\mu A'_\nu = (\hat{U}_\epsilon D_\mu A_\nu), \tag{8.10}$$

$$A_{\mu\nu} \to A'_{\mu\nu} = (\hat{U}_\epsilon A_{\mu\nu}). \tag{8.11}$$

So, the gravitational gauge transformations of $\mathcal{L}_0$ and $\mathcal{L}$ respectively are

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \to \mathcal{L}'_0 = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \mathcal{L}_0), \tag{8.12}$$

$$\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}' = J(\hat{U}_\epsilon \mathcal{L}_0). \tag{8.13}$$

The action of the system is gravitational gauge invariant.

The global gravitational gauge transformation gives out conserved current of gravitational gauge symmetry. Under infinitesimal global gravitational gauge transformation, the vector field A_μ transforms as

$$\delta A_\mu = -\epsilon^\alpha \partial_\alpha A_\mu. \tag{8.14}$$

The first order variation of action is

$$\delta S = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \epsilon^\alpha \partial_\mu T^\mu_{i\alpha}, \tag{8.15}$$

where $T^\mu_{i\alpha}$ is the inertial energy-momentum tensor whose definition is,

$$T^\mu_{i\alpha} = J(C) \left(-\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu A_\nu} \partial_\alpha A_\nu - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu C^\beta_\nu} \partial_\alpha C^\beta_\nu + \delta^\mu_\alpha \mathcal{L}_0 \right). \tag{8.16}$$

$T^\mu_{i\alpha}$ is a conserved current. The space integration of its time component gives out inertial energy-momentum of the system,

$$H = -P_{i\ 0} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{x}\ J(C) \left(\pi^\mu \dot{A}_\mu + \pi^\mu_\alpha \dot{C}^\alpha_\mu - \mathcal{L}_0 \right), \tag{8.17}$$

$$P^i = P_{i\ i} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{x}\ J(C) \left(-\pi^\mu \partial_i A_\mu - \pi^\mu_\alpha \partial_i C^\alpha_\mu \right), \tag{8.18}$$

where

$$\pi^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \dot{A}_\mu}. \tag{8.19}$$

The equation of motion for vector field is

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial A_\nu} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu A_\nu} - g G^{-1\lambda}_\alpha (\partial_\mu C^\alpha_\lambda) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu A_\nu} = 0. \tag{8.20}$$

From eq.(8.3), we can obtain

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial \partial_\mu A_\nu} = -\eta^{\lambda\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma} G^\mu_\lambda A_{\rho\sigma}, \tag{8.21}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_0}{\partial A_\nu} = -m^2 \eta^{\lambda\nu} A_\lambda. \tag{8.22}$$

Then, eq.(8.20) is changed into

$$\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma} D_\mu A_{\rho\sigma} - m^2 \eta^{\mu\nu} A_\mu = -\eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma} (\partial_\mu G^\mu_\lambda) A_{\rho\sigma} - g \eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma} A_{\rho\sigma} G^{-1\mu}_\alpha (D_\mu C^\alpha_\mu). \tag{8.23}$$

The equation of motion of gravitational gauge field is

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu(\quad & \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}F^{\mu}_{\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^{\nu}_{\rho\alpha} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\rho}\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta} + \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta}) = -gT^{\nu}_{g\alpha},\end{aligned} \tag{8.24}$$

where $T^{\nu}_{g\alpha}$ is the gravitational energy-momentum tensor,

$$\begin{aligned}T^{\nu}_{g\alpha} \quad = \quad & -\tfrac{\partial\mathcal{L}_0}{\partial D_\nu C^{\beta}_{\mu}}\partial_\alpha C^{\beta}_{\mu} - \tfrac{\partial\mathcal{L}_0}{\partial D_\nu A_\mu}\partial_\alpha A_\mu + G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}\mathcal{L}_0 - G^{-1\rho}_{\gamma}(\partial_\mu C^{\gamma}_{\rho})\tfrac{\partial\mathcal{L}_0}{\partial\partial_\mu C^{\alpha}_{\nu}} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\partial_\mu(g_{\alpha\beta}C^{\mu}_{\lambda}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}) \\ & -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}\partial_\beta(C^{\sigma}_{\lambda}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}) \\ & +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\partial_\alpha(C^{\sigma}_{\lambda}G^{-1\lambda}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}) \\ & +\tfrac{1}{4g}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\partial_\mu[(G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\alpha}G^{\mu}_{\lambda} - \delta^{\nu}_{\beta}\delta^{\sigma}_{\alpha}\delta^{\mu}_{\lambda})F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}] \\ & -\tfrac{1}{2g}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\partial_\mu[(G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}G^{\mu}_{\lambda} - \delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}\delta^{\sigma}_{\beta}\delta^{\mu}_{\lambda})F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}] \\ & -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\kappa\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\kappa\lambda} \\ & +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\kappa\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\gamma}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\kappa\lambda} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\lambda\sigma}g_{\alpha\gamma}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\mu\lambda}.\end{aligned} \tag{8.25}$$

$T^{\nu}_{g\alpha}$ is also a conserved current. The space integration of its time component gives out the gravitational energy-momentum which is the source of gravitational interactions. It can be also seen that inertial energy-momentum tensor and gravitational energy-momentum tensor are not equivalent.

9 GSU(N) Unification Model

Now, let's discuss how to unify traditional $SU(N)$ gauge field theory with gravitational gauge field theory[32], which is the foundation of the unification of fundamental interactions. As an example, we discuss gravitational gauge interactions and $SU(N)$ non-Abelian gauge interactions of Dirac field. The generators of $SU(N)$ group is denoted as T_a, they satisfies

$$[T_a \quad , \quad T_b] = if_{abc}T_c, \tag{9.1}$$

$$Tr(T_aT_b) = K\delta_{ab}. \tag{9.2}$$

The $SU(N)$ non-Abelian gauge field is denoted as A_μ, which is an element of $SU(N)$ Lie algebra,

$$A_\mu(x) = A^a_\mu(x)T_a, \tag{9.3}$$

where $A^a_\mu(x)$ are component fields.

Because an arbitrary element $U(x)$ of $SU(N)$ group does not commute with an arbitrary element $\hat{U}_\epsilon$ of gravitational gauge group,

$$[U(x) \quad , \quad \hat{U}_\epsilon] \neq 0. \tag{9.4}$$

The product group of $SU(N)$ group and gravitational group is not direct product group, but semi-direct product group, which we will denoted as $GSU(N)$ group

$$GSU(N) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} SU(N) \otimes_s Gravitational\ Gauge\ Group. \tag{9.5}$$

An arbitrary element of $GSU(N)$ is denoted as $g(x)$, which is defined by

$$g(x) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \hat{U}_\epsilon \cdot U(x). \tag{9.6}$$

The gauge covariant derivative of $GSU(N)$ group is

$$\mathbb{D}_\mu \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \partial_\mu - igC_\mu - ig_sA_\mu = D_\mu - ig_sA_\mu, \tag{9.7}$$

where g_s is the coupling constant of non-Abelian $SU(N)$ gauge interactions, C_μ is the gravitational gauge field and D_μ is the gravitational gauge covariant derivative.

The field strength of non-Abelian gauge field A_μ is

$$A_{\mu\nu} = (D_\mu A_\nu) - (D_\nu A_\mu) - ig_s[A_\mu \quad , \quad A_\nu]. \tag{9.8}$$

$A_{\mu\nu}$ is also an element of $SU(N)$ Lie algebra,

$$A_{\mu\nu}(x) = A^a_{\mu\nu}(x)T_a, \tag{9.9}$$

where

$$A^a_{\mu\nu} = (D_\mu A^a_\nu) - (D_\nu A^a_\mu) + g_s f_{abc}A^b_\mu A^c_\nu. \tag{9.10}$$

$A_{\mu\nu}$ is not a $SU(N)$ gauge covariant field strength.

$SU(N)$ Gauge covariant field strength is defined by

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu} = A_{\mu\nu} + gG^{-1\lambda}_\sigma A_\lambda F^\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{A}^a_{\mu\nu}T_a, \tag{9.11}$$

where

$$\mathbb{A}^a_{\mu\nu} = A^a_{\mu\nu} + g G^{-1\lambda}_{\sigma} A^a_\lambda F^\sigma_{\mu\nu}. \tag{9.12}$$

The lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_0$ is given by,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_0 \;=\; & -\bar{\psi}[\gamma^\mu(D_\mu - i g_s A_\mu) + m]\psi - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\mathbb{A}^a_{\mu\nu}\mathbb{A}^a_{\rho\sigma} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma} g_{\alpha\beta} F^\alpha_{\mu\nu} F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho} G^{-1\nu}_{\beta} G^{-1\sigma}_{\alpha} F^\alpha_{\mu\nu} F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ & +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho} G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha} G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta} F^\alpha_{\mu\nu} F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}.\end{aligned} \tag{9.13}$$

The full lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L} = J(C)\mathcal{L}_0, \tag{9.14}$$

And the action of the system is

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \mathcal{L} = \int \mathrm{d}^4x J(C)\mathcal{L}_0. \tag{9.15}$$

Now, we discus symmetry of the system. Under $SU(N)$ gauge transformations, gravitational gauge field $C_\mu(x)$ is kept unchanged. Therefore, $F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}$, G^α_μ, $G^{-1\mu}_\alpha$, D_μ and $J(C)$ are not changed under local $SU(N)$ gauge transformations. Other fields and operators transform as

$$\psi \to \psi' = (U(x)\psi), \tag{9.16}$$

$$A_\mu \to A'_\mu = U(x) A_\mu U^{-1}(x) - \frac{1}{i g_s} U(x)(D_\mu U^{-1}(x)), \tag{9.17}$$

$$A_{\mu\nu} \to A'_{\mu\nu} = U(x) A_{\mu\nu} U^{-1}(x) + \frac{g}{i g_s} F^\sigma_{\mu\nu} U(x)(\partial_\sigma U^{-1}(x)), \tag{9.18}$$

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{A}'_{\mu\nu} = U(x)\mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu} U^{-1}(x). \tag{9.19}$$

Using all these relations, we can prove that the lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_0$ does not change under local $SU(N)$ gauge transformations

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \to \mathcal{L}'_0 = \mathcal{L}_0. \tag{9.20}$$

Because both integration measure d^4x and $J(C)$ are not changed under non-Abelian $SU(N)$ gauge transformation, the action is invariant under $SU(N)$ gauge transformation. Therefore, the system has local $SU(N)$ gauge symmetry.

Under local gravitational gauge transformation, the transformations of various fields and operators are

$$\psi \to \psi' = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \psi), \tag{9.21}$$

$$\bar{\psi} \to \bar{\psi}' = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \bar{\psi}), \tag{9.22}$$

$$A_\mu \to A'_\mu = \hat{U}_\epsilon A_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}, \tag{9.23}$$

$$C_\mu \to C'_\mu = \hat{U}_\epsilon C_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1} - \frac{1}{ig} \hat{U}_\epsilon (\partial_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}), \tag{9.24}$$

$$g_{\alpha\beta} \to g'_{\alpha\beta} = \Lambda_\alpha^{\ \alpha_1} \Lambda_\beta^{\ \beta_1} (\hat{U}_\epsilon g_{\alpha_1\beta_1}), \tag{9.25}$$

$$\mathbb{D}_\mu \to \mathbb{D}'_\mu = \hat{U}_\epsilon \mathbb{D}_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}, \tag{9.26}$$

$$A_{\mu\nu} \to A'_{\mu\nu} = \hat{U}_\epsilon A_{\mu\nu} \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}, \tag{9.27}$$

$$F^\sigma_{\mu\nu} \to F'^\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\sigma_{\ \rho} \hat{U}_\epsilon F^\rho_{\mu\nu} \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}, \tag{9.28}$$

$$G^\alpha_\mu \to G'^\alpha_\mu = \Lambda^\alpha_{\ \beta} \hat{U}_\epsilon G^\beta_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}, \tag{9.29}$$

$$G^{-1\mu}_\alpha \to G'^{-1\mu}_\alpha = \Lambda_\alpha^{\ \beta} \hat{U}_\epsilon G^{-1\mu}_\beta \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}, \tag{9.30}$$

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{A}'_{\mu\nu} = \hat{U}_\epsilon \mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu} \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}, \tag{9.31}$$

$$J(C) \to J'(C') = J \cdot \hat{U}_\epsilon J(C) \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}, \tag{9.32}$$

where J is the Jacobian of the corresponding transformation. Using all these relations and the following relation

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x J(\hat{U}_\epsilon f(x)) = \int \mathrm{d}^4x f(x), \tag{9.33}$$

where $f(x)$ is an arbitrary function, we can prove that

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \to \mathcal{L}'_0 = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \mathcal{L}_0), \tag{9.34}$$

$$\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}' = J(\hat{U}_\epsilon \mathcal{L}), \tag{9.35}$$

$$S \to S' = S. \tag{9.36}$$

Therefore, the system has local gravitational gauge symmetry.

Combining above results on local $SU(N)$ gauge transformations and local gravitational gauge transformations, we know that under general $GSU(N)$ gauge transformation $g(x)$, transformations of various fields and operators are

$$\psi \to \psi' = (g(x)\psi), \tag{9.37}$$

$$\bar{\psi} \to \bar{\psi}' = (\hat{U}_\epsilon (\bar{\psi} U^\dagger(x))), \tag{9.38}$$

$$A_\mu \to A'_\mu = g(x)\left[A_\mu - \frac{1}{ig_s}(D_\mu U^{-1}(x))U(x)\right]g^{-1}(x), \tag{9.39}$$

$$C_\mu \to C'_\mu = \hat{U}_\epsilon C_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1} - \frac{1}{ig}\hat{U}_\epsilon(\partial_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}), \tag{9.40}$$

$$\mathbb{D}_\mu \to \mathbb{D}'_\mu = g(x)\mathbb{D}_\mu g^{-1}(x), \tag{9.41}$$

$$A_{\mu\nu} \to A'_{\mu\nu} = g(x)\left[A_{\mu\nu} + \frac{g}{ig_s}F^\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\partial_\sigma U^{-1}(x))U(x)\right]g^{-1}(x), \tag{9.42}$$

$$F^\sigma_{\mu\nu} \to F'^\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\sigma{}_\rho g(x) F^\rho_{\mu\nu} g^{-1}(x), \tag{9.43}$$

$$G^\alpha_\mu \to G'^\alpha_\mu = \Lambda^\alpha{}_\beta g(x) G^\beta_\mu g^{-1}(x), \tag{9.44}$$

$$G^{-1\mu}_\alpha \to G'^{-1\mu}_\alpha = \Lambda_\alpha{}^\beta g(x) G^{-1\mu}_\beta g^{-1}(x), \tag{9.45}$$

$$g_{\alpha\beta} \to g'_{\alpha\beta} = \Lambda_\alpha{}^{\alpha_1}\Lambda_\beta{}^{\beta_1} \cdot g(x) g_{\alpha_1\beta_1} g^{-1}(x), \tag{9.46}$$

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{A}'_{\mu\nu} = g(x)\mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu} g^{-1}(x), \tag{9.47}$$

$$J(C) \to J'(C') = J \cdot g(x) J(C) g^{-1}(x). \tag{9.48}$$

Using all above relations, we can prove that the action S is invariant local $GSU(N)$ gauge transformation.

The lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ can also be separated into two parts: the free lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_F$ and interaction lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_I$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_F + \mathcal{L}_I, \tag{9.49}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_F \;\; = \;\; & -\bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + m)\psi - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}A^a_{0\mu\nu}A^a_{0\rho\sigma} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\eta_{\alpha\beta}F^\alpha_{0\mu\nu}F^\beta_{0\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^\alpha_{0\mu\beta}F^\beta_{0\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^\alpha_{0\mu\alpha}F^\beta_{0\rho\beta}, \end{aligned} \tag{9.50}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_I \;=\;& -(J(C)-1)\bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu+m)\psi \\
& -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}(J(C)-1)A^a_{0\mu\nu}A^a_{0\rho\sigma} \\
& +gJ(C)\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(\partial_\alpha\psi)C^\alpha_\mu + ig_sJ(C)\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu T_a\psi A^a_\mu \\
& +g\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}J(C)(\partial_\mu A^a_\nu-\partial_\nu A^a_\mu)C^\alpha_\rho(\partial_\alpha A^a_\sigma) \\
& -\tfrac{g_s}{2}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}J(C)f_{abc}A^b_\rho A^c_\sigma(\partial_\mu A^a_\nu-\partial_\nu A^a_\mu) \\
& -\tfrac{g}{2}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}J(C)G^{-1\lambda}_\alpha A^a_\lambda A^a_{\mu\nu}F^\alpha_{\rho\sigma} \qquad (9.51) \\
& -\tfrac{g_s^2}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}J(C)f_{abc}f_{ab_1c_1}A^b_\mu A^c_\nu A^{b_1}_\rho A^{c_1}_\sigma \\
& +gg_s\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}J(C)f_{abc}A^b_\mu A^c_\nu C^\alpha_\rho(\partial_\alpha A^a_\sigma) \\
& -\tfrac{g^2}{2}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}J(C)(C^\alpha_\mu\partial_\alpha A^a_\nu-C^\alpha_\nu\partial_\alpha A^a_\mu)C^\beta_\rho(\partial_\beta A^a_\sigma) \\
& -\tfrac{g^2}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}J(C)G^{-1\lambda}_\alpha G^{-1\kappa}_\beta A^a_\lambda A^a_\kappa F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\
& +self\ interaction\ terms\ of\ Gravitational\ gauge\ field.
\end{aligned}
$$

In above relations, $A^a_{0\mu\nu}$ is defined by

$$A^a_{0\mu\nu} = (\partial_\mu A^a_\nu) - (\partial_\nu A^a_\mu). \qquad (9.52)$$

The explicite expression for $J(C)$ is

$$J(C) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m!}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{g^n}{n}\mathrm{tr}(C^n)\right)^m \qquad (9.53)$$

From eq.(9.50), we can write out propagators of Dirac field, $SU(N)$ non-Abelian gauge field and gravitational gauge field. From eq.(9.51), we can write out Feynman rules for various interaction vertexes and calculate Feynman diagrams for various interaction precesses. We can also see that, because of the influence of the factor $J(C)$, matter fields can directly couple to arbitrary number of gravitational gauge field, which is important for the renormalization of the theory.

The equation of motion of Dirac field is

$$(\gamma^\mu\mathbb{D}_\mu + m)\psi = 0. \qquad (9.54)$$

The equation of motion of $SU(N)$ gauge field is

$$\partial^{\mu} \mathbb{A}^{a}_{\mu\nu} = -g_s \eta_{\nu\sigma} J^{\sigma}_{a}, \tag{9.55}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\nu}_{a} \;=\; & i\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}T_a\psi + \eta^{\nu\rho}\eta^{\nu_1\sigma} f_{abc} A^{c}_{\nu_1}\mathbb{A}^{b}_{\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{g}{g_s}\eta^{\mu_1\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\partial_{\mu}(C^{\mu}_{\mu_1}\mathbb{A}^{a}_{\rho\sigma}) \\ & -\tfrac{g}{2g_s}\eta^{\mu_1\rho}\eta^{\nu_1\sigma}G^{-1\nu}_{\sigma_1}F^{\sigma_1}_{\mu_1\nu_1}\mathbb{A}^{a}_{\rho\sigma} + \tfrac{g}{g_s}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}G^{-1\lambda}_{\tau}(D_{\mu}C^{\tau}_{\lambda})\mathbb{A}^{a}_{\rho\sigma}. \end{aligned} \tag{9.56}$$

J^{ν}_{a} is a conserved current,

$$\partial_{\nu} J^{\nu}_{a} = 0. \tag{9.57}$$

When gravitational gauge field vanishes, the above current J^{ν}_{a} returns to the conventional current in traditional non-Abelian $SU(N)$ gauge field theory, which is

$$J^{\nu}_{a} = i\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}T_a\psi + \eta^{\nu\rho}\eta^{\mu\sigma} f_{abc} A^{c}_{\mu}A^{b}_{\rho\sigma}. \tag{9.58}$$

But if gravitational gauge field does not vanish, because of the influence from gravitational gauge field, the conventional current eq.(9.58) is no longer a conserved current.

The equation of motion of gravitational gauge field is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mu}(\quad & \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}F^{\mu}_{\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^{\nu}_{\rho\alpha} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\rho}\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta} + \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta}) = -gT^{\nu}_{g\alpha}, \end{aligned} \tag{9.59}$$

where $T^{\nu}_{g\alpha}$ is the gravitational energy-momentum tensor

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\nu}_{g\alpha} \;=\; & \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\partial_{\alpha}\psi - \eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\mathbb{A}^{a}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}A^{a}_{\mu}) \\
& -G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}[\gamma^{\mu}(D_{\mu}-ig_{s}A_{\mu})+m]\psi \\
& -\tfrac{1}{4}G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\lambda\sigma}\mathbb{A}^{a}_{\mu\lambda}\mathbb{A}^{a}_{\rho\sigma} \\
& +\eta^{\mu_1\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\partial_{\mu}(G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}G^{\mu}_{\mu_1}A^{a}_{\lambda}\mathbb{A}^{a}_{\rho\sigma}) \\
& +g\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}G^{-1\lambda_1}_{\tau}(D_{\mu}C^{\tau}_{\lambda_1})G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}A^{a}_{\lambda}\mathbb{A}^{a}_{\rho\sigma} \\
& -\tfrac{g}{2}\eta^{\mu_1\rho}\eta^{\nu_1\sigma}G^{-1\nu}_{\sigma_1}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}A^{a}_{\lambda}\mathbb{A}^{a}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\sigma_1}_{\mu_1\nu_1} \\
& -g\eta^{\mu_1\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}G^{-1\lambda}_{\sigma_1}A^{a}_{\lambda}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\sigma_1}_{\mu_1})\mathbb{A}^{a}_{\rho\sigma} \\
& +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}\eta^{\lambda\sigma}g_{\beta\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\gamma}_{\lambda}) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}G^{-1\lambda}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\gamma}_{\lambda}) \\
& -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}G^{-1\lambda}_{\gamma}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\gamma}_{\lambda}) \\
& -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\gamma}_{\lambda}) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\gamma}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\gamma}_{\lambda}) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}G^{-1\kappa}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(D_{\lambda}C^{\gamma}_{\kappa}) \\
& -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}G^{-1\sigma}_{\alpha}G^{-1\kappa}_{\gamma}F^{\mu}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\mu}C^{\gamma}_{\kappa}) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}G^{-1\kappa}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_{\alpha}C^{\gamma}_{\kappa}) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\alpha}G^{-1\kappa}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(D_{\lambda}C^{\gamma}_{\kappa}) \\
& -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}G^{-1\kappa}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}(D_{\lambda}C^{\gamma}_{\kappa}) \\
& -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\partial_{\mu}(g_{\alpha\beta}C^{\mu}_{\lambda}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}) \\
& -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}\partial_{\beta}(C^{\sigma}_{\lambda}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\partial_{\alpha}(C^{\sigma}_{\lambda}G^{-1\lambda}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}) \\
& +\tfrac{1}{4g}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\partial_{\mu}[(G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\alpha}G^{\mu}_{\lambda}-\delta^{\nu}_{\beta}\delta^{\sigma}_{\alpha}\delta^{\mu}_{\lambda})F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}] \\
& -\tfrac{1}{2g}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\partial_{\mu}[(G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}G^{\mu}_{\lambda}-\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}\delta^{\sigma}_{\beta}\delta^{\mu}_{\lambda})F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}] \\
& -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\kappa\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\kappa\lambda} \\
& +\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\kappa\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\gamma}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\kappa\lambda} \\
& -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\lambda\sigma}g_{\alpha\gamma}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\mu\lambda} \\
& -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\lambda\sigma}g_{\beta\gamma}G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\mu\lambda} \\
& -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}G^{-1\lambda}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\mu\lambda} \\
& +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}G^{-1\lambda}_{\gamma}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\mu\lambda}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{9.60}
$$

The global gravitational gauge symmetry of the system gives out another energy-momentum tensor which is called inertial energy-momentum tensor,

$$\begin{aligned} T^\mu_{i\alpha} = J(C)\,(\; & \bar{\psi}\gamma^\nu G^\mu_\nu(\partial_\alpha\psi) + \eta^{\mu_1\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma} G^\mu_{\mu_1}\mathbb{A}^a_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\alpha A^a_\nu) \\ & -\delta^\mu_\alpha\bar{\psi}[\gamma^\nu(D_\nu - ig_s A_\nu)+m]\psi \\ & -\frac{1}{4}\delta^\mu_\alpha\eta^{\nu\rho}\eta^{\lambda\sigma}\mathbb{A}^a_{\nu\lambda}\mathbb{A}^a_{\rho\sigma} \\ & +g\eta^{\mu_1\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}G^{-1\lambda}_\beta G^\mu_{\mu_1}A^a_\lambda\mathbb{A}^a_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\alpha C^\beta_\nu) \\ & +\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\beta\gamma}G^\mu_\lambda F^\gamma_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\alpha C^\beta_\nu) \\ & -\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}G^{-1\sigma}_\beta F^\mu_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\alpha C^\beta_\nu) \\ & +\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G^{-1\nu}_\gamma G^{-1\sigma}_\beta G^\mu_\lambda F^\gamma_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\alpha C^\beta_\nu) \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\eta^{\lambda\rho}G^{-1\nu}_\beta G^{-1\sigma}_\gamma G^\mu_\lambda F^\gamma_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\alpha C^\beta_\nu) \\ & +\frac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\delta^\mu_\beta G^{-1\sigma}_\gamma F^\gamma_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\alpha C^\beta_\nu) \\ & -\frac{1}{16}\delta^\mu_\alpha\eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\beta\gamma}F^\gamma_{\lambda\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ & -\frac{1}{8}\delta^\mu_\alpha\eta^{\lambda\rho}G^{-1\nu}_\beta G^{-1\sigma}_\gamma F^\gamma_{\lambda\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ & +\frac{1}{4}\delta^\mu_\alpha\eta^{\lambda\rho}G^{-1\nu}_\gamma G^{-1\sigma}_\beta F^\gamma_{\lambda\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{9.61}$$

Compare eq.(9.60) with eq.(9.61), we can see that the inertial energy-momentum tensor is not equivalent to the gravitational energy-momentum tensor. In this case, they are not equivalent even when gravitational field vanishes. When gravitational field vanishes, the gravitational energy-momentum tensor becomes $T^\nu_{0g\alpha}$,

$$T^\nu_{0g\alpha} = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\nu\partial_\alpha\psi - \eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}A^a_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\alpha A^a_\mu) + \eta^\nu_{1\alpha}\mathcal{L}_0 + \eta^{\nu\sigma}\partial^\mu(A^a_\alpha A^a_{\mu\sigma}), \tag{9.62}$$

while inertial energy-momentum tensor becomes $T^\nu_{0i\alpha}$

$$T^\nu_{0i\alpha} = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\nu\partial_\alpha\psi - \eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}A^a_{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\alpha A^a_\mu) + \delta^\nu_\alpha\mathcal{L}_0. \tag{9.63}$$

Therefore, we have

$$T^\nu_{0g\alpha} = T^\nu_{0i\alpha} + \eta^{\nu\sigma}\partial^\mu(A^a_\alpha A^a_{\mu\sigma}). \tag{9.64}$$

But this difference has no contribution on energy-momentum. The spatial integration of time component of energy-momentum tensor gives out energy-momentum of the system. The inertial energy-momentum $P_{0i\alpha}$ is

$$P_{0i\alpha} = \int \mathrm{d}^3x T^0_{0i\alpha}, \tag{9.65}$$

and the gravitational energy-momentum $P_{0g\alpha}$ is

$$P_{0g\alpha} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 x T^0_{0g\alpha}. \tag{9.66}$$

So, their difference is

$$P_{0g\alpha} - P_{0i\alpha} = - \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \partial_i (A^a_\alpha A^a_{i0}) = 0. \tag{9.67}$$

It means that, when gravitational gauge field vanishes, equivalence principle holds.

10 Unification of Fundamental Interactions

It is know that QED, QCD and unified electroweak theory are all gauge theories. In this chapter, we will discuss how to unify these gauge theories with gravitational gauge theory, and how to unify four different kinds of fundamental interactions formally.

First, let's discuss QED theory[31]. As an example, let's discuss electromagnetic interactions of Dirac field. The traditional electromagnetic interactions between Dirac field ψ and electromagnetic field A_μ is

$$-\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}A_{\mu\nu}A_{\rho\sigma} - \bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu - ieA_\mu) + m)\psi. \tag{10.1}$$

The Lagrangian that describes gravitational gauge interactions between gravitational gauge field and Dirac field or electromagnetic field and describes electromagnetic interactions between Dirac field and electromagnetic field is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{L}_0 & = & -\bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu(D_\mu - ieA_\mu) + m)\psi - \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu}\mathbb{A}_{\rho\sigma} \\ & & -\frac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ & & -\frac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}G^{-1\nu}_\beta G^{-1\sigma}_\alpha F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ & & +\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}G^{-1\nu}_\alpha G^{-1\sigma}_\beta F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}, \end{array} \tag{10.2}$$

where D_μ is the gravitational gauge covariant derivative which is given by eq.(5.4) and the strength of electromagnetic field A_μ is

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu} = A_{\mu\nu} + gG^{-1\lambda}_\alpha A_\lambda F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}, \tag{10.3}$$

where $A_{\mu\nu}$ is given by eq.(8.4) and G^{-1} is given by eq.(5.16). The full Lagrangian density and the action of the system are respectively given by,

$$\mathcal{L} = J(C)\mathcal{L}_0, \tag{10.4}$$

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \ \mathcal{L}. \tag{10.5}$$

The system given by above Lagrangian has both $U(1)$ gauge symmetry and gravitational gauge symmetry. Under $U(1)$ gauge transformations,

$$\psi(x) \to \psi'(x) = e^{-i\alpha(x)}\psi(x), \tag{10.6}$$

$$A_\mu(x) \to A'_\mu(x) = A_\mu(x) - \frac{1}{e}D_\mu\alpha(x), \tag{10.7}$$

$$C^\alpha_\mu(x) \to C'^\alpha_\mu(x) = C^\alpha_\mu(x). \tag{10.8}$$

It can be proved that the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ is invariant under $U(1)$ gauge transformation. Under gravitational gauge transformations,

$$\psi(x) \to \psi'(x) = (\hat{U}_\epsilon\psi(x)), \tag{10.9}$$

$$A_\mu(x) \to A'_\mu(x) = (\hat{U}_\epsilon A_\mu(x)), \tag{10.10}$$

$$C_\mu(x) \to C'_\mu(x) = \hat{U}_\epsilon(x)C_\mu(x)\hat{U}^{-1}_\epsilon(x) + \frac{i}{g}\hat{U}_\epsilon(x)(\partial_\mu\hat{U}^{-1}_\epsilon(x)). \tag{10.11}$$

Using these relations, we can prove that the action S given by eq.(10.4) is invariant under gravitational gauge transformation.

Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ can be separated into free Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_F$ and interaction Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_I$,

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_F + \mathcal{L}_I, \tag{10.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_F \ = \ & -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}A_{0\mu\nu}A_{0\rho\sigma} - \bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + m)\psi \\ & -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\eta_{\alpha\beta}F^\alpha_{0\mu\nu}F^\beta_{0\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^\alpha_{0\mu\beta}F^\beta_{0\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^\alpha_{0\mu\alpha}F^\beta_{0\rho\beta},\end{aligned} \tag{10.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_I \;=\;& (J(C)-1)\cdot\left[-\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}A_{0\mu\nu}A_{0\rho\sigma} - \bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + m)\psi\right] \\
& + ie\cdot J(C)\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi A_\mu \\
& + gJ(C)\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha\psi C^\alpha_\mu + gJ(C)\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}A_{0\mu\nu}C^\alpha_\rho\partial_\alpha A_\sigma \\
& - \tfrac{g}{2}J(C)\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}A_{\mu\nu}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}A_\lambda F^\alpha_{\rho\sigma} \\
& - \tfrac{g^2}{4}J(C)\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}G^{-1\kappa}_{\alpha}G^{-1\lambda}_{\beta}A_\kappa A_\lambda F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\
& - \tfrac{g^2}{2}J(C)\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\left(C^\alpha_\mu C^\beta_\rho(\partial_\alpha A_\nu)(\partial_\beta A_\sigma) - C^\alpha_\nu C^\beta_\rho(\partial_\alpha A_\mu)(\partial_\beta A_\sigma)\right) \\
& + \textit{self interaction terms of Gravitational gauge field.}
\end{aligned} \tag{10.14}$$

The traditional Lagrangian for QCD is

$$-\sum_n \bar{\psi}_n[\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu - ig_c A^i_\mu \frac{\lambda_i}{2}) + m_n]\psi_n - \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}A^i_{\mu\nu}A^i_{\rho\sigma}, \tag{10.15}$$

where ψ_n is the quark color triplet of the nth flavor, A^i_μ is the color gauge vector potential, $A^i_{\mu\nu}$ is the color gauge covariant field strength tensor, g_c is the strong coupling constant, λ_α is the Gell-Mann matrix and m_n is the quark mass of the nth flavor. In gravitational gauge theory, this Lagrangian should be changed into

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_0 \;=\;& -\textstyle\sum_n \bar{\psi}_n[\gamma^\mu(D_\mu - ig_c A^i_\mu \frac{\lambda^i}{2}) + m_n]\psi_n \\
& -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\mathbb{A}^i_{\mu\nu}\mathbb{A}^i_{\rho\sigma} \\
& -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\
& -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\alpha}F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\
& +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma},
\end{aligned} \tag{10.16}$$

where

$$\mathbb{A}^i_{\mu\nu} = A^i_{\mu\nu} + gG^{-1\lambda}_{\sigma}A^i_\lambda F^\sigma_{\mu\nu}, \tag{10.17}$$

$$A^i_{\mu\nu} = D_\mu A^i_\nu - D_\nu A^i_\mu + g_c f_{ijk}A^j_\mu A^k_\nu. \tag{10.18}$$

It can be proved that this system has both $SU(3)_c$ gauge symmetry and gravitational gauge symmetry. The unified electroweak model can be discussed in similar way.

Now, let's try to construct a theory which can describe all kinds of fundamental interactions in Nature[33]. First we know that the fundamental particles that we know are fundamental fermions(such as leptons and quarks), gauge bosons(such as photon, gluons, gravitons and intermediate gauge bosons $W^{\pm}$ and Z^0), and possible Higgs bosons. According to the Standard Model, leptons form left-hand doublets and right-hand singlets. Let's denote

$$\psi_L^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{pmatrix}_L \quad , \quad \psi_L^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}_L \quad , \quad \psi_L^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\tau \\ \tau \end{pmatrix}_L , \tag{10.19}$$

$$\psi_R^{(1)} = e_R \quad , \quad \psi_R^{(2)} = \mu_R \quad , \quad \psi_R^{(3)} = \tau_R . \tag{10.20}$$

Neutrinos have no right-hand singlets. The weak hypercharge for left-hand doublets $\psi_L^{(i)}$ is -1 and for right-hand singlet $\psi_R^{(i)}$ is -2. All leptons carry no color charge. In order to define the wave function for quarks, we have to introduce Kabayashi-Maskawa mixing matrix first, whose general form is,

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & s_1 c_3 & s_1 s_3 \\ -s_1 c_2 & c_1 c_2 c_3 - s_2 s_3 e^{i\delta} & c_1 c_2 s_3 + s_2 c_3 e^{i\delta} \\ s_1 s_2 & -c_1 s_2 c_3 - c_2 s_3 e^{i\delta} & -c_1 s_2 s_3 + c_2 c_3 e^{i\delta} \end{pmatrix} \tag{10.21}$$

where

$$c_i = \cos\theta_i \quad , \quad s_i = \sin\theta_i \quad (i = 1, 2, 3) \tag{10.22}$$

and θ_i are generalized Cabibbo angles. The mixing between three different quarks d, s and b is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_\theta \\ s_\theta \\ b_\theta \end{pmatrix} = K \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix} . \tag{10.23}$$

Quarks also form left-hand doublets and right-hand singlets,

$$q_L^{(1)a} = \begin{pmatrix} u_L^a \\ d_{\theta L}^a \end{pmatrix} , \quad q_L^{(2)a} = \begin{pmatrix} c_L^a \\ s_{\theta L}^a \end{pmatrix} , \quad q_L^{(3)a} = \begin{pmatrix} t_L^a \\ b_{\theta L}^a \end{pmatrix} , \tag{10.24}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} q_u^{(1)a} = u_R^a & q_u^{(2)a} = c_R^a & q_u^{(3)a} = t_R^a \\ q_{\theta d}^{(1)a} = d_{\theta R}^a & q_{\theta d}^{(2)a} = s_{\theta R}^a & q_{\theta d}^{(3)a} = b_{\theta R}^a , \end{array} \tag{10.25}$$

where index a is color index. It is known that left-hand doublets have weak isospin $\frac{1}{2}$ and weak hypercharge $\frac{1}{3}$, right-hand singlets have no weak isospin, $q_u^{(j)a}$s have weak hypercharge $\frac{4}{3}$ and $q_{\theta d}^{(j)a}$s have weak hypercharge $-\frac{2}{3}$.

For gauge bosons, gravitational gauge field is also denoted by C_μ^α. The gluon field is denoted A_μ,

$$A_\mu = A_\mu^i \frac{\lambda^i}{2}. \tag{10.26}$$

The color gauge covariant field strength tensor is also given by eq.(10.18). The $U(1)_Y$ gauge field is denoted by B_μ and $SU(2)$ gauge field is denoted by F_μ

$$F_\mu = F_\mu^n \frac{\sigma_n}{2}, \tag{10.27}$$

where σ_n is the Pauli matrix. The $U(1)_Y$ gauge field strength tensor is given by

$$\mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu} = B_{\mu\nu} + g G_\alpha^{-1\lambda} B_\lambda F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, \tag{10.28}$$

where

$$B_{\mu\nu} = D_\mu B_\nu - D_\nu B_\mu, \tag{10.29}$$

and the $SU(2)$ gauge field strength tensor is given by

$$\mathbb{F}_{\mu\nu}^n = F_{\mu\nu}^n + g G_\alpha^{-1\lambda} F_\lambda^n F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, \tag{10.30}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^n = D_\mu F_\nu^n - D_\nu F_\mu^n + g_w \epsilon_{lmn} F_\mu^l F_\nu^m, \tag{10.31}$$

where g_w is the coupling constant for $SU(2)$ gauge interactions and the coupling constant for $U(1)_Y$ gauge interactions is g'_w.

If there exist Higgs particles in Nature, the Higgs fields is represented by a complex scalar $SU(2)$ doublet,

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^\dagger \\ \phi^0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10.32}$$

The hypercharge of Higgs field ϕ is 1.

The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_0$ that describes four kinds of fundamental interactions is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_0 \;=\; & -\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{3} \overline{\psi}_L^{(j)} \gamma^\mu (D_\mu + \frac{i}{2} g'_w B_\mu - i g_w F_\mu) \psi_L^{(j)} \\
& -\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{3} \overline{e}_R^{(j)} \gamma^\mu (D_\mu + i g'_w B_\mu) e_R^{(j)} \\
& -\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{3} \overline{q}_L^{(j)a} \gamma^\mu \left((D_\mu - i g_w F_\mu - \frac{i}{6} g'_w B_\mu) \delta_{ab} - i g_c A_\mu^k (\frac{\lambda^k}{2})_{ab} \right) q_L^{(j)b} \\
& -\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{3} \overline{q}_u^{(j)a} \gamma^\mu \left((D_\mu - i \frac{2}{3} g'_w B_\mu) \delta_{ab} - i g_c A_\mu^k (\frac{\lambda^k}{2})_{ab} \right) q_u^{(j)b} \\
& -\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{3} \overline{q}_{\theta d}^{(j)a} \gamma^\mu \left((D_\mu + i \frac{1}{3} g'_w B_\mu) \delta_{ab} - i g_c A_\mu^k (\frac{\lambda^k}{2})_{ab} \right) q_{\theta d}^{(j)b} \\
& -\tfrac{1}{4} \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma} \mathbb{F}_{\mu\nu}^n \mathbb{F}_{\rho\sigma}^n - \tfrac{1}{4} \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma} \mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu} \mathbb{B}_{\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{4} \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma} \mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu}^i \mathbb{A}_{\rho\sigma}^i \\
& -\tfrac{1}{16} \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma} g_{\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha F_{\rho\sigma}^\beta - \tfrac{1}{8} \eta^{\mu\rho} G_\beta^{-1\nu} G_\alpha^{-1\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha F_{\rho\sigma}^\beta \\
& +\tfrac{1}{4} \eta^{\mu\rho} G_\alpha^{-1\nu} G_\beta^{-1\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha F_{\rho\sigma}^\beta \\
& -\left[(D_\mu - \tfrac{i}{2} g'_w B_\mu - i g_w F_\mu) \phi \right]^\dagger \cdot \left[(D_\mu - \tfrac{i}{2} g'_w B_\mu - i g_w F_\mu) \phi \right] \\
& -\mu^2 \phi^\dagger \phi + \lambda (\phi^\dagger \phi)^2 \\
& -\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{3} f^{(j)} \left(\overline{e}_R^{(j)} \phi^\dagger \psi_L^{(j)} + \overline{\psi}_L^{(j)} \phi e_R^{(j)} \right) \\
& -\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{3} \left(f_u^{(j)} \overline{q}_L^{(j)a} \overline{\phi} q_u^{(j)a} + f_u^{(j)*} \overline{q}_u^{(j)a} \overline{\phi}^\dagger q_L^{(j)a} \right) \\
& -\textstyle\sum_{j,k=1}^{3} \left(f_d^{(jk)} \overline{q}_L^{(j)a} \phi q_{\theta d}^{(k)a} + f_d^{(jk)*} \overline{q}_{\theta d}^{(k)a} \phi^\dagger q_L^{(j)a} \right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{10.33}
$$

where

$$
\overline{\phi} = i \sigma_2 \phi^* = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{0\dagger} \\ -\phi \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10.34}
$$

The full Lagrangian is given by

$$
\mathcal{L} = J(C) \mathcal{L}_0 \tag{10.35}
$$

and the action of the system is

$$
S = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \mathcal{L}. \tag{10.36}
$$

This Lagrangian describes four kinds of fundamental interactions in Nature.

Now, let's discuss the symmetry of the system. First, let's study $SU(3)_c$ symmetry. Denote the $SU(3)_c$ transformation matrix as U_3. Under $SU(3)_c$ transformation, transformations of various fields and operators are:

$$\psi_L^{(j)} \to \psi_L^{\prime(j)} = \psi_L^{(j)}, \tag{10.37}$$

$$e_R^{(j)} \to e_R^{\prime(j)} = e_R^{(j)}, \tag{10.38}$$

$$q_L^{(j)a} \to q_L^{\prime(j)a} = U_{3ab}\ q_L^{(j)b}, \tag{10.39}$$

$$q_u^{(j)a} \to q_u^{\prime(j)a} = U_{3ab}\ q_u^{(j)b}, \tag{10.40}$$

$$q_{\theta d}^{(j)a} \to q_{\theta d}^{\prime(j)a} = U_{3ab}\ q_{\theta d}^{(j)b}, \tag{10.41}$$

$$C_\mu^\alpha \to C_\mu^{\prime\alpha} = C_\mu^\alpha \tag{10.42}$$

$$D_\mu \to D'_\mu = D_\mu, \tag{10.43}$$

$$A_\mu \to A'_\mu = U_3 A_\mu U_3^{-1} - \frac{1}{ig_c} U_3 (D_\mu U_3^{-1}), \tag{10.44}$$

$$B_\mu \to B'_\mu = B_\mu, \tag{10.45}$$

$$W_\mu \to W'_\mu = W_\mu, \tag{10.46}$$

$$\phi \to \phi' = \phi, \tag{10.47}$$

$$J(C) \to J'(C') = J(C). \tag{10.48}$$

According to above transformation rules, gauge field strength tensors transform as

$$\mathbb{W}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{W}'_{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{W}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{10.49}$$

$$\mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{B}'_{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{10.50}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^\sigma \to F_{\mu\nu}^{\prime\sigma} = F_{\mu\nu}^\sigma, \tag{10.51}$$

$$A_{\mu\nu} \to A'_{\mu\nu} = U_3 A_{\mu\nu} U_3^{-1} - \frac{ig}{g_c} F_{\mu\nu}^\sigma U_3 (\partial_\sigma U_3^{-1}), \tag{10.52}$$

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{A}'_{\mu\nu} = U_3 \mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu} U_3^{-1}. \tag{10.53}$$

Using all these transformation rules, we can prove that the lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ is invariant. Therefore, the system has strict local $SU(3)_c$ gauge symmetry.

Denote the transformations matrix of $SU(2)_L$ gauge transformation as U_2.Under $SU(2)_L$ transformation, transformations of various fields are:

$$\psi_L^{(j)} \to \psi_L^{\prime(j)} = U_2 \psi_L^{(j)}, \tag{10.54}$$

$$e_R^{(j)} \to e_R'^{(j)} = e_R^{(j)}, \tag{10.55}$$

$$q_L^{(j)a} \to q_L'^{(j)a} = U_2\ q_L^{(j)a}, \tag{10.56}$$

$$q_u^{(j)a} \to q_u'^{(j)a} = q_u^{(j)a}, \tag{10.57}$$

$$q_{\theta d}^{(j)a} \to q_{\theta d}'^{(j)a} = q_{\theta d}^{(j)a}, \tag{10.58}$$

$$C_\mu^\alpha \to C_\mu'^\alpha = C_\mu^\alpha \tag{10.59}$$

$$D_\mu \to D'_\mu = D_\mu, \tag{10.60}$$

$$A_\mu \to A'_\mu = A_\mu, \tag{10.61}$$

$$B_\mu \to B'_\mu = B_\mu, \tag{10.62}$$

$$W_\mu \to W'_\mu = U_2 W_\mu U_2^{-1} - \frac{1}{ig_W} U_2(D_\mu U_2^{-1}), \tag{10.63}$$

$$\phi \to \phi' = U_2 \phi, \tag{10.64}$$

$$J(C) \to J'(C') = J(C). \tag{10.65}$$

According to above transformation rules, gauge field strength tensors transform as

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{A}'_{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{10.66}$$

$$\mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{B}'_{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{10.67}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^\sigma \to F_{\mu\nu}'^\sigma = F_{\mu\nu}^\sigma, \tag{10.68}$$

$$W_{\mu\nu} \to W'_{\mu\nu} = U_2 W_{\mu\nu} U_2^{-1} - \frac{ig}{g_W} F_{\mu\nu}^\sigma U_2(\partial_\sigma U_2^{-1}), \tag{10.69}$$

$$\mathbb{W}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{W}'_{\mu\nu} = U_2 \mathbb{W}_{\mu\nu} U_2^{-1}. \tag{10.70}$$

Using all above realtions, we can prove that the action of the system is invariant under the above $SU(2)_L$ gauge transformations. So, the model has $SU(2)_L$ gauge symmetry.

Under $U(1)_Y$ gauge transformation, transformations of various fields are:

$$\psi_L^{(j)} \to \psi_L'^{(j)} = e^{i\alpha(x)/2}\ \psi_L^{(j)}, \tag{10.71}$$

$$e_R^{(j)} \to e_R'^{(j)} = e^{i\alpha(x)}\ e_R^{(j)}, \tag{10.72}$$

$$q_L^{(j)a} \to q_L'^{(j)a} = e^{-i\alpha(x)/6}\ q_L^{(j)a}, \tag{10.73}$$

$$q_u^{(j)a} \to q_u'^{(j)a} = e^{-2i\alpha(x)/3}\ q_u^{(j)a}, \tag{10.74}$$

$$q_{\theta d}^{(j)a} \to q_{\theta d}'^{(j)a} = e^{i\alpha(x)/3} q_{\theta d}^{(j)a}, \tag{10.75}$$

$$C_\mu^\alpha \to C_\mu'^\alpha = C_\mu^\alpha \tag{10.76}$$

$$D_\mu \to D_\mu' = D_\mu, \tag{10.77}$$

$$A_\mu \to A_\mu' = A_\mu, \tag{10.78}$$

$$B_\mu \to B_\mu' = B_\mu - \frac{1}{g_W'}(D_\mu \alpha(x)), \tag{10.79}$$

$$W_\mu \to W_\mu' = W_\mu, \tag{10.80}$$

$$\phi \to \phi' = e^{-i\alpha(x)/2}\phi, \tag{10.81}$$

$$\bar{\phi} \to \bar{\phi}' = e^{i\alpha(x)/2}\bar{\phi}, \tag{10.82}$$

$$J(C) \to J'(C') = J(C). \tag{10.83}$$

According to above transformation rules, gauge field strength tensors transform as

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu}' = \mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{10.84}$$

$$\mathbb{W}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{W}_{\mu\nu}' = \mathbb{W}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{10.85}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^\sigma \to F_{\mu\nu}'^\sigma = F_{\mu\nu}^\sigma, \tag{10.86}$$

$$B_{\mu\nu} \to B_{\mu\nu}' = B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{g}{g_W'} F_{\mu\nu}^\sigma (\partial_\sigma \alpha(x)), \tag{10.87}$$

$$\mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu}' = \mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{10.88}$$

Using all above relations, we can also prove that the action of the system is invariant under the above $U(1)_Y$ gauge transformations. So, the model has $U(1)_Y$ gauge symmetry

Gravitational gauge transformations of various fields are

$$\psi_L^{(j)} \to \psi_L'^{(j)} = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \psi_L^{(j)}), \tag{10.89}$$

$$e_R^{(j)} \to e_R'^{(j)} = (\hat{U}_\epsilon e_R^{(j)}), \tag{10.90}$$

$$q_L^{(j)a} \to q_L'^{(j)a} = (\hat{U}_\epsilon q_L^{(j)a}), \tag{10.91}$$

$$q_u^{(j)a} \to q_u'^{(j)a} = (\hat{U}_\epsilon q_u^{(j)a}), \tag{10.92}$$

$$q_{\theta d}^{(j)a} \to q_{\theta d}'^{(j)a} = (\hat{U}_\epsilon q_{\theta d}^{(j)a}), \tag{10.93}$$

$$C_\mu \to C_\mu' = \hat{U}_\epsilon C_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1} - \frac{1}{ig}\hat{U}_\epsilon(\partial_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}), \tag{10.94}$$

$$D_\mu \to D_\mu' = \hat{U}_\epsilon D_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}, \tag{10.95}$$

$$A_\mu \to A_\mu' = (\hat{U}_\epsilon A_\mu), \tag{10.96}$$

$$B_\mu \to B'_\mu = (\hat{U}_\epsilon B_\mu), \tag{10.97}$$

$$W_\mu \to W'_\mu = (\hat{U}_\epsilon W_\mu), \tag{10.98}$$

$$\phi \to \phi' = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \phi), \tag{10.99}$$

$$\bar{\phi} \to \bar{\phi}' = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \bar{\phi}), \tag{10.100}$$

$$J(C) \to J'(C') = J \cdot (\hat{U}_\epsilon J(C)), \tag{10.101}$$

$$g^{\alpha\beta} \to g'^{\alpha\beta} = \Lambda^{\alpha}_{\ \alpha_1} \Lambda^{\beta}_{\ \beta_1} (\hat{U}_\epsilon g^{\alpha_1\beta_1}), \tag{10.102}$$

$$g_{\alpha\beta} \to g'_{\alpha\beta} = \Lambda_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha_1} \Lambda_{\beta}^{\ \beta_1} (\hat{U}_\epsilon g_{\alpha_1\beta_1}). \tag{10.103}$$

According to above transformation rules, gauge field strength tensors transform as

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{A}'_{\mu\nu} = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu}), \tag{10.104}$$

$$\mathbb{W}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{W}'_{\mu\nu} = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \mathbb{W}_{\mu\nu}), \tag{10.105}$$

$$\mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{B}'_{\mu\nu} = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu}). \tag{10.106}$$

$$F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} \to F'^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^{\alpha}_{\ \beta} (\hat{U}_\epsilon F^{\beta}_{\mu\nu}), \tag{10.107}$$

According to these transformations, the lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_0$ transforms covariantly,

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \to \mathcal{L}'_0 = (\hat{U}_\epsilon \mathcal{L}_{\prime}). \tag{10.108}$$

So,

$$\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}' = J \cdot (\hat{U}_\epsilon \mathcal{L}). \tag{10.109}$$

Using eq.(5.62), we can prove that action S is invariant under gravitational gauge transformations,

$$S \to S' = S. \tag{10.110}$$

Therefore, the system has gravitational gauge symmetry.

Now, as a whole, we discuss

$$(SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y) \otimes_s \mathit{Gravitational\ Gauge\ Group}$$

gauge symmetry. In order to do this, we need define generator operators. The generator operators of $SU(3)_c$ group are denoted by $\hat{T}_{3j}$. The $SU(3)_c$ transformation operator $\hat{U}_3$ is defined by

$$\hat{U}_3 = e^{-i\alpha^j \hat{T}_{3j}}. \tag{10.111}$$

Matrix U_3 is defined by

$$U_3 = e^{-i\alpha^j \lambda^j / 2}. \tag{10.112}$$

When $\hat{T}_{3j}$ acts on fields, it will becomes the corresponding representation matrix of generators. So,

$$\hat{T}_{3i}\psi_L^{(j)} = 0, \tag{10.113}$$

$$\hat{T}_{3i}e_R^{(j)} = 0, \tag{10.114}$$

$$\hat{T}_{3i}q_L^{(j)a} = \left(\frac{\lambda^i}{2}\right)_{ab} q_L^{(j)b}, \tag{10.115}$$

$$\hat{T}_{3i}q_u^{(j)a} = \left(\frac{\lambda^i}{2}\right)_{ab} q_u^{(j)b}, \tag{10.116}$$

$$\hat{T}_{3i}q_{\theta d}^{(j)a} = \left(\frac{\lambda^i}{2}\right)_{ab} q_{\theta d}^{(j)b}, \tag{10.117}$$

$$\hat{T}_{3i}\phi = 0, \tag{10.118}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{3i} \quad , \quad C_\mu^\alpha] = 0, \tag{10.119}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{3i} \quad , \quad A_\mu] = \left[\frac{\lambda^i}{2} \quad , \quad A_\mu\right], \tag{10.120}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{3i} \quad , \quad B_\mu] = 0, \tag{10.121}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{3i} \quad , \quad W_\mu] = 0, \tag{10.122}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{3i} \quad , \quad F_{\mu\nu}^\sigma] = 0, \tag{10.123}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{3i} \quad , \quad \mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu}] = \left[\frac{\lambda^i}{2} \quad , \quad \mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu}\right], \tag{10.124}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{3i} \quad , \quad \mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu}] = 0, \tag{10.125}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{3i} \quad , \quad \mathbb{W}_{\mu\nu}] = 0. \tag{10.126}$$

The generator operators of $SU(2)_L$ group are denoted by $\hat{T}_{2l}$. The $SU(2)_L$ transformation operator $\hat{U}_2$ is defined by

$$\hat{U}_2 = e^{-i\alpha^l \hat{T}_{2l}}. \tag{10.127}$$

Matrix U_2 is defined by

$$U_2 = e^{-i\alpha^l \sigma_l/2}. \tag{10.128}$$

When $\hat{T}_{2l}$ acts on fields, it will becomes the corresponding representation matrix of generators. So,

$$\hat{T}_{2l}\psi_L^{(j)} = \frac{\sigma_l}{2}\psi_L^{(j)} \tag{10.129}$$

$$\hat{T}_{2l}e_R^{(j)} = 0, \tag{10.130}$$

$$\hat{T}_{2l} q_L^{(j)a} = \frac{\sigma_l}{2} q_L^{(j)a}, \tag{10.131}$$

$$\hat{T}_{2l} q_u^{(j)a} = 0, \tag{10.132}$$

$$\hat{T}_{2l} q_{\theta d}^{(j)a} = 0, \tag{10.133}$$

$$\hat{T}_{2l} \phi = \frac{\sigma_l}{2} \phi \tag{10.134}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{2l} \quad , \quad C_\mu^\alpha] = 0, \tag{10.135}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{2l} \quad , \quad A_\mu] = 0, \tag{10.136}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{2l} \quad , \quad B_\mu] = 0, \tag{10.137}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{2l} \quad , \quad W_\mu] = \left[\frac{\sigma_l}{2} \quad , \quad W_\mu\right], \tag{10.138}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{2l} \quad , \quad F_{\mu\nu}^\sigma] = 0, \tag{10.139}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{2l} \quad , \quad \mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu}] = 0, \tag{10.140}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{2l} \quad , \quad \mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu}] = 0, \tag{10.141}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{2l} \quad , \quad \mathbb{W}_{\mu\nu}] = \left[\frac{\sigma_l}{2} \quad , \quad \mathbb{W}_{\mu\nu}\right]. \tag{10.142}$$

The generator operators of $U(1)_Y$ group are denoted by $\hat{T}_1$. $2\hat{T}_1$ is the hypercharge operator. The $U(1)_Y$ transformation operator $\hat{U}_1$ is defined by

$$\hat{U}_1 = e^{-i\alpha \hat{T}_1}. \tag{10.143}$$

Matrix U_1 is defined by

$$U_1 = e^{-i\alpha Y}, \tag{10.144}$$

where Y is the hypercharge of the corresponding field. When $2\hat{T}_1$ acts on fields, it will becomes the hypercharge of the corresponding fields. So,

$$\hat{T}_1 \psi_L^{(j)} = -\frac{1}{2} \psi_L^{(j)} \tag{10.145}$$

$$\hat{T}_1 e_R^{(j)} = -e_R^{(j)} \tag{10.146}$$

$$\hat{T}_1 q_L^{(j)a} = \frac{1}{6} q_L^{(j)a}, \tag{10.147}$$

$$\hat{T}_1 q_u^{(j)a} = \frac{2}{3} q_u^{(j)a}, \tag{10.148}$$

$$\hat{T}_1 q_{\theta d}^{(j)a} = -\frac{1}{3} q_{\theta d}^{(j)a} \tag{10.149}$$

$$\hat{T}_1 \phi = \frac{1}{2} \phi \tag{10.150}$$

$$[\hat{T}_1 \quad , \quad C_\mu^\alpha] = 0, \tag{10.151}$$

$$[\hat{T}_1 \quad , \quad A_\mu] = 0, \tag{10.152}$$

$$[\hat{T}_1 \quad , \quad B_\mu] = B_\mu, \tag{10.153}$$

$$[\hat{T}_1 \quad , \quad W_\mu] = 0, \tag{10.154}$$

$$[\hat{T}_1 \quad , \quad F_{\mu\nu}^\sigma] = 0, \tag{10.155}$$

$$[\hat{T}_1 \quad , \quad \mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu}] = 0, \tag{10.156}$$

$$[\hat{T}_1 \quad , \quad \mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu}] = \mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{10.157}$$

$$[\hat{T}_1 \quad , \quad \mathbb{W}_{\mu\nu}] = 0. \tag{10.158}$$

Different generator operators act on different spaces, so they commute each other,

$$[\hat{T}_1 \quad , \quad \hat{T}_{2l}] = 0, \tag{10.159}$$

$$[\hat{T}_1 \quad , \quad \hat{T}_{3i}] = 0, \tag{10.160}$$

$$[\hat{T}_1 \quad , \quad \hat{P}_\alpha] = 0, \tag{10.161}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{2l} \quad , \quad \hat{T}_{3i}] = 0, \tag{10.162}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{2l} \quad , \quad \hat{P}_\alpha] = 0, \tag{10.163}$$

$$[\hat{T}_{3i} \quad , \quad \hat{P}_\alpha] = 0. \tag{10.164}$$

As we have mention before, what generators commute each other does not means that group elements commute each other.

A general element of semi-direct product group

$$(SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y) \otimes_s Gravitational\ Gauge\ Group$$

is denoted by $g(x)$. It can be proved that the $g(x)$ can be written into the following form

$$g(x) = \hat{U}_\epsilon \hat{U}_1 \hat{U}_2 \hat{U}_3. \tag{10.165}$$

Define quark color triplet states,

$$q_L^{(j)} = \begin{pmatrix} q_L^{(j)1} \\ q_L^{(j)2} \\ q_L^{(j)3} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10.166}$$

$$q_u^{(j)} = \begin{pmatrix} q_u^{(j)1} \\ q_u^{(j)2} \\ q_u^{(j)3} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10.167}$$

$$q_{\theta d}^{(j)} = \begin{pmatrix} q_{\theta d}^{(j)1} \\ q_{\theta d}^{(j)2} \\ q_{\theta d}^{(j)3} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10.168}$$

Then, we have the following relations

$$\hat{T}_{3j} q_L^{(j)} = \frac{\lambda^j}{2} q_L^{(j)}, \tag{10.169}$$

$$\hat{T}_{3j} q_u^{(j)} = \frac{\lambda^j}{2} q_u^{(j)}, \tag{10.170}$$

$$\hat{T}_{3j} q_{\theta d}^{(j)} = \frac{\lambda^j}{2} q_{\theta d}^{(j)}. \tag{10.171}$$

Under gauge transformations of semi-direct product group, various fields and operators transform as

$$\psi_L^{(j)} \to \psi_L'^{(j)} = (g(x)\psi_L^{(j)}), \tag{10.172}$$

$$e_R^{(j)} \to e_R'^{(j)} = (g(x)e_R^{(j)}), \tag{10.173}$$

$$q_L^{(j)a} \to q_L'^{(j)} = (g(x)q_L^{(j)}), \tag{10.174}$$

$$q_u^{(j)a} \to q_u'^{(j)} = (g(x)q_u^{(j)}), \tag{10.175}$$

$$q_{\theta d}^{(j)a} \to q_{\theta d}'^{(j)} = (g(x)q_{\theta d}^{(j)}), \tag{10.176}$$

$$C_\mu \to C'_\mu = \hat{U}_\epsilon C_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1} - \frac{1}{ig}\hat{U}_\epsilon(\partial_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}), \tag{10.177}$$

$$D_\mu \to D'_\mu = \hat{U}_\epsilon D_\mu \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}, \tag{10.178}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} \to F'_{\mu\nu} = \hat{U}_\epsilon F_{\mu\nu} \hat{U}_\epsilon^{-1}, \tag{10.179}$$

$$A_\mu \to A'_\mu = g(x)\left[A_\mu - \frac{1}{ig_c}(D_\mu U_3^{-1})U_3\right] g^{-1}(x), \tag{10.180}$$

$$W_\mu \to W'_\mu = g(x)\left[W_\mu - \frac{1}{ig_W}(D_\mu U_2^{-1})U_2\right] g^{-1}(x), \tag{10.181}$$

$$B_\mu \to B'_\mu = g(x)\left[B_\mu - \frac{1}{ig'_W}(D_\mu U_1^{-1})U_1\right] g^{-1}(x), \tag{10.182}$$

$$\phi \to \phi' = (g(x)\phi), \tag{10.183}$$

$$J(C) \to J'(C') = J \cdot g(x)J(C)g^{-1}(x), \tag{10.184}$$

$$g^{\alpha\beta} \to g'^{\alpha\beta} = \Lambda^{\alpha}_{\ \alpha_1}\Lambda^{\beta}_{\ \beta_1} g(x) g^{\alpha_1\beta_1} g^{-1}(x), \tag{10.185}$$

$$g_{\alpha\beta} \to g'_{\alpha\beta} = \Lambda_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha_1}\Lambda_{\beta}^{\ \beta_1} g(x) \eta_{\alpha_1\beta_1} g^{-1}(x), \tag{10.186}$$

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{A}'_{\mu\nu} = g(x)\mathbb{A}_{\mu\nu} g^{-1}(x), \tag{10.187}$$

$$\mathbb{W}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{W}'_{\mu\nu} = g(x)\mathbb{W}_{\mu\nu} g^{-1}(x), \tag{10.188}$$

$$\mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbb{B}'_{\mu\nu} = g(x)\mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu} g^{-1}(x). \tag{10.189}$$

Using all these relations, we can prove that the action of the system has strict local

$$(SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y) \otimes_s \mathit{Gravitational\ Gauge\ Group}$$

gauge symmetry.

It is known that, $SU(3)_c$ color symmetry and gravitational gauge symmetry are strict symmetry. $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ symmetry are not strict symmetry, which is broken to $U(1)_Q$ symmetry. Now, let's discuss spontaneously symmetry breaking of the system. The potential of Higgs field is

$$-\mu^2 \phi^\dagger \phi + \lambda(\phi^\dagger\phi)^2. \tag{10.190}$$

If,

$$\mu^2 > 0, \qquad \lambda > 0, \tag{10.191}$$

the symmetry of vacuum will be spontaneously broken. Suppose that the vacuum expectation value of neutral Higgs field is non-zero, that is

$$\langle\phi\rangle_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10.192}$$

where,

$$v = \sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}}. \tag{10.193}$$

After a local $SU(2)_L$ gauge transformation, we can select the Higgs field $\phi(x)$ as,

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + \varphi(x) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10.194}$$

After symmetry breaking, the Higgs potential becomes,

$$V(\varphi) = \mu^2\varphi^2 + \lambda v \varphi^3 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\varphi^4 - \frac{\mu^4}{4\lambda}, \tag{10.195}$$

from which we know that the mass of Higgs field is $2\mu^2$.

Define

$$W_\mu^\pm \triangleq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(W_\mu^1 \mp iW_\mu^2,\right) \tag{10.196}$$

$$A_\mu^e \triangleq \cos\theta_W B_\mu + \sin\theta_W W_\mu^3, \tag{10.197}$$

$$Z_\mu \triangleq \sin\theta_W B_\mu - \cos\theta_W W_\mu^3, \tag{10.198}$$

where

$$\mathrm{tg}\theta_W = \frac{g_W'}{g_W}. \tag{10.199}$$

A_μ^e in eq.(10.197) is the electromagnetic field. Define two mass parameters as

$$m_W = \frac{v}{2} g_W, \tag{10.200}$$

$$m_Z = \frac{v}{2}\sqrt{g_W^2 + g_W'^2}. \tag{10.201}$$

It is known that, in the standard model, m_W is the mass of $W^\pm$ bosons and m_Z is the mass of Z bosons. The coupling constant of electromagnetic interactions is denoted by e,

$$\mathrm{e} \triangleq g_W' \cos\theta_W = \frac{g_W g_W'}{\sqrt{g_W^2 + g_W'^2}}. \tag{10.202}$$

The current of strong interactions are denoted by J_{ci}^μ,

$$J_{ci}^\mu = i\left(\bar{u}\gamma^\mu \frac{\lambda^i}{2} u + \bar{c}\gamma^\mu \frac{\lambda^i}{2} c + \bar{t}\gamma^\mu \frac{\lambda^i}{2} t + \bar{d}\gamma^\mu \frac{\lambda^i}{2} d + \bar{s}\gamma^\mu \frac{\lambda^i}{2} s + \bar{b}\gamma^\mu \frac{\lambda^i}{2} b\right). \tag{10.203}$$

The current of electromagnetic interactions is

$$\begin{aligned} J_{em}^\mu \;=\;& i\left(-\bar{e}\gamma^\mu e - \bar{\mu}\gamma^\mu \mu - \bar{\tau}\gamma^\mu\tau + \tfrac{2}{3}\bar{u}\gamma^\mu u + \tfrac{2}{3}\bar{c}\gamma^\mu c\right. \\ & \left. + \tfrac{2}{3}\bar{t}\gamma^\mu t - \tfrac{1}{3}\bar{d}\gamma^\mu d - \tfrac{1}{3}\bar{s}\gamma^\mu s - \tfrac{1}{3}\bar{b}\gamma^\mu b\right). \end{aligned} \tag{10.204}$$

The currents for weak interactions are

$$\begin{aligned} J_W^{\mu+} \;=\;& \tfrac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(\bar{\nu}_e\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma_5)e + \bar{\nu}_\mu\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma_5)\mu + \bar{\nu}_\tau\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma_5)\tau\right. \\ & \left. + \bar{u}\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma_5)d_\theta + \bar{c}\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma_5)s_\theta + \bar{t}\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma_5)b_\theta\right), \end{aligned} \tag{10.205}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_W^{\mu-} \;=\;& \tfrac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(\bar{e}\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma_5)\nu_e + \bar{\mu}\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma_5)\nu_\mu + \bar{\tau}\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma_5)\nu_\tau\right. \\ & \left. + \bar{d}_\theta\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma_5)u + \bar{s}_\theta\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma_5)c + \bar{b}_\theta\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma_5)t\right), \end{aligned} \tag{10.206}$$

$$J_z^\mu = J_3^\mu - \sin^2\theta_W J_{em}^\mu, \tag{10.207}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_3^\mu \quad = \quad & \tfrac{i}{2}\left(+\bar{\nu}_{eL}\gamma^\mu\nu_{eL}+\bar{\nu}_{\mu L}\gamma^\mu\nu_{\mu L}+\bar{\nu}_{\tau L}\gamma^\mu\nu_{\tau L}-\bar{e}_L\gamma^\mu e_L-\bar{\mu}_L\gamma^\mu\mu_L-\bar{\tau}_L\gamma^\mu\tau_L\right. \\ & \left.+\bar{u}_L\gamma^\mu u_L+\bar{c}_L\gamma^\mu c_L+\bar{t}_L\gamma^\mu t_L-\bar{d}_L\gamma^\mu d_L-\bar{s}_L\gamma^\mu s_L-\bar{b}_L\gamma^\mu b_L\right). \end{aligned} \tag{10.208}$$

The current for gravitational interactions is

$$\begin{aligned} J_{g\alpha}^\mu \quad = \quad & \bar{\nu}_{eL}\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha\nu_{eL}+\bar{\nu}_{\mu L}\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha\nu_{\mu L}+\bar{\nu}_{\tau L}\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha\nu_{\tau L}+\bar{e}_L\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha e_L \\ & +\bar{\mu}_L\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha\mu_L+\bar{\tau}_L\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha\tau_L+\bar{u}_L\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha u_L+\bar{c}_L\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha c_L \\ & +\bar{t}_L\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha t_L+\bar{d}_L\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha d_L+\bar{s}_L\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha s_L+\bar{b}_L\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha b_L \\ & +\bar{e}_R\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha e_R+\bar{\mu}_R\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha\mu_R+\bar{\tau}_R\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha\tau_R+\bar{u}_R\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha u_R \\ & +\bar{c}_R\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha c_R+\bar{t}_R\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha t_R+\bar{d}_{\theta R}\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha d_{\theta R}+\bar{s}_{\theta R}\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha s_{\theta R} \\ & +\bar{b}_{\theta R}\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha b_{\theta R}. \end{aligned} \tag{10.209}$$

Denote

$$J_\varphi=\frac{1}{v}(m_e\bar{e}e+m_\mu\bar{\mu}\mu+m_\tau\bar{\tau}\tau+m_u\bar{u}u+m_c\bar{c}c+m_t\bar{t}t+m_d\bar{d}d+m_s\bar{s}s+m_b\bar{b}b), \tag{10.210}$$

where

$$m_i=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}f_i v, \qquad (i=e,\mu,\tau,u,c,t,d,s,b). \tag{10.211}$$

Then lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_0$ can be written into the following form,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_0 = & -\bar{\nu}_{eL}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\nu_{eL} - \bar{\nu}_{\mu L}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\nu_{\mu L} - \bar{\nu}_{\tau L}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\nu_{\tau L} - \bar{e}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + m_e)e \\
& -\bar{\mu}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + m_\mu)\mu - \bar{\tau}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + m_\tau)\tau - \bar{u}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + m_u)u - \bar{c}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + m_c)c \\
& -\bar{t}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + m_t)t - \bar{d}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + m_d)d - \bar{s}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + m_s)s - \bar{b}(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + m_b)b \\
& +g_c J^\mu_{ci} A^i_\mu + g_W J^{\mu -}_W W^+_\mu + g_W J^{\mu +}_W W^-_\mu - \tfrac{g_W}{\cos\theta_W} J^\mu_z Z_\mu + \mathrm{e} J^\mu_{em} A^e_\mu + g J^\mu_{g\alpha} C^\alpha_\mu \\
& -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\varphi)(D_\nu\varphi) - \tfrac{1}{4}g^2_W\eta^{\mu\nu}W^+_\mu W^-_\nu(2v\varphi + \varphi^2) \\
& -\tfrac{1}{8}(g^2_W + g'^2_W)\eta^{\mu\nu}Z_\mu Z_\nu(2v\varphi + \varphi^2) - v(\varphi) - J_\varphi\phi \\
& -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\mathbb{W}^n_{\mu\nu}\mathbb{W}^n_{\rho\sigma} - m^2_W\eta^{\mu\nu}W^+_\mu W^-_\nu - \tfrac{1}{2}m^2_Z\eta^{\mu\nu}Z_\mu Z_\nu \\
& -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\mathbb{B}_{\mu\nu}\mathbb{B}_{\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\mathbb{A}^i_{\mu\nu}\mathbb{A}^i_{\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\
& -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}G^{-1\nu}_\beta G^{-1\sigma}_\alpha F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}G^{-1\nu}_\alpha G^{-1\sigma}_\beta F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}.
\end{aligned} \tag{10.212}$$

According to above discussions, before spontaneously symmetry breaking, the system has

$$(SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y) \otimes_s \mathit{Gravitational\ Gauge\ Group}$$

gauge symmetry. After spontaneously symmetry breaking, the system has

$$(SU(3)_c \times U(1)_Q) \otimes_s \mathit{Gravitational\ Gauge\ Group}$$

gauge symmetry. Four different kinds of fundamental interactions are unified in the same lagrangian. So, the lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ which is given by eq.(10.33) and eq.(10.35) can be used to calculate any fundamental interaction process in Nature.

11 Classical Limit of Quantum Gauge general Relativity

In this chapter, we mainly discuss leading order approximation of quantum gauge general relativity, which will give out classical Newton's theory of gravity.

When we discuss classical limit of quantum gauge general relativity, we will qualitatively discuss anther important problem at the same time. It is know that, in usual gauge theory, such as QED, the coulomb force between two objects which carry like electric charges is always mutual repulsive. Gravitational gauge theory is also a kind of gauge theory, is the force between two static massive objects attractive or repulsive?

Suppose that the gravitational field is very weak, so that gC_μ^α is a first order infinitesimal quantity. In leading order approximation, both inertial energy-momentum tensor and gravitational energy-momentum tensor give the same results, which we denoted as T_α^μ. The space integration of the time component of the current T_α^μ gives out the energy-momentum of the system. First, we discuss classical limit of the field equation of gravitational gauge field. Then, we discuss classical limit of the Einstein's field equation. We will find that two field equations give out the same classical limit, which is what we expected, for these two field equations are essentially the same.

The field equation (5.74) of gravitational gauge field in the leading order is:

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\eta_{\alpha\beta}\partial^\mu\partial_\mu C_\sigma^\beta + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta_{\alpha\beta}\partial^\nu\partial^\mu C_\mu^\beta + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}\partial_\mu\partial_\rho C_\alpha^\mu \\
&\qquad -\tfrac{1}{4}\partial^\mu\partial_\mu C_\alpha^\nu + \tfrac{1}{4}\partial^\mu\partial_\alpha C_\mu^\nu + \tfrac{1}{2}\delta_\alpha^\nu\partial^\mu\partial_\mu C_\beta^\beta \\
&\qquad -\tfrac{1}{2}\delta_\alpha^\nu\partial^\mu\partial_\beta C_\mu^\beta - \tfrac{1}{2}\partial^\nu\partial_\alpha C_\beta^\beta + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}\partial_\alpha\partial_\mu C_\rho^\mu \;=\; gT_\alpha^\nu,
\end{aligned} \tag{11.1}$$

where $\partial^\mu = \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu$. In order to simplify the above field equation, harmonic gauge will be used. In general relativity, the harmonic coordinate conditions are represented by

$$\Gamma^\gamma \overset{\triangle}{=} g^{\alpha\beta}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = 0, \tag{11.2}$$

where $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ is given by (5.35). In leading order approximation, it gives out the following restriction

$$\partial_\mu C_\nu^\mu - \partial_\nu C_\mu^\mu = -\eta_{\lambda\nu}\partial^\mu C_\mu^\lambda. \tag{11.3}$$

After the harmonic coordinate conditions are considered, eq. (11.1) is changed into

$$-\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\eta_{\alpha\beta}\partial^\mu\partial_\mu C_\sigma^\beta - \frac{1}{4}\partial^\mu\partial_\mu C_\alpha^\nu + \frac{1}{4}\delta_\alpha^\nu\partial^\mu\partial_\mu C_\beta^\beta = gT_\alpha^\nu. \tag{11.4}$$

Multiply both side of the above equation with δ_ν^α, we can get

$$\partial^\mu\partial_\mu C_\beta^\beta = 2gT_\beta^\beta. \tag{11.5}$$

Then, eq. (11.4) is changed into

$$-\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\eta_{\alpha\beta}\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}C^{\beta}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{4}\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}C^{\nu}_{\alpha}=g\left(T^{\nu}_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}T^{\beta}_{\beta}\right). \tag{11.6}$$

As a classical limit approximation, let's consider static gravitational interactions between two static objects. In this case, the leading order component of energy-momentum tensor is T^0_0, other components of energy-momentum tensor is a first order infinitesimal quantity. So, we only need to consider the field equation (11.4) of $\nu=\alpha=0$, which now becomes

$$\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}C^0_0=-gT^0_0. \tag{11.7}$$

For static problems, all time derivatives vanish. Therefor, the above equation is changed into

$$\nabla^2 C^0_0=-gT^0_0. \tag{11.8}$$

This is just the Newton's equation of gravitational field. Suppose that there is only one point object at the origin of the coordinate system. Because T^0_0 is the negative value of energy density, we can let

$$T^0_0=-M\delta(\vec{x}). \tag{11.9}$$

Applying

$$\nabla^2\frac{1}{r}=-4\pi\delta(\vec{x}), \tag{11.10}$$

with $r=\mid\vec{x}\mid$, we get

$$C^0_0=-\frac{gM}{4\pi r}. \tag{11.11}$$

This is just the gravitational potential which is expected in Newton's theory of gravity.

Suppose that there is another point object at the position of point $\vec{x}$ with mass m. The gravitational potential energy between these two objects is that

$$V(r)=\int \mathrm{d}^3\,\vec{y}\;\mathcal{H}_I=-g\int \mathrm{d}^3\,\vec{y}\;T^{\ 0}_{2\ 0}(\vec{x})C^0_0, \tag{11.12}$$

with C^0_0 is the gravitational potential generated by the first point object, and $T^{\ 0}_{2\ 0}$ is the $(0,0)$ component of the energy-momentum tensor of the second object,

$$T^{\ 0}_{2\ 0}(\vec{y})=-m\delta(\vec{y}-\vec{x}). \tag{11.13}$$

The final result for gravitational potential energy between two point objects is

$$V(r) = -\frac{g^2 Mm}{4\pi r}. \tag{11.14}$$

The gravitational potential energy between two point objects is always negative, which is what expected by Newton's theory of gravity and is the inevitable result of the attractive nature of gravitational interactions.

The gravitational force that the first point object acts on the second point object is

$$\vec{f} = -\nabla V(r) = -\frac{g^2 Mm}{4\pi r^2}\hat{r}, \tag{11.15}$$

where $\hat{r} = \vec{r}\,/r$. This is the famous formula of Newton's gravitational force. Therefore, in the classical limit, the gravitational gauge theory can return to Newton's theory of gravity. Besides, from eq.(11.15), we can clearly see that the gravitational interaction force between two point objects is attractive.

Now, we want to ask a problem: why in QED, the force between two like electric charges is always repulsive, while in gravitational gauge theory, the force between two like gravitational charges can be attractive? A simple answer to this fundamental problem is that the attractive nature of the gravitational force is an inevitable result of the global Lorentz symmetry of the system. Because of the requirement of global Lorentz symmetry, the Lagrangian function given by eq.(5.50) must use $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\eta^{\mu\rho}$, can not use the ordinary δ-function $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ or $\delta^{\mu\rho}$. It can be easily prove that, if we use $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ or $\delta^{\mu\rho}$ instead of $g_{\alpha\beta}$ or $\eta^{\mu\rho}$ in eq.(5.50), the Lagrangian of pure gravitational gauge field is not invariant under global Lorentz transformation. On the other hand, if we use $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ or $\delta^{\mu\rho}$ instead of $g_{\alpha\beta}$ or $\eta^{\mu\rho}$ in eq.(5.50), the gravitational force will be repulsive which obviously contradicts with experiment results. In QED, δ_{ab} is used to construct the Lagrangian for electromagnetic fields, therefore, the interaction force between two like electric charges is always repulsive.

Another form of the field equation of gravitational gauge field is the Einstein's field equation (5.97)

$$R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} R = -8\pi G T_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{11.16}$$

Now, we discuss its classical limit. Multiply both side of the above equation with $g^{\alpha\beta}$, we get

$$R = 8\pi G T^{\gamma}_{\gamma}. \tag{11.17}$$

Therefore, eq.(11.16) can be changed into

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = -8\pi G S_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{11.18}$$

where

$$S_{\alpha\beta} = T_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} T^{\gamma}_{\gamma}. \tag{11.19}$$

$R_{\alpha\beta}$ is given by eq. (5.40). In first order approximation, it is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} R_{\alpha\beta} & = & g\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta C^\mu_\mu - \frac{g}{2}\partial_\alpha\partial_\lambda C^\lambda_\beta - \frac{g}{2}\partial_\beta\partial_\lambda C^\lambda_\alpha \\ & & +\frac{g}{2}\eta^{\lambda\nu}\eta_{\beta\gamma}\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda C^\gamma_\alpha + \frac{g}{2}\eta^{\lambda\nu}\eta_{\alpha\gamma}\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda C^\gamma_\beta \\ & & -\frac{g}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}\eta_{\beta\gamma}\partial_\nu\partial_\alpha C^\gamma_\mu - \frac{g}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}\eta_{\alpha\gamma}\partial_\nu\partial_\beta C^\gamma_\mu + o((gC)^2). \end{array} \tag{11.20}$$

Applying harmonic coordinate conditions (11.3), we can simplify the above equation into

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{g}{2}\eta^{\lambda\nu}\eta_{\beta\gamma}\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda C^\gamma_\alpha + \frac{g}{2}\eta^{\lambda\nu}\eta_{\alpha\gamma}\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda C^\gamma_\beta. \tag{11.21}$$

For classical limit, we only need to consider the field equation (11.18) with $\alpha = \beta = 0$, which is

$$-g\partial^\nu\partial_\nu C^0_0 = -8\pi G S_{00} \tag{11.22}$$

Using the following relation

$$g^2 = 4\pi G, \tag{11.23}$$

$$S_{00} = \frac{1}{2} T_{00} = -\frac{1}{2} T^0_0, \tag{11.24}$$

we can change eq. (11.25) into

$$\partial^\nu\partial_\nu C^0_0 = -g T^0_0, \tag{11.25}$$

which is the same as eq.(11.7). Therefore, the Einstein's field equation (5.97) gives out the same classical limit as that of field equation (5.74), which is what we expected.

12 Path Integral Quantization of Gravitational Gauge Fields

For the sake of simplicity, in this chapter and the next chapter, we only discuss pure gravitational gauge field. For pure gravitational gauge field, its Lagrangian function is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{L} & = & -\frac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}J(C)F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ & & -\frac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}G^{-1\nu}_\beta G^{-1\sigma}_\alpha J(C)F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ & & +\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}G^{-1\nu}_\alpha G^{-1\sigma}_\beta J(C)F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}. \end{array} \tag{12.1}$$

Its space-time integration gives out the action of the system

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \mathcal{L}. \tag{12.2}$$

This action has local gravitational gauge symmetry. Gravitational gauge field C_μ^α has $4 \times 4 = 16$ degrees of freedom. But, if gravitons are massless, the system has only $2 \times 4 = 8$ degrees of freedom. There are gauge degrees of freedom in the theory. Because only physical degrees of freedom can be quantized, in order to quantize the system, we have to introduce gauge conditions to eliminate un-physical degrees of freedom. For the sake of convenience, we take temporal gauge conditions

$$C_0^\alpha = 0, \quad (\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3). \tag{12.3}$$

In temporal gauge, the generating functional $W[J]$ is given by

$$W[J] = N \int [\mathcal{D}C] \left(\prod_{\alpha, x} \delta(C_0^\alpha(x)) \right) exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 x (\mathcal{L} + J_\alpha^\mu C_\mu^\alpha), \right\} \tag{12.4}$$

where N is the normalization constant, J_α^μ is a fixed external source and $[\mathcal{D}C]$ is the integration measure,

$$[\mathcal{D}C] = \prod_{\mu=0}^{3} \prod_{\alpha=0}^{3} \prod_{j} \left(\varepsilon \mathrm{d} C_\mu^\alpha(\tau_j) / \sqrt{2\pi i \hbar} \right). \tag{12.5}$$

We use this generation functional as our starting point of the path integral quantization of gravitational gauge field.

Generally speaking, the action of the system has local gravitational gauge symmetry, but the gauge condition has no local gravitational gauge symmetry. If we make a local gravitational gauge transformations, the action of the system is kept unchanged while gauge condition will be changed. Therefore, through local gravitational gauge transformation, we can change one gauge condition into another gauge condition. The most general gauge condition is

$$f^\alpha(C(x)) - \varphi^\alpha(x) = 0, \tag{12.6}$$

where $\varphi^\alpha(x)$ is an arbitrary space-time function. The Fadeev-Popov determinant $\Delta_f(C)$ [47] is defined by

$$\Delta_f^{-1}(C) \equiv \int [\mathcal{D}g] \prod_{x, \alpha} \delta \left(f^\alpha({}^g C(x)) - \varphi^\alpha(x) \right), \tag{12.7}$$

where g is an element of gravitational gauge group, ${}^gC$ is the gravitational gauge field after gauge transformation g and $[\mathcal{D}g]$ is the integration measure on gravitational gauge group

$$[\mathcal{D}g] = \prod_x \mathrm{d}^4\epsilon(x), \tag{12.8}$$

where $\epsilon(x)$ is the transformation parameter of $\hat{U}_\epsilon$. Both $[\mathcal{D}g]$ and $[\mathcal{D}C]$ are not invariant under gravitational gauge transformation. Suppose that,

$$[\mathcal{D}(gg')] = J_1(g')[\mathcal{D}g], \tag{12.9}$$

$$[\mathcal{D}\ {}^gC] = J_2(g)[\mathcal{D}C]. \tag{12.10}$$

$J_1(g)$ and $J_2(g)$ satisfy the following relations

$$J_1(g) \cdot J_1(g^{-1}) = 1, \tag{12.11}$$

$$J_2(g) \cdot J_2(g^{-1}) = 1. \tag{12.12}$$

It can be proved that, under gravitational gauge transformations, the Fadeev-Popov determinant transforms as

$$\Delta_f^{-1}({}^{g'}C) = J_1^{-1}(g')\Delta_f^{-1}(C). \tag{12.13}$$

Insert eq.(12.7) into eq.(12.4), we get

$$\begin{array}{rcl} W[J] & = & N\int[\mathcal{D}g]\int[\mathcal{D}C] \quad \left[\prod_{\alpha,y}\delta(C_0^\alpha(y))\right]\cdot\Delta_f(C) \\ & & \cdot\left[\prod_{\beta,z}\delta(f^\beta({}^gC(z))-\varphi^\beta(z))\right]\cdot exp\left\{i\int \mathrm{d}^4x(\mathcal{L}+J_\alpha^\mu C_\mu^\alpha)\right\}. \end{array} \tag{12.14}$$

Make a gravitational gauge transformation,

$$C(x) \quad \to \quad {}^{g^{-1}}C(x), \tag{12.15}$$

then,

$${}^gC(x) \quad \to \quad {}^{gg^{-1}}C(x). \tag{12.16}$$

After this transformation, the generating functional is changed into

$$\begin{array}{rcl} W[J] & = & N\int[\mathcal{D}g]\int[\mathcal{D}C] \quad J_1(g)J_2(g^{-1})\cdot\left[\prod_{\alpha,y}\delta({}^{g^{-1}}C_0^\alpha(y))\right]\cdot\Delta_f(C) \\ & & \cdot\left[\prod_{\beta,z}\delta(f^\beta(C(z))-\varphi^\beta(z))\right]\cdot exp\left\{i\int \mathrm{d}^4x(\mathcal{L}+J_\alpha^\mu\ {}^{g^{-1}}C_\mu^\alpha)\right\}. \end{array} \tag{12.17}$$

Suppose that the gauge transformation $g_0(C)$ transforms general gauge condition $f^\beta(C) - \varphi^\beta = 0$ to temporal gauge condition $C_0^\alpha = 0$, and suppose that this transformation $g_0(C)$ is unique. Then two δ-functions in eq.(12.17) require that the integration on gravitational gauge group must be in the neighborhood of $g_0^{-1}(C)$. Therefore eq.(12.17) is changed into

$$\begin{aligned} W[J] &= N \int [\mathcal{D}C] \;\; \Delta_f(C) \cdot \left[\prod_{\beta,z} \delta(f^\beta(C(z)) - \varphi^\beta(z)) \right] \\ & \quad \cdot exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 x (\mathcal{L} + J_\alpha^\mu \cdot {}^{g_0} C_\mu^\alpha) \right\} \qquad (12.18) \\ & \quad \cdot J_1(g_0^{-1}) J_2(g_0) \cdot \int [\mathcal{D}g] \left[\prod_{\alpha,y} \delta({}^{g^{-1}} C_0^\alpha(y)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The last line in eq.(12.18) will cause no trouble in renormalization, and if we consider the contribution from ghost fields which will be introduced below, it will becomes a quantity which is independent of gravitational gauge field. So, we put it into normalization constant N and still denote the new normalization constant as N. We also change $J_\alpha^\mu \; {}^{g_0} C_\mu^\alpha$ into $J_\alpha^\mu C_\mu^\alpha$, this will cause no trouble in renormalization. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} W[J] &= N \int [\mathcal{D}C] \;\; \Delta_f(C) \cdot [\prod_{\beta,z} \delta(f^\beta(C(z)) - \varphi^\beta(z))] \\ & \quad \cdot exp\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 x (\mathcal{L} + J_\alpha^\mu C_\mu^\alpha) \}. \end{aligned} \qquad (12.19)$$

In fact, we can use this formula as our start-point of path integral quantization of gravitational gauge field, so we need not worried about the influences of the third line in eq.(12.18).

Use another functional

$$exp \left\{ -\frac{i}{2\alpha} \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \eta_{\alpha\beta} \varphi^\alpha(x) \varphi^\beta(x) \right\}, \qquad (12.20)$$

times both sides of eq.(12.19) and then make functional integration $\int [\mathcal{D}\varphi]$, we get

$$W[J] = N \int [\mathcal{D}C] \;\; \Delta_f(C) \cdot exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 x (\mathcal{L} - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \eta_{\alpha\beta} f^\alpha f^\beta + J_\alpha^\mu C_\mu^\alpha) \right\}. \qquad (12.21)$$

Now, let's discuss the contribution from $\Delta_f(C)$ which is related to the ghost fields. Suppose that $g = \hat{U}_\epsilon$ is an infinitesimal gravitational gauge transformation. Then eq.(5.12) gives out

$${}^g C_\mu^\alpha(x) = C_\mu^\alpha(x) - \frac{1}{g} \mathbf{D}_\mu^\alpha{}_\sigma \epsilon^\sigma, \qquad (12.22)$$

where

$$\mathbf{D}^{\alpha}_{\mu\ \sigma} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\sigma}\partial_{\mu} - g\delta^{\alpha}_{\sigma}C^{\beta}_{\mu}\partial_{\beta} + g\partial_{\sigma}C^{\alpha}_{\mu}. \tag{12.23}$$

In order to deduce eq.(12.22), the following relation is used

$$\Lambda^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} + \partial_{\beta}\epsilon^{\alpha} + o(\epsilon^2). \tag{12.24}$$

$\mathbf{D}_{\mu}$ can be regarded as the covariant derivative in adjoint representation, for

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mu}\epsilon = [D_{\mu} \quad , \quad \epsilon], \tag{12.25}$$

$$(\mathbf{D}_{\mu}\epsilon)^{\alpha} = \mathbf{D}^{\alpha}_{\mu\ \sigma}\epsilon^{\sigma}. \tag{12.26}$$

Using all these relations, we have,

$$f^{\alpha}({}^{g}C(x)) = f^{\alpha}(C) - \frac{1}{g}\int \mathrm{d}^4y \frac{\delta f^{\alpha}(C(x))}{\delta C^{\beta}_{\mu}(y)}\mathbf{D}^{\beta}_{\mu\ \sigma}(y)\epsilon^{\sigma}(y) + o(\epsilon^2). \tag{12.27}$$

Therefore, according to eq.(12.7) and eq.(12.6), we get

$$\Delta^{-1}_{f}(C) = \int [\mathcal{D}\epsilon] \prod_{x,\alpha} \delta\left(-\frac{1}{g}\int \mathrm{d}^4y \frac{\delta f^{\alpha}(C(x))}{\delta C^{\beta}_{\mu}(y)}\mathbf{D}^{\beta}_{\mu\ \sigma}(y)\epsilon^{\sigma}(y)\right). \tag{12.28}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}^{\alpha}{}_{\sigma}(x,y) &= -g\tfrac{\delta}{\delta\epsilon^{\sigma}(y)}f^{\alpha}({}^{g}C(x)) \\ &= \int \mathrm{d}^4z \tfrac{\delta f^{\alpha}(C(x))}{\delta C^{\beta}_{\mu}(z)}\mathbf{D}^{\beta}_{\mu\ \sigma}(z)\delta(z-y). \end{aligned} \tag{12.29}$$

Then eq.(12.28) is changed into

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{-1}_{f}(C) &= \int [\mathcal{D}\epsilon] \textstyle\prod_{x,\alpha} \delta\left(-\frac{1}{g}\int \mathrm{d}^4y \mathbf{M}^{\alpha}{}_{\sigma}(x,y)\epsilon^{\sigma}(y)\right) \\ &= const. \times (det\mathbf{M})^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{12.30}$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta_{f}(C) = const. \times det\mathbf{M}. \tag{12.31}$$

Put the above constant into normalization constant, then generating functional eq.(12.21) is changed into

$$W[J] = N\int [\mathcal{D}C] \;\; det\mathbf{M} \cdot exp\left\{ i\int \mathrm{d}^4x(\mathcal{L} - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\eta_{\alpha\beta}f^{\alpha}f^{\beta} + J^{\mu}_{\alpha}C^{\alpha}_{\mu})\right\}. \tag{12.32}$$

In order to evaluate the contribution from $det\mathbf{M}$, we introduce ghost fields $\eta^{\alpha}(x)$ and $\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)$. Using the following relation

$$\int [\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4x \mathrm{d}^4y \ \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x) \mathbf{M}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}(x,y) \eta^{\beta}(y) \right\} = const. \times det\mathbf{M} \tag{12.33}$$

and put the constant into the normalization constant, we can get

$$W[J] = N \int [\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4x (\mathcal{L} - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\eta_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha} f^{\beta} + \bar{\eta}\mathbf{M}\eta + J^{\mu}_{\alpha} C^{\alpha}_{\mu}) \right\}, \tag{12.34}$$

where $\int \mathrm{d}^4x \bar{\eta}\mathbf{M}\eta$ is a simplified notation, whose explicit expression is

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x \bar{\eta}\mathbf{M}\eta = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \mathrm{d}^4y \ \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x) \mathbf{M}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}(x,y) \eta^{\beta}(y). \tag{12.35}$$

The appearance of the non-trivial ghost fields is a inevitable result of the non-Able nature of the gravitational gauge group.

Set external source J^{μ}_{α} to zero, we get,

$$W[0] = N \int [\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4x (\mathcal{L} - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\eta_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha} f^{\beta} + \bar{\eta}\mathbf{M}\eta) \right\}, \tag{12.36}$$

Now, let's take Lorentz covariant gauge condition,

$$f^{\alpha}(C) = \partial^{\mu} C^{\alpha}_{\mu}. \tag{12.37}$$

Then

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x \bar{\eta}\mathbf{M}\eta = - \int \mathrm{d}^4x \left(\partial^{\mu} \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x) \right) \mathbf{D}^{\alpha}_{\mu \ \beta}(x) \eta^{\beta}(x). \tag{12.38}$$

And eq.(12.36) is changed into

$$W[0] = N \int [\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4x (\mathcal{L} - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\eta_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha} f^{\beta} - (\partial^{\mu}\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}) \mathbf{D}^{\alpha}_{\mu \ \sigma} \eta^{\sigma}) \right\}. \tag{12.39}$$

For quantum gauge general relativity, the external source of gravitational gauge field should be introduced in a special way. Define the generating functional with external sources as

$$\begin{aligned} W[J, \beta, \bar{\beta}] &= N \textstyle\int [\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4x (\mathcal{L} - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\eta_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha} f^{\beta} \right. \\ & \left. -(\partial^{\mu}\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}) \mathbf{D}^{\alpha}_{\mu \ \sigma} \eta^{\sigma} + C^{\alpha}_{\mu} \overset{\sim \mu\beta}{\delta}_{\alpha\nu} (x) J^{\nu}_{0\beta} + \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}\beta^{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}_{\alpha}\eta^{\alpha}) \right\} \\ &= N \textstyle\int [\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4x (\mathcal{L} - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\eta_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha} f^{\beta} \right. \\ & \left. -(\partial^{\mu}\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}) \mathbf{D}^{\alpha}_{\mu \ \sigma} \eta^{\sigma} + C^{\alpha}_{\mu} J^{\mu}_{\alpha} + \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}\beta^{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}_{\alpha}\eta^{\alpha}) \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{12.40}$$

where $\tilde{\delta}^{\mu\gamma}_{\alpha\rho}(x)$ is defined by

$$\tilde{\delta}^{\mu\gamma}_{\alpha\rho}(x) \triangleq \frac{1}{2}\Big(\tilde{\delta}^{\mu}_{\rho}(x)\,\tilde{\delta}^{\gamma}_{\alpha}(x) + \tilde{\eta}^{\mu\gamma}(x)\,\tilde{\eta}_{\alpha\rho}(x)\Big), \tag{12.41}$$

and

$$J^{\mu}_{\alpha} \triangleq \tilde{\delta}^{\mu\beta}_{\alpha\nu}(x) J^{\nu}_{0\beta}. \tag{12.42}$$

In the above definition, $\tilde{\delta}^{\mu}_{\rho}(x)$, $\tilde{\eta}^{\mu\gamma}(x)$ and $\tilde{\eta}_{\mu\gamma}(x)$ are defined by

$$\tilde{\delta}^{\mu}_{\rho}(x) = \delta^{\mu}_{\rho} - \frac{\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\rho}}{\Box + i\epsilon}, \tag{12.43}$$

$$\tilde{\eta}^{\mu\gamma}(x) = \eta^{\mu\gamma} - \frac{\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\gamma}}{\Box + i\epsilon}, \tag{12.44}$$

$$\tilde{\eta}_{\mu\gamma}(x) = \eta_{\mu\gamma} - \frac{\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\gamma}}{\Box + i\epsilon}, \tag{12.45}$$

where

$$\Box \triangleq \partial^2 = \partial^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} = \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}. \tag{12.46}$$

Using these relations, we can prove that

$$J^{\mu}_{\alpha} = \tilde{\delta}^{\mu\beta}_{\alpha\nu} J^{\nu}_{\beta}. \tag{12.47}$$

The effective Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{eff}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} \equiv \mathcal{L} - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\eta_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha} f^{\beta} - (\partial^{\mu}\bar{\eta}_{\alpha})\mathbf{D}^{\alpha}_{\mu\ \sigma}\eta^{\sigma}. \tag{12.48}$$

$\mathcal{L}_{eff}$ can separate into free Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_F$ and interaction Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_I$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_F + \mathcal{L}_I, \tag{12.49}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_F \;=\; & -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\eta_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha}_{0\mu\nu}F^{\beta}_{0\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^{\alpha}_{0\mu\beta}F^{\beta}_{0\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^{\alpha}_{0\mu\alpha}F^{\beta}_{0\rho\beta} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{2\alpha}\eta_{\alpha\beta}(\partial^{\mu}C^{\alpha}_{\mu})(\partial^{\nu}C^{\beta}_{\nu}) - (\partial^{\mu}\bar{\eta}_{\alpha})(\partial_{\mu}\eta^{\alpha}), \end{aligned} \tag{12.50}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_I \;=\; & +g(\partial^{\mu}\bar{\eta}_{\alpha})C^{\beta}_{\mu}(\partial_{\beta}\eta^{\alpha}) - g(\partial^{\mu}\bar{\eta}_{\alpha})(\partial_{\sigma}C^{\alpha}_{\mu})\eta^{\sigma} \\ & + \textit{self interaction terms of Gravitational gauge field.} \end{aligned} \tag{12.51}$$

From the interaction Lagrangian, we can see that ghost fields do not couple to $J(C)$. This is the reflection of the fact that ghost fields are not physical fields, they are virtual fields. Besides, the gauge fixing term does not couple to $J(C)$ either. Using effective Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{eff}$, the generating functional $W[J, \beta, \bar{\beta}]$ can be simplified to

$$W[J, \beta, \bar{\beta}] = N \int [\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4x (\mathcal{L}_{eff} + J^{\mu}_{\alpha} C^{\alpha}_{\mu} + \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}\beta^{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}_{\alpha}\eta^{\alpha}) \right\}, \tag{12.52}$$

Using eq.(12.50), we can deduce propagator of gravitational gauge fields and ghost fields. First, after a partial integration, we change the form of eq. (12.50) into

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x \mathcal{L}_F = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} C^{\alpha}_{\mu} \mathbb{M}^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}(x) C^{\beta}_{\nu} + \bar{\eta}_{\alpha} \partial^2 \eta^{\alpha} \right\}, \tag{12.53}$$

where the operator $\mathbb{M}^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}(x) \;\; = \;\; & \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}\eta_{\alpha\beta}\partial^{\rho}\partial_{\rho} - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta_{\alpha\beta}(1 - \tfrac{4}{\alpha})\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu} - \tfrac{1}{4}\delta^{\mu}_{\beta}\partial^{\nu}\partial_{\alpha} \\ & + \tfrac{1}{4}\delta^{\mu}_{\beta}\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}\partial^{\rho}\partial_{\rho} - \tfrac{1}{4}\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\beta} + \tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{\nu}_{\beta}\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\alpha} \\ & - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta} - \tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}\delta^{\nu}_{\beta}\partial^{\rho}\partial_{\rho} + \tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}\partial^{\nu}\partial_{\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{12.54}$$

Denote the propagator of gravitational gauge field as

$$-i\Delta^{\alpha\beta}_{F\mu\nu}(x), \tag{12.55}$$

and denote the propagator of ghost field as

$$-i\Delta^{\alpha}_{F\beta}(x). \tag{12.56}$$

They satisfy the following equation,

$$-\mathbb{M}^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}(x)\Delta^{\beta\gamma}_{F\nu\rho}(x) = \overset{\sim\mu\gamma}{\delta_{\alpha\rho}} (x)\delta(x), \tag{12.57}$$

$$-\partial^2\Delta^{\alpha}_{F\beta}(x) = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}\delta(x), \tag{12.58}$$

where $\overset{\sim\mu\gamma}{\delta_{\alpha\rho}} (x)$ is defined by (12.41).

Make Fourier transformations to momentum space

$$\Delta^{\alpha\beta}_{F\mu\nu}(x) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \overset{\sim\alpha\beta}{\Delta_{F\mu\nu}} (k) \cdot e^{ikx}, \tag{12.59}$$

$$\Delta^{\alpha}_{F\beta}(x)=\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\overset{\sim}{\Delta}{}^{\alpha}_{F\beta}(k)\cdot e^{ikx},\tag{12.60}$$

where $\overset{\sim}{\Delta}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{F\mu\nu}(k)$ and $\overset{\sim}{\Delta}{}^{\alpha}_{F\beta}(k)$ are corresponding propagators in momentum space. They satisfy the following equations,

$$-\mathbb{M}^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}\overset{\sim}{\Delta}{}^{\beta\gamma}_{F\nu\rho}(k)=\overset{\sim}{\delta}{}^{\mu\gamma}_{\alpha\rho},\tag{12.61}$$

$$k^2\overset{\sim}{\Delta}{}^{\alpha}_{F\beta}(k)=\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta},\tag{12.62}$$

where the operator $\mathbb{M}^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{M}^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}&\triangleq\mathbb{M}^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}(k)\\&\triangleq\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}\eta_{\alpha\beta}k^2-\tfrac{1}{4}\eta_{\alpha\beta}(1-\tfrac{4}{\alpha})k^{\mu}k^{\nu}-\tfrac{1}{4}\delta^{\mu}_{\beta}k^{\nu}k_{\alpha}\\&\quad+\tfrac{1}{4}\delta^{\mu}_{\beta}\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}k^2-\tfrac{1}{4}\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}k^{\mu}k_{\beta}+\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{\nu}_{\beta}k^{\mu}k_{\alpha}\\&\quad-\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}k_{\alpha}k_{\beta}-\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}\delta^{\nu}_{\beta}k^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}k^{\nu}k_{\beta},\end{aligned}\tag{12.63}$$

and $\overset{\sim}{\delta}{}^{\mu\gamma}_{\alpha\rho}$ is defined by

$$\overset{\sim}{\delta}{}^{\mu\gamma}_{\alpha\rho}\triangleq\overset{\sim}{\delta}{}^{\mu\gamma}_{\alpha\rho}(k)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\overset{\sim}{\delta}{}^{\mu}_{\rho}\overset{\sim}{\delta}{}^{\gamma}_{\alpha}+\overset{\sim}{\eta}{}^{\mu\gamma}\overset{\sim}{\eta}_{\alpha\rho}\right).\tag{12.64}$$

The operator $\mathbb{M}^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}$ has the following symmetric property

$$\mathbb{M}^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}=\mathbb{M}^{\nu\mu}_{\beta\alpha}.\tag{12.65}$$

In the above relation, $\overset{\sim}{\delta}{}^{\mu}_{\rho}$, $\overset{\sim}{\eta}{}^{\mu\gamma}$ and $\overset{\sim}{\eta}_{\mu\gamma}$ are defined by

$$\overset{\sim}{\delta}{}^{\mu}_{\rho}=\delta^{\mu}_{\rho}-\frac{k^{\mu}k_{\rho}}{k^2-i\epsilon},\tag{12.66}$$

$$\overset{\sim}{\eta}{}^{\mu\gamma}=\eta^{\mu\gamma}-\frac{k^{\mu}k^{\gamma}}{k^2-i\epsilon},\tag{12.67}$$

$$\overset{\sim}{\eta}_{\mu\gamma}=\eta_{\mu\gamma}-\frac{k_{\mu}k_{\gamma}}{k^2-i\epsilon}.\tag{12.68}$$

It can be easily proved that $\overset{\sim}{\delta}{}^{\mu}_{\rho}$, $\overset{\sim}{\eta}{}^{\mu\gamma}$, $\overset{\sim}{\eta}_{\mu\gamma}$, δ^{μ}_{ρ}, $\eta^{\mu\gamma}$ and $\eta_{\mu\gamma}$ satisfy the following relations:

$$\overset{\sim}{\eta}{}^{\mu\gamma}\overset{\sim}{\eta}_{\gamma\nu}=\overset{\sim}{\delta}{}^{\mu}_{\nu},\tag{12.69}$$

$$\eta^{\mu\gamma}\,\tilde{\eta}_{\gamma\nu}=\tilde{\delta}^{\mu}_{\nu}, \tag{12.70}$$

$$\tilde{\eta}^{\mu\gamma}\,\eta_{\gamma\nu}=\tilde{\delta}^{\mu}_{\nu}, \tag{12.71}$$

$$\tilde{\delta}^{\mu}_{\gamma}\tilde{\delta}^{\gamma}_{\nu}=\tilde{\delta}^{\mu}_{\nu}, \tag{12.72}$$

$$\delta^{\mu}_{\gamma}\,\tilde{\delta}^{\gamma}_{\nu}=\tilde{\delta}^{\mu}_{\nu}, \tag{12.73}$$

$$\tilde{\delta}^{\mu}_{\gamma}\,\delta^{\gamma}_{\nu}=\tilde{\delta}^{\mu}_{\nu}, \tag{12.74}$$

$$\tilde{\delta}^{\mu}_{\gamma}\tilde{\eta}^{\gamma\nu}=\tilde{\eta}^{\mu\nu}, \tag{12.75}$$

$$\delta^{\mu}_{\gamma}\,\tilde{\eta}^{\gamma\nu}=\tilde{\eta}^{\mu\nu}, \tag{12.76}$$

$$\tilde{\delta}^{\mu}_{\gamma}\,\eta^{\gamma\nu}=\tilde{\eta}^{\mu\nu}, \tag{12.77}$$

$$\tilde{\delta}^{\gamma}_{\mu}\tilde{\eta}_{\gamma\nu}=\tilde{\eta}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{12.78}$$

$$\delta^{\gamma}_{\mu}\,\tilde{\eta}_{\gamma\nu}=\tilde{\eta}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{12.79}$$

$$\tilde{\delta}^{\gamma}_{\mu}\,\eta_{\gamma\nu}=\tilde{\eta}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{12.80}$$

$$k^{\mu}\,\tilde{\eta}_{\mu\nu}=0, \tag{12.81}$$

$$k_{\mu}\,\tilde{\eta}^{\mu\nu}=0, \tag{12.82}$$

$$k^{\mu}\,\tilde{\delta}^{\nu}_{\mu}=0, \tag{12.83}$$

$$k_{\mu}\,\tilde{\delta}^{\mu}_{\nu}=0. \tag{12.84}$$

Using all these relations, we can prove that $\tilde{\delta}^{\mu\gamma}_{\alpha\rho}$ satisfies the following relation

$$\tilde{\delta}^{\mu\gamma}_{\alpha\rho}\cdot\tilde{\delta}^{\rho\beta}_{\gamma\nu}=\tilde{\delta}^{\mu\beta}_{\alpha\nu}\ . \tag{12.85}$$

The solutions to the two propagator equations (12.61) and (12.62) give out the propagators in momentum space,

$$-i\,\tilde{\Delta}^{\alpha\beta}_{F\mu\nu}(k)=\frac{-i}{k^2-i\epsilon}\left[\tilde{\eta}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\eta}^{\alpha\beta}+\tilde{\delta}^{\beta}_{\mu}\tilde{\delta}^{\alpha}_{\nu}-\tilde{\delta}^{\alpha}_{\mu}\tilde{\eta}^{\beta}_{\nu}\right], \tag{12.86}$$

$$-i\,\tilde{\Delta}^{\alpha}_{F\beta}(k)=\frac{-i}{k^2-i\epsilon}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}. \tag{12.87}$$

The forms of these propagators are quite beautiful and symmetric. $\overset{\sim}{\Delta}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{F\mu\nu}(k)$ satisfies the following relation

$$\overset{\sim}{\Delta}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{F\mu\nu}(k)\cdot \overset{\sim}{\delta}{}^{\nu\gamma}_{\beta\rho} = \overset{\sim}{\Delta}{}^{\alpha\gamma}_{F\mu\rho}(k). \tag{12.88}$$

Its Fourier transformation gives out the following relation

$$\overset{\sim}{\delta}{}^{\nu\gamma}_{\beta\rho}(x)\cdot \Delta^{\alpha\beta}_{F\mu\nu}(x) = \Delta^{\alpha\gamma}_{F\mu\rho}(x). \tag{12.89}$$

The above solution (12.86) is not the most general solution to equation (12.61). The most general solution to the equation (12.61) is

$$\begin{aligned} -i\,\overset{\sim}{\Delta}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{F\mu\nu}(k) \;=\; & \tfrac{-i}{k^2-i\epsilon}\Big[c_1\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\alpha\beta} - c_1\eta^{\alpha\beta}\tfrac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2-i\epsilon} + c_3\eta_{\mu\nu}\tfrac{k^\alpha k^\beta}{k^2-i\epsilon} \\ & +c_2\delta^\beta_\mu\delta^\alpha_\nu - c_2\delta^\alpha_\nu\tfrac{k_\mu k^\beta}{k^2-i\epsilon} + c_4\delta^\beta_\mu\tfrac{k_\nu k^\alpha}{k^2-i\epsilon} \\ & -\delta^\alpha_\mu\delta^\beta_\nu + \delta^\beta_\nu\tfrac{k_\mu k^\alpha}{k^2-i\epsilon} + \delta^\alpha_\mu\tfrac{k_\nu k^\beta}{k^2-i\epsilon} \\ & +c_5\tfrac{k_\mu k_\nu k^\alpha k^\beta}{(k^2-i\epsilon)^2}\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{12.90}$$

where parameters c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 and c_5 satisfy the following restriction

$$c_1 + c_2 = 2, \tag{12.91}$$

$$c_3 + c_4 + c_5 = -1. \tag{12.92}$$

Set $c_1 = c_2 = c_5 = 1$ and $c_3 = c_4 = -1$, we got the solution (12.86).

The interaction Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_I$ is a function of gravitational gauge field C^α_μ and ghost fields η^α and $\bar{\eta}_\alpha$,

$$\mathcal{L}_I = \mathcal{L}_I(C, \eta, \bar{\eta}). \tag{12.93}$$

Then eq.(12.52) is changed into,

$$\begin{aligned} W[J,\beta,\bar{\beta}] \;=\; & N\textstyle\int[\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}]\; exp\left\{i\int \mathrm{d}^4x\mathcal{L}_I(C,\eta,\bar{\eta})\right\} \\ & \cdot exp\left\{i\int \mathrm{d}^4x(\mathcal{L}_F + J^\mu_\alpha C^\alpha_\mu + \bar{\eta}_\alpha\beta^\alpha + \bar{\beta}_\alpha\eta^\alpha)\right\} \\ =\; & exp\left\{i\int \mathrm{d}^4x\mathcal{L}_I(\tfrac{1}{i}\tfrac{\delta}{\delta J}, \tfrac{1}{i}\tfrac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\beta}}, \tfrac{1}{-i}\tfrac{\delta}{\delta\beta})\right\}\cdot W_0[J,\beta,\bar{\beta}], \end{aligned} \tag{12.94}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_0[J,\beta,\bar{\beta}] &= N\int[\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}]exp\left\{i\int \mathrm{d}^4x\left(\mathcal{L}_F + J^\mu_\alpha C^\alpha_\mu + \bar{\eta}_\alpha\beta^\alpha + \bar{\beta}_\alpha\eta^\alpha\right)\right\} \\ &= N\int[\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}]exp\left\{i\int \mathrm{d}^4x\left(\tfrac{1}{2}C^\alpha_\mu\mathbb{M}^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}(x)C^\beta_\nu + \bar{\eta}_\alpha\partial^2\eta^\alpha\right.\right. \\ &\qquad \left.\left. + J^\mu_\alpha C^\alpha_\mu + \bar{\eta}_\alpha\beta^\alpha + \bar{\beta}_\alpha\eta^\alpha\right)\right\} \\ &= exp\left\{i\int\int \mathrm{d}^4x\mathrm{d}^4y\left[\tfrac{1}{2}J^\mu_\alpha(x)\Delta^{\alpha\beta}_{F\mu\nu}(x-y)J^\nu_\beta(y)\right.\right. \\ &\qquad \left.\left. + \bar{\beta}_\alpha(x)\Delta^\alpha_{F\beta}(x-y)\beta^\beta(y)\right]\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{12.95}$$

In order to obtain the above relation, eq. (12.47) is used.

The interaction Feynman rules for interaction vertices can be obtained from the interaction Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_I$. For example, the interaction Lagrangian between gravitational gauge field and ghost field is

$$+g(\partial^\mu\bar{\eta}_\alpha)C^\beta_\mu(\partial^\beta\eta^\alpha) - g(\partial^\mu\bar{\eta}_\alpha)(\partial_\sigma C^\alpha_\mu)\eta^\sigma. \tag{12.96}$$

This vertex belongs to $C^\alpha_\mu(k)\bar{\eta}_\beta(q)\eta^\delta(p)$ three body interactions, its Feynman rule is

$$-ig\delta^\beta_\delta q^\mu p_\alpha + ig\delta^\beta_\alpha q^\mu k_\delta. \tag{12.97}$$

Feynman rules for other interaction vertices can be obtained similarly. We will not calculate Feynman rules for all kinds of interaction vertices here. For interaction vertices which involve in gravitational gauge field, we can find that all Feynman rules for interaction vertices are proportional to energy-momenta of one or more particles, which is one of the most important properties of gravitational interactions. In fact, this interaction property is expected for gravitational interactions, for energy-momentum is the source of gravity.

13 Renormalization

In the quantum gauge general relativity, the gravitational coupling constant has the dimensionality of negative powers of mass. According to traditional theory of power counting law, it seems that the quantum gauge general relativity is a kind of non-renormalizable theory. But this result is not correct. The power counting law does not work here. General speaking, power counting law does not work when a theory has gauge symmetry. If a theory has gauge symmetry, the constraints from gauge symmetry will make some divergence cancel each other[48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53]. In the quantum gauge general relativity, this mechanism works very well. In this chapter, we will give a strict formal proof on the renormalization of the quantum gauge general relativity. We will find that the effect of renormalization is just a scale transformation of the original theory. Though there are infinite number of divergent vertexes in the quantum gauge general relativity, we need not introduce infinite number of interaction terms that do not exist in the original Lagrangian and infinite number of parameters. All the divergent vertex can find its correspondence in the original Lagrangian. Therefor, in renormalization, what we need to do is not to introduce extra interaction terms to cancel divergent terms, but to redefine the fields, coupling constants and some other parameters of the original theory. Because most of counterterms come from the factor $J(C)$, this factor is key important for renormalization. Without this factor, the theory is non-renormalizable. In a word, the quantum gauge general relativity is a renormalizable quantum gauge theory. Now, let's start our discussion on renormalization from the generalized BRST transformations.

The generalized BRST transformations are

$$\delta C_\mu^\alpha = -\mathbf{D}_{\mu\ \beta}^{\alpha} \eta^\beta \delta\lambda, \tag{13.1}$$

$$\delta \eta^\alpha = g \eta^\sigma (\partial_\sigma \eta^\alpha) \delta\lambda, \tag{13.2}$$

$$\delta \bar{\eta}_\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha\beta} f^\beta \delta\lambda, \tag{13.3}$$

$$\delta \eta^{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{13.4}$$

$$\delta g_{\alpha\beta} = g \left(g_{\alpha\sigma} (\partial_\beta \eta^\sigma) + g_{\sigma\beta} (\partial_\alpha \eta^\sigma) + \eta^\sigma (\partial_\sigma g_{\alpha\beta}) \right) \delta\lambda, \tag{13.5}$$

where $\delta\lambda$ is an infinitesimal Grassman constant. It can be strict proved that the generalized BRST transformations for fields C_μ^α and η^α are nilpotent:

$$\delta(\mathbf{D}_{\mu\ \beta}^{\alpha} \eta^\beta) = 0, \tag{13.6}$$

$$\delta(\eta^\sigma (\partial_\sigma \eta^\alpha)) = 0. \tag{13.7}$$

It means that all second order variations of fields vanish.

Using the above transformation rules, it can be strictly proved that the generalized BRST transformation for gauge field strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$ is

$$\delta F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha = g \left[-(\partial_\sigma \eta^\alpha) F_{\mu\nu}^\sigma + \eta^\sigma (\partial_\sigma F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha) \right] \delta\lambda, \tag{13.8}$$

and the transformation for the factor $J(C)$ is

$$\delta J(C) = g \left[(\partial_\alpha \eta^\alpha) J(C) + \eta^\alpha (\partial_\alpha J(C)) \right] \delta\lambda. \tag{13.9}$$

Therefore, under generalized BRST transformations, the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ given by eq.(12.1) transforms as

$$\delta\mathcal{L} = g(\partial_\alpha(\eta^\alpha\mathcal{L}))\delta\lambda. \tag{13.10}$$

It is a total derivative term, its space-time integration vanish, i.e., the action of eq.(12.2) is invariant under generalized BRST transformations,

$$\delta S = \delta\left(\int \mathrm{d}^4x\mathcal{L}\right) = 0. \tag{13.11}$$

On the other hand, it can be strict proved that

$$\delta\left(-\frac{1}{2\alpha}\eta_{\alpha\beta}f^\alpha f^\beta + \bar{\eta}_\alpha\partial^\mu\mathbf{D}_{\mu\ \sigma}^{\alpha}\eta^\sigma\right) = 0. \tag{13.12}$$

The non-renormalized effective Lagrangian is denoted as $\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{[0]}$. It is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{[0]} = \mathcal{L} - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\eta_{\alpha\beta}f^\alpha f^\beta + \bar{\eta}_\alpha\partial^\mu\mathbf{D}_{\mu\ \sigma}^{\alpha}\eta^\sigma. \tag{13.13}$$

The effective action is defined by

$$S_{eff}^{[0]} = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{[0]}. \tag{13.14}$$

Using eqs.(12.11 - 13.12), we can prove that this effective action is invariant under generalized BRST transformations,

$$\delta S_{eff}^{[0]} = 0. \tag{13.15}$$

This is a strict relation without any approximation. It is known that BRST symmetry plays key role in the renormalization of gauge theory, for it ensures the validity of the Ward-Takahashi identity.

Before we go any further, we have to do another important work, i.e., to prove that the functional integration measure $[\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}]$ is also generalized BRST invariant. We have proved before that the functional integration measure $[\mathcal{D}C]$ is not a gauge invariant measure, therefore, it is highly important to prove that $[\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}]$ is a generalized BRST invariant measure. BRST transformation is a kind of transformation which involves both bosonic fields and fermionic fields. For the sake of simplicity, let's formally denote all bosonic fields as $B = \{B_i\}$ and denote all fermionic fields as $F = \{F_i\}$. All fields that are involved in generalized BRST transformation are simply denoted by (B, F). Then, generalized BRST transformation is formally expressed as

$$(B, F) \quad \rightarrow \quad (B', F'). \tag{13.16}$$

The transformation matrix of this transformation is

$$J = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial B'_j} & \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial F'_l} \\ \frac{\partial F_k}{\partial B'_j} & \frac{\partial F_k}{\partial F'_l} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & \alpha \\ \beta & b \end{array} \right), \tag{13.17}$$

where

$$a = \left(\frac{\partial B_i}{\partial B'_j} \right), \tag{13.18}$$

$$b = \left(\frac{\partial F_k}{\partial F'_l} \right), \tag{13.19}$$

$$\alpha = \left(\frac{\partial B_i}{\partial F'_l} \right), \tag{13.20}$$

$$\beta = \left(\frac{\partial F_k}{\partial B'_j} \right). \tag{13.21}$$

Matrixes a and b are bosonic square matrix while α and β generally are not square matrix. In order to calculate the Jacobian $det(J)$, the above transformation (13.16) is realized in two steps. The first step is a bosonic transformation

$$(B, F) \quad \rightarrow \quad (B', F). \tag{13.22}$$

The transformation matrix of this transformation is denoted as J_1,

$$J_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a - \alpha b^{-1} \beta & \alpha b^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right). \tag{13.23}$$

Its Jacobian is

$$det \ J_1 = det(a - \alpha b^{-1} \beta). \tag{13.24}$$

Therefore,

$$\int \prod_i \mathrm{d}B_i \prod_k \mathrm{d}F_k = \int \prod_i \mathrm{d}B'_i \prod_k \mathrm{d}F_k \cdot det(a - \alpha b^{-1} \beta). \tag{13.25}$$

The second step is a fermionic transformation,

$$(B', F) \quad \rightarrow \quad (B', F'). \tag{13.26}$$

Its transformation matrix is denoted as J_2,

$$J_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ \beta & b \end{array} \right). \tag{13.27}$$

Its Jacobian is the inverse of the determinant of the transformation matrix,

$$(det\ J_2)^{-1} = (det\ b)^{-1}. \tag{13.28}$$

Using this relation, eq.(13.25) is changed into

$$\int \prod_i \mathrm{d}B_i \prod_k \mathrm{d}F_k = \int \prod_i \mathrm{d}B'_i \prod_k \mathrm{d}F'_k \cdot det(a - \alpha b^{-1}\beta)(det\ b)^{-1}. \tag{13.29}$$

For generalized BRST transformation, all non-diagonal matrix elements are proportional to Grassman constant $\delta\lambda$. Non-diagonal matrix α and β contains only non-diagonal matrix elements, so,

$$\alpha b^{-1}\beta \propto (\delta\lambda)^2 = 0. \tag{13.30}$$

It means that

$$\int \prod_i \mathrm{d}B_i \prod_k \mathrm{d}F_k = \int \prod_i \mathrm{d}B'_i \prod_k \mathrm{d}F'_k \cdot det(a) \cdot (det\ b)^{-1}. \tag{13.31}$$

Generally speaking, C^α_μ and $\partial_\nu C^\alpha_\mu$ are independent degrees of freedom, so are η^α and $\partial_\nu \eta^\alpha$. Using eqs.(13.1 - 13.3), we obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (det\ a^{-1}) & = & det\left[(\delta^\alpha_\beta + g(\partial_\beta \eta^\alpha)\delta\lambda)\delta^\mu_\nu\right] \\ & = & \prod_x (1 + g(\partial_\alpha \eta^\alpha)\delta\lambda)^4. \end{array} \tag{13.32}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (det\ b^{-1}) & = & det\left[\left(\delta^\alpha_\beta + g(\partial_\beta \eta^\alpha)\delta\lambda\right)\delta^\gamma_\delta\right] \\ & = & \prod_x (1 + g(\partial_\alpha \eta^\alpha)\delta\lambda)^4. \end{array} \tag{13.33}$$

In eq.(13.33), δ^γ_δ comes from contribution of ghost fields $\bar{\eta}_\delta$. Using these two relations, we have

$$det(a) \cdot (det\ b)^{-1} \ = \ \prod_x \mathbf{1} \ = \ 1. \tag{13.34}$$

Therefore, under generalized BRST transformation, functional integrational measure $[\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}]$ is invariant,

$$[\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] \ = \ [\mathcal{D}C'][\mathcal{D}\eta'][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}']. \tag{13.35}$$

Though both $[\mathcal{D}C]$ and $[\mathcal{D}\eta]$ are not invariant under generalized BRST transformation, their product is invariant under generalized BRST transformation. This result is interesting and important.

The generating functional $W^{[0]}[J]$ is

$$W^{[0]}[J] = N \int [\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 x (\mathcal{L}^{[0]}_{eff} + J^{\mu}_{\alpha} C^{\alpha}_{\mu}) \right\}, \tag{13.36}$$

where the external source J^{μ}_{α} satisfies the following restriction

$$J^{\mu}_{\alpha} = \overset{\sim}{\delta}{}^{\mu\beta}_{\alpha\nu} \, J^{\nu}_{\beta}. \tag{13.37}$$

Because

$$\int \mathrm{d}\eta^{\beta} \mathrm{d}\bar{\eta}^{\sigma} \cdot \bar{\eta}^{\alpha} \cdot f(\eta, \bar{\eta}) = 0, \tag{13.38}$$

where $f(\eta, \bar{\eta})$ is a bilinear function of η and $\bar{\eta}$, we have

$$\int [\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] \cdot \bar{\eta}^{\alpha}(x) \cdot exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 y (\mathcal{L}^{[0]}_{eff}(y) + J^{\mu}_{\alpha}(y) C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(y)) \right\} = 0. \tag{13.39}$$

If all fields are the fields after generalized BRST transformation, eq.(13.39) still holds, i.e.

$$\int [\mathcal{D}C'][\mathcal{D}\eta'][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}'] \cdot \bar{\eta}'^{\alpha}(x) \cdot exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 y (\mathcal{L}'^{[0]}_{eff}(y) + J^{\mu}_{\alpha}(y) C'^{\alpha}_{\mu}(y)) \right\} = 0, \tag{13.40}$$

where $\mathcal{L}'^{[0]}_{eff}$ is the effective Lagrangian after generalized BRST transformation. Both functional integration measure and effective action $\int \mathrm{d}^4 y \mathcal{L}'^{[0]}_{eff}(y)$ are generalized BRST invariant, so, using eqs.(13.1 - 13.3), we get

$$\begin{gathered} \int [\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] \quad \left[\tfrac{1}{\alpha} f^{\alpha}(C(x)) \delta\lambda - i \bar{\eta}^{\alpha}(C(x)) \int \mathrm{d}^4 z (J^{\mu}_{\beta}(z) \mathbf{D}^{\beta}_{\mu\sigma}(z) \eta^{\sigma}(z) \delta\lambda) \right] \\ \cdot exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 y (\mathcal{L}^{[0]}_{eff}(y) + J^{\mu}_{\alpha}(y) C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(y)) \right\} = 0. \end{gathered} \tag{13.41}$$

This equation will lead to

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} f^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(x)} \right) W^{[0]}[J] - \int \mathrm{d}^4 y \; J^{\mu}_{\beta}(y) \mathbf{D}^{\beta}_{\mu\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(x)} \right) W^{[0]\sigma\alpha}[y, x, J] = 0, \tag{13.42}$$

where

$$W^{[0]\sigma\alpha}[y, x, J] = Ni \int [\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] \bar{\eta}^{\alpha}(x) \eta^{\sigma}(y) exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 z (\mathcal{L}^{[0]}_{eff} + J^{\mu}_{\alpha} C^{\alpha}_{\mu}) \right\}. \tag{13.43}$$

This is the generalized Ward-Takahashi identity for generating functional $W^{[0]}[J]$.

Now, let's introduce the external sources of ghost fields, then the generation functional becomes

$$W^{[0]}[J,\beta,\bar{\beta}] = N\int[\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}]exp\left\{i\int \mathrm{d}^4x(\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{[0]} + J^\mu_\alpha C^\alpha_\mu + \bar{\eta}_\alpha\beta^\alpha + \bar{\beta}_\alpha\eta^\alpha)\right\}, \tag{13.44}$$

In renormalization of the theory, we have to introduce external sources K^μ_α and L_α of the following composite operators,

$$\mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta \quad , \quad g\eta^\sigma(\partial_\sigma\eta^\alpha). \tag{13.45}$$

Then the effective Lagrangian becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\overset{\sim}{\mathcal{L}}^{[0]}(C,\eta,\bar{\eta},K,L) &= \mathcal{L} - \tfrac{1}{2\alpha}\eta_{\alpha\beta}f^\alpha f^\beta + \bar{\eta}_\alpha\partial^\mu\mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\ \sigma}\eta^\sigma + K^\mu_\alpha\mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta + gL_\alpha\eta^\sigma(\partial_\sigma\eta^\alpha)\\ &= \mathcal{L}^{[0]}_{eff} + K^\mu_\alpha\mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta + gL_\alpha\eta^\sigma(\partial_\sigma\eta^\alpha).\end{aligned} \tag{13.46}$$

Then,

$$\tilde{S}^{[0]}[C,\eta,\bar{\eta},K,L] = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\overset{\sim}{\mathcal{L}}^{[0]}(C,\eta,\bar{\eta},K,L). \tag{13.47}$$

It is easy to deduce that

$$\frac{\delta\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta K^\mu_\alpha} = \mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta, \tag{13.48}$$

$$\frac{\delta\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta L_\alpha} = g\eta^\sigma(\partial_\sigma\eta^\alpha). \tag{13.49}$$

The generating functional now becomes,

$$W^{[0]}[J,\beta,\bar{\beta},K,L] = N\int[\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}]exp\left\{i\int \mathrm{d}^4x(\overset{\sim}{\mathcal{L}}^{[0]} + J^\mu_\alpha C^\alpha_\mu + \bar{\eta}_\alpha\beta^\alpha + \bar{\beta}_\alpha\eta^\alpha)\right\}. \tag{13.50}$$

In previous discussion, we have already proved that $S^{[0]}_{eff}$ is generalized BRST invariant. External sources K^μ_α and L_α keep unchanged under generalized BRST transformation. Using nilpotent property of generalized BRST transformation, it is easy to prove that the two new terms $K^\mu_\alpha\mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta$ and $gL_\alpha\eta^\sigma(\partial_\sigma\eta^\alpha)$ in $\overset{\sim}{\mathcal{L}}^{[0]}$ are also generalized BRST invariant,

$$\delta(K^\mu_\alpha\mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta) = 0, \tag{13.51}$$

$$\delta(gL_\alpha\eta^\sigma(\partial_\sigma\eta^\alpha)) = 0. \tag{13.52}$$

Therefore, the action given by (13.47) are generalized BRST invariant,

$$\delta\tilde{S}^{[0]} = 0. \tag{13.53}$$

It gives out

$$
\begin{array}{l}
\int \mathrm{d}^4x \left\{ -(\mathbf{D}^{\alpha}_{\mu\beta}\eta^{\beta}(x))\delta\lambda\frac{\delta}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)} + g\eta^{\sigma}(x)(\partial_{\sigma}\eta^{\alpha}(x))\delta\lambda\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta^{\alpha}(x)} \right. \\
\left. +\frac{1}{\alpha}f^{\alpha}(C(x))\delta\lambda\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)} \right\} \tilde{S}^{[0]} = 0.
\end{array}
\tag{13.54}
$$

Using relations (13.48 - 13.49), we can get

$$
\int \mathrm{d}^4x \left\{ \frac{\delta \tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} \frac{\delta \tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)} + \frac{\delta \tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta L_{\alpha}(x)} \frac{\delta \tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta \eta^{\alpha}(x)} + \frac{1}{\alpha} f^{\alpha}(C(x)) \frac{\delta \tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)} \right\} = 0. \tag{13.55}
$$

On the other hand, from (13.46 - 13.47), we can obtain that

$$
\frac{\delta \tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)} = \partial^{\mu}\left(\mathbf{D}^{\alpha}_{\mu\beta}\eta^{\beta}(x)\right). \tag{13.56}
$$

Combine (13.48) with (13.56), we get

$$
\frac{\delta \tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)} = \partial^{\mu}\left(\frac{\delta \tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)}\right). \tag{13.57}
$$

In generation functional $W^{[0]}[J,\beta,\bar{\beta},K,L]$, all fields are integrated, so, if we set all fields to the fields after generalized BRST transformations, the final result should not be changed, i.e.

$$
\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{W}^{[0]}[J,\beta,\bar{\beta},K,L] = N\int[\mathcal{D}C'][\mathcal{D}\eta'][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}'] \\
\cdot\, exp\left\{ i\int \mathrm{d}^4x(\mathcal{L}^{[0]}(C',\eta',\bar{\eta}',K,L) + J^{\mu}_{\alpha}C'^{\alpha}_{\mu} + \bar{\eta}'_{\alpha}\beta^{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}_{\alpha}\eta'^{\alpha}) \right\}.
\end{array}
\tag{13.58}
$$

Both action (13.47) and functional integration measure $[\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}]$ are generalized BRST invariant, so, the above relation gives out

$$
\begin{array}{l}
\int[\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] \left\{ i\int \mathrm{d}^4x \left(J^{\mu}_{\alpha}\frac{\delta\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} - \bar{\beta}_{\alpha}\frac{\delta\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta L_{\alpha}(x)} + \frac{1}{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha\sigma}f^{\alpha}\beta^{\sigma} \right) \right\} \\
\cdot exp\left\{ i\int \mathrm{d}^4y(\mathcal{L}^{[0]}(C,\eta,\bar{\eta},K,L) + J^{\mu}_{\alpha}C^{\alpha}_{\mu} + \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}\beta^{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}_{\alpha}\eta^{\alpha}) \right\} = 0.
\end{array}
\tag{13.59}
$$

On the other hand, because the ghost field $\bar{\eta}_\alpha$ was integrated in $W^{[0]}[J, \beta, \bar{\beta}, K, L]$, if we use $\bar{\eta}'_\alpha$ in the in functional integration, it will not change the generating functional. That is

$$\begin{array}{l} \tilde{W}^{[0]} [J, \beta, \bar{\beta}, K, L] = N \int [\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}'] \\ \quad \cdot \, exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 x (\mathcal{L}^{[0]}(C, \eta, \bar{\eta}', K, L) + J^\mu_\alpha C^\alpha_\mu + \bar{\eta}'_\alpha \beta^\alpha + \bar{\beta}_\alpha \eta^\alpha) \right\}. \end{array} \tag{13.60}$$

Suppose that

$$\bar{\eta}'_\alpha = \bar{\eta}_\alpha + \delta \bar{\eta}_\alpha. \tag{13.61}$$

Then (13.60) and (13.50) will gives out

$$\begin{array}{l} \int [\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] \left\{ \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \delta \bar{\eta}_\alpha (\frac{\delta \tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)} + \beta^\alpha(x)) \right\} \\ \cdot exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 y (\mathcal{L}^{[0]}(C, \eta, \bar{\eta}, K, L) + J^\mu_\alpha C^\alpha_\mu + \bar{\eta}_\alpha \beta^\alpha + \bar{\beta}_\alpha \eta^\alpha) \right\} = 0. \end{array} \tag{13.62}$$

Because $\delta\bar{\eta}_\alpha$ is an arbitrary variation, from (13.62), we will get

$$\begin{array}{l} \int [\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] \left(\frac{\delta \tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)} + \beta^\alpha(x) \right) \\ \cdot exp \left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 y (\mathcal{L}^{[0]}(C, \eta, \bar{\eta}, K, L) + J^\mu_\alpha C^\alpha_\mu + \bar{\eta}_\alpha \beta^\alpha + \bar{\beta}_\alpha \eta^\alpha) \right\} = 0. \end{array} \tag{13.63}$$

The generating functional of connected Green function is given by

$$\tilde{Z}^{[0]} [J, \beta, \bar{\beta}, K, L] = -i \ \ln \ \tilde{W}^{[0]} [J, \beta, \bar{\beta}, K, L]. \tag{13.64}$$

After Legendre transformation, we will get the generating functional of irreducible vertex $\overset{\sim}{\Gamma}^{[0]} [C, \bar{\eta}, \eta, K, L]$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overset{\sim}{\Gamma}^{[0]} [C, \bar{\eta}, \eta, K, L] & = & \tilde{Z}^{[0]} [J, \beta, \bar{\beta}, K, L] \\ & & - \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \left(J^\mu_\alpha C^\alpha_\mu + \bar{\eta}_\alpha \beta^\alpha + \bar{\beta}_\alpha \eta^\alpha \right). \end{array} \tag{13.65}$$

Functional derivative of the generating functional $\tilde{Z}^{[0]}$ gives out the classical fields C^α_μ, η^α and $\bar{\eta}_\alpha$,

$$C^\alpha_\mu = \frac{\delta \tilde{Z}^{[0]}}{\delta J^\mu_\alpha}, \tag{13.66}$$

$$\eta^\alpha = \frac{\delta \tilde{Z}^{[0]}}{\delta \bar{\beta}_\alpha}, \tag{13.67}$$

$$\bar{\eta}_\alpha = -\frac{\delta \tilde{Z}^{[0]}}{\delta \beta^\alpha}. \tag{13.68}$$

Then, functional derivative of the generating functional $\overset{\sim}{\Gamma}^{[0]}$ gives out external sources J^μ_α, $\bar{\beta}_\alpha$ and β^α,

$$\frac{\delta \overset{\sim}{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta C^\alpha_\mu} = -J^\mu_\alpha, \tag{13.69}$$

$$\frac{\delta \overset{\sim}{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta \eta^\alpha} = \bar{\beta}_\alpha, \tag{13.70}$$

$$\frac{\delta \overset{\sim}{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_\alpha} = -\beta^\alpha. \tag{13.71}$$

Besides, there are two other relations which can be strictly deduced from (13.65),

$$\frac{\delta \overset{\sim}{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta K^\mu_\alpha} = \frac{\delta \tilde{Z}^{[0]}}{\delta K^\mu_\alpha}, \tag{13.72}$$

$$\frac{\delta \overset{\sim}{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta L_\alpha} = \frac{\delta \tilde{Z}^{[0]}}{\delta L_\alpha}. \tag{13.73}$$

It is easy to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & i\tfrac{\delta \tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta K^\mu_\alpha(x)} exp\left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 y (\mathcal{L}^{[0]}(C,\eta,\bar{\eta},K,L) + J^\mu_\alpha C^\alpha_\mu + \bar{\eta}_\alpha \beta^\alpha + \bar{\beta}_\alpha \eta^\alpha)\right\} \\ = \; & \tfrac{\delta}{\delta K^\mu_\alpha(x)} exp\left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 y (\mathcal{L}^{[0]}(C,\eta,\bar{\eta},K,L) + J^\mu_\alpha C^\alpha_\mu + \bar{\eta}_\alpha \beta^\alpha + \bar{\beta}_\alpha \eta^\alpha)\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{13.74}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & i\tfrac{\delta \tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta L_\alpha(x)} exp\left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 y (\mathcal{L}^{[0]}(C,\eta,\bar{\eta},K,L) + J^\mu_\alpha C^\alpha_\mu + \bar{\eta}_\alpha \beta^\alpha + \bar{\beta}_\alpha \eta^\alpha)\right\} \\ = \; & \tfrac{\delta}{\delta L_\alpha(x)} exp\left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 y (\mathcal{L}^{[0]}(C,\eta,\bar{\eta},K,L) + J^\mu_\alpha C^\alpha_\mu + \bar{\eta}_\alpha \beta^\alpha + \bar{\beta}_\alpha \eta^\alpha)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{13.75}$$

Use these two relations, we can change (13.59) into

$$\begin{aligned} & \int [\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] \left\{ \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \left(J^\mu_\alpha(x) \tfrac{\delta}{\delta K^\mu_\alpha(x)} - \bar{\beta}_\alpha(x) \tfrac{\delta}{\delta L_\alpha(x)} + \tfrac{i}{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha\sigma} f^\alpha(\tfrac{1}{i}\tfrac{\delta}{\delta J^\mu_\gamma(x)}) \beta^\sigma(x) \right) \right\} \\ & \cdot exp\left\{ i \int \mathrm{d}^4 y (\mathcal{L}^{[0]}(C,\eta,\bar{\eta},K,L) + J^\mu_\alpha C^\alpha_\mu + \bar{\eta}_\alpha \beta^\alpha + \bar{\beta}_\alpha \eta^\alpha)\right\} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{13.76}$$

Using relations (13.69 - 13.71) and definition of generating functional (13.58), we can rewrite this equation into

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x \left\{ \frac{\delta \tilde{W}^{[0]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} \frac{\delta \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)} + \frac{\delta \tilde{W}^{[0]}}{\delta L_{\alpha}(x)} \frac{\delta \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta \eta^{\alpha}(x)} + \frac{i}{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha\sigma} f^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta J^{\mu}_{\rho}(x)} \right) \tilde{W}^{[0]} \frac{\delta \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_{\sigma}(x)} \right\} = 0. \tag{13.77}$$

Using (13.64), we can obtain that

$$\frac{\delta \tilde{W}^{[0]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} = i \frac{\delta \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} \cdot \tilde{W}^{[0]}, \tag{13.78}$$

$$\frac{\delta \tilde{W}^{[0]}}{\delta L_{\alpha}(x)} = i \frac{\delta \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta L_{\alpha}(x)} \cdot \tilde{W}^{[0]}. \tag{13.79}$$

Then (13.77) is changed into

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x \left\{ \frac{\delta \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} \frac{\delta \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)} + \frac{\delta \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta L_{\alpha}(x)} \frac{\delta \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta \eta^{\alpha}(x)} + \frac{i}{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha\sigma} f^{\alpha} \frac{\delta \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_{\sigma}(x)} \right\} = 0. \tag{13.80}$$

Using (13.57) and (13.74), (13.63) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\textstyle\int [\mathcal{D}C][\mathcal{D}\eta][\mathcal{D}\bar{\eta}] \left[-i\partial^{\mu} \frac{\delta}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} + \beta^{\alpha}(x) \right] \\ &\cdot exp \left\{ i \textstyle\int \mathrm{d}^4y (\mathcal{L}^{[0]}(C, \eta, \bar{\eta}, K, L) + J^{\mu}_{\alpha} C^{\alpha}_{\mu} + \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}\beta^{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}_{\alpha}\eta^{\alpha}) \right\} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{13.81}$$

In above equation, the factor $-i\partial^{\mu}\frac{\delta}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} + \beta^{\alpha}(x)$ can be moved out of functional integration, then (13.81) gives out

$$\partial^{\mu} \frac{\delta \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} = \frac{\delta \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)}. \tag{13.82}$$

In order to obtain this relation, (13.58), (13.78) and (13.71) are used.

Define

$$\bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}[C, \bar{\eta}, \eta, K, L] = \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}[C, \bar{\eta}, \eta, K, L] + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int \mathrm{d}^4x \eta_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha} f^{\beta} \tag{13.83}$$

It is easy to prove that

$$\frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} = \frac{\delta \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)}, \tag{13.84}$$

$$\frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta L_\alpha(x)} = \frac{\delta \overset{\sim}{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta L_\alpha(x)}, \tag{13.85}$$

$$\frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta \eta^\alpha(x)} = \frac{\delta \overset{\sim}{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta \eta^\alpha(x)}, \tag{13.86}$$

$$\frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)} = \frac{\delta \overset{\sim}{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)}, \tag{13.87}$$

$$\frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta C_\mu^\alpha(x)} = \frac{\delta \overset{\sim}{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta C_\mu^\alpha(x)} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\mu f^\beta. \tag{13.88}$$

Using these relations, (13.82) and (13.80) are changed into

$$\partial^\mu \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta K_\alpha^\mu(x)} = \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)}, \tag{13.89}$$

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4 x \left\{ \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta K_\alpha^\mu(x)} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta C_\mu^\alpha(x)} + \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta L_\alpha(x)} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta \eta^\alpha(x)} \right\} = 0. \tag{13.90}$$

Eqs.(13.89 - 13.90) are generalized Ward-Takahashi identities of generating functional of regular vertex. It is the foundations of the renormalization of the quantum general relativity.

Generating functional $\overset{\sim}{\Gamma}^{[0]}$ is the generating functional of regular vertex with external sources, which is constructed from the Lagrangian $\overset{\sim}{\mathcal{L}}_{eff}^{[0]}$. It is a functional of physical field, therefore, we can make a functional expansion

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{\sim}{\Gamma}^{[0]} \quad = \quad & \textstyle\sum_n \int \frac{\delta^n \overset{\sim}{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta C_{\mu_1}^{\alpha_1}(x_1) \cdots \delta C_{\mu_n}^{\alpha_n}(x_n)} |_{C=\eta=\bar{\eta}=0} C_{\mu_1}^{\alpha_1}(x_1) \cdots C_{\mu_n}^{\alpha_n}(x_n) \mathrm{d}^4 x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}^4 x_n \\
& + \textstyle\sum_n \int \frac{\delta^2}{\delta \bar{\eta}_{\beta_1}(y_1) \delta \eta^{\beta_2}(y_2)} \frac{\delta^n \overset{\sim}{\Gamma}^{[0]}}{\delta C_{\mu_1}^{\alpha_1}(x_1) \cdots \delta C_{\mu_n}^{\alpha_n}(x_n)} |_{C=\eta=\bar{\eta}=0} \\
& \quad \cdot \bar{\eta}_{\beta_1}(y_1) \eta^{\beta_2}(y_2) C_{\mu_1}^{\alpha_1}(x_1) \cdots C_{\mu_n}^{\alpha_n}(x_n) \mathrm{d}^4 y_1 \mathrm{d}^4 y_2 \mathrm{d}^4 x_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}^4 x_n \\
& + \cdots .
\end{aligned} \tag{13.91}$$

In this functional expansion, the expansion coefficients are regular vertexes with external sources. Before renormalization, these coefficients contain divergences. If we calculate these divergences in the methods of dimensional regularization, the form of these divergence will not violate gauge symmetry of the theory[55, 56]. In other words, in the method of dimensional regularization, gravitational gauge symmetry is not violated and the generating functional of regular vertex satisfies Ward-Takahashi identities (13.89 - 13.90). In order to eliminate the ultraviolet divergences of the theory, we need to introduce counterterms into Lagrangian. All these counterterms are formally denoted by $\delta\mathcal{L}$. Then the renormalized Lagrangian is

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{eff} = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{[0]}_{eff} + \delta\mathcal{L}. \tag{13.92}$$

Because $\delta\mathcal{L}$ contains all counterterms, $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{eff}$ is the Lagrangian density after renormalization. The generating functional of regular vertex which is calculate from $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{eff}$ is denoted by $\widetilde{\Gamma}$. The regular vertexes calculated from this generating functional $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ contain no ultraviolet divergence anymore. Then let external sources K^{μ}_{α} and L_{α} vanish, we will get generating functional Γ of regular vertex without external sources,

$$\Gamma = \widetilde{\Gamma} \,|_{K=L=0}. \tag{13.93}$$

The regular vertexes which are generated from Γ will contain no ultraviolet divergence either. Therefore, the S-matrix for all physical process are finite. For a renormalizable theory, the counterterm $\delta\mathcal{L}$ only contain finite unknown parameters which are needed to be determined by experiments. If conterterm $\delta\mathcal{L}$ contains infinite unknown parameters, the theory will lost its predictive power and it is conventionally regarded as a non-renormalizable theory. Now, the main task for us is to prove that the conterterm $\delta\mathcal{L}$ for the quantum gauge general relativity only contains a few unknown parameters. If this goal was reached, we will have proved that the quantum gauge general relativity is renormalizable quantum gravity.

Now, we use inductive method to prove the renormalizability of the quantum gauge general relativity. In the previous discussion, we have proved that the generating functional of regular vertex before renormalization satisfies Ward-Takahashi identities (13.89 - 13.90). The effective Lagrangian density that contains all counterterms which cancel all divergences of l-loops ($0 \leq l \leq L$) is denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{[L]}$. $\widetilde{\Gamma}^{[L]}$ is the generating functional of regular vertex which is calculated from $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{[L]}$. The regular vertex which is generated by $\widetilde{\Gamma}^{[L]}$ will contain no divergence if the number of the loops of the diagram is not greater than L. We have proved that the generating functional $\widetilde{\Gamma}^{[L]}$ satisfies Ward-Takahashi identities if $L = 0$. Hypothesis that Ward-Takahashi identities are also satisfied when $L = N$, that is

$$\partial^{\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} = \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)}, \tag{13.94}$$

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4 x \left\{ \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)} + \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}}{\delta L_{\alpha}(x)} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}}{\delta \eta^{\alpha}(x)} \right\} = 0. \tag{13.95}$$

Our goal is to prove that Ward-Takahashi identities are also satisfied when $L = N+1$.

Now, let's introduce a special product which is defined by

$$A * B \equiv \int \mathrm{d}^4x \left\{ \frac{\delta A}{\delta K_\alpha^\mu(x)} \frac{\delta B}{\delta C_\mu^\alpha(x)} + \frac{\delta A}{\delta L_\alpha(x)} \frac{\delta B}{\delta \eta^\alpha(x)} \right\}. \tag{13.96}$$

Then (13.95) will be simplified to

$$\bar{\Gamma}^{[N]} * \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]} = 0. \tag{13.97}$$

$\bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}$ contains all contributions from all possible diagram with arbitrary loops. The contribution from l-loop diagram is proportional to $\hbar^l$. We can expand $\bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}$ as a power serials of $\hbar^l$,

$$\bar{\Gamma}^{[N]} = \sum_M \hbar^M \bar{\Gamma}_M^{[N]}, \tag{13.98}$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}_M^{[N]}$ is the contribution from all M-loop diagrams. According to our inductive hypothesis, all $\bar{\Gamma}_M^{[N]}$ are finite is $M \leq N$. Therefore, divergence first appear in $\bar{\Gamma}_{N+1}^{[N]}$. Substitute (13.98) into (13.97), we will get

$$\sum_{M,L} \hbar^{M+L} \bar{\Gamma}_M^{[N]} * \bar{\Gamma}_L^{[N]} = 0. \tag{13.99}$$

The $(L+1)$-loop contribution of (13.99) is

$$\sum_{M=0}^{N+1} \bar{\Gamma}_M^{[N]} * \bar{\Gamma}_{N-M+1}^{[N]} = 0. \tag{13.100}$$

$\bar{\Gamma}_{N+1}^{[N]}$ can separate into two parts: finite part $\bar{\Gamma}_{N+1,F}^{[N]}$ and divergent part $\bar{\Gamma}_{N+1,div}^{[N]}$, that is

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{N+1}^{[N]} = \bar{\Gamma}_{N+1,F}^{[N]} + \bar{\Gamma}_{N+1,div}^{[N]}. \tag{13.101}$$

$\bar{\Gamma}_{N+1,div}^{[N]}$ is a divergent function of $(4-D)$ if we calculate loop diagrams in dimensional regularization. In other words, all terms in $\bar{\Gamma}_{N+1,div}^{[N]}$ are divergent terms when $(4-D)$ approaches zero. Substitute (13.101) into (13.100), if we only concern divergent terms, we will get

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{N+1,div}^{[N]} * \bar{\Gamma}_0^{[N]} + \bar{\Gamma}_0^{[N]} * \bar{\Gamma}_{N+1,div}^{[N]} = 0. \tag{13.102}$$

$\bar{\Gamma}_{N+1,F}^{[N]}$ has no contribution to the divergent part. Because $\bar{\Gamma}_0^{[N]}$ represents contribution from tree diagram and counterterms has no contribution to tree diagram, we have

$$\bar{\Gamma}_0^{[N]} = \bar{\Gamma}_0^{[0]}. \tag{13.103}$$

Denote

$$\bar{\Gamma}_0 = \bar{\Gamma}_0^{[N]} = \tilde{S}^{[0]} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \eta_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha} f^{\beta}. \tag{13.104}$$

Then (13.102) is changed into

$$\bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1,div} * \bar{\Gamma}_0 + \bar{\Gamma}_0 * \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1,div} = 0. \tag{13.105}$$

Substitute (13.98) into (13.94), we get

$$\partial^{\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} = \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)}. \tag{13.106}$$

The finite part $\bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1,F}$ has no contribution to the divergent part, so we have

$$\partial^{\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1,div}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} = \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1,div}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)}. \tag{13.107}$$

The operator $\hat{g}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g} \quad \equiv \quad & \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \left\{ \tfrac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)} \tfrac{\delta}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} + \tfrac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta L_{\alpha}(x)} \tfrac{\delta}{\delta \eta^{\alpha}(x)} \right. \\ & \left. + \tfrac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} \tfrac{\delta}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)} + \tfrac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta \eta^{\alpha}(x)} \tfrac{\delta}{\delta L_{\alpha}(x)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{13.108}$$

Using this definition, (13.105) simplifies to

$$\hat{g} \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1,div} = 0. \tag{13.109}$$

It can be strictly proved that operator $\hat{g}$ is a nilpotent operator, i.e.

$$\hat{g}^2 = 0. \tag{13.110}$$

Suppose that $f[C]$ is an arbitrary functional of gravitational gauge field C^{α}_{μ} which is invariant under local gravitational gauge transformation. $f[C]$ is invariant under generalized BRST transformation. The generalized BRST transformation of $f[C]$ is

$$\delta f[C] = - \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \frac{\delta f[C]}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)} \mathbf{D}^{\alpha}_{\mu\beta} \eta^{\beta} \delta\lambda. \tag{13.111}$$

Because $\delta\lambda$ is an arbitrary Grassman variable, (13.111) gives out

$$\delta f[C] = -\int \mathrm{d}^4x \frac{\delta f[C]}{\delta C_\mu^\alpha(x)} \mathbf{D}_{\mu\beta}^\alpha \eta^\beta. \tag{13.112}$$

Because $f[C]$ is a functional of only gravitational gauge fields, its functional derivatives to other fields vanish

$$\frac{\delta f[C]}{\delta K_\alpha^\mu(x)} = 0, \tag{13.113}$$

$$\frac{\delta f[C]}{\delta L_\alpha(x)} = 0, \tag{13.114}$$

$$\frac{\delta f[C]}{\delta \eta^\alpha(x)} = 0. \tag{13.115}$$

Using these relations, (13.112) is changed into

$$\delta f[C] = \hat{g} f[C]. \tag{13.116}$$

The generalized BRST symmetry of $f[C]$ gives out the following important property of operator $\hat{g}$,

$$\hat{g} f[C] = 0. \tag{13.117}$$

Using two important properties of operator $\hat{g}$ which are shown in eq.(13.110) and eq.(13.117), we could see that the solution of eq.(13.109) can be written in the following form

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{N+1,div}^{[N]} = f[C] + \hat{g} f'[C, \eta, \bar{\eta}, K, L], \tag{13.118}$$

$f[C]$ is a gauge invariant functional and $f'[C, \eta, \bar{\eta}, K, L]$ is an arbitrary functional of fields $C_\mu^\alpha(x)$, $\eta^\alpha(x)$, $\bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)$ and external sources $K_\alpha^\mu(x)$ and $L_\alpha(x)$.

Now, let's consider constrain from eq.(13.106). Using eq.(13.113) and eq.(13.115), we can see that $f[C]$ satisfies eq.(13.106), so eq.(13.106) has no constrain on $f[C]$. Define a new variable

$$B_\alpha^\mu = K_\alpha^\mu - \partial^\mu \bar{\eta}_\alpha. \tag{13.119}$$

$f_1[B]$ is an arbitrary functional of B. It can be proved that

$$\frac{\delta f_1[B]}{\delta B_\alpha^\mu(x)} = \frac{\delta f_1[B]}{\delta K_\alpha^\mu(x)}, \tag{13.120}$$

$$\frac{\delta f_1[B]}{\delta \bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)} = \partial^\mu \frac{\delta f_1[B]}{\delta B_\alpha^\mu(x)}. \tag{13.121}$$

Combine these two relations, we will get

$$\frac{\delta f_1[B]}{\delta \bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)} = \partial^\mu \frac{\delta f_1[B]}{\delta K^\mu_\alpha(x)}. \tag{13.122}$$

There $f_1[B]$ is a solution to eq.(13.107). Suppose that there is another functional f_2 that is given by,

$$f_2[K, C, \eta, L] = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \ K^\mu_\alpha T^\alpha_\mu(C, \eta, L), \tag{13.123}$$

where T^α_μ is a conserved current

$$\partial^\mu T^\alpha_\mu = 0. \tag{13.124}$$

It can be easily proved that $f_2[K, C, \eta, L]$ is also a solution of eq.(13.106). Because $\bar{\Gamma}_0$ satisfies eq.(13.107) (please see eq.(13.89)), operator $\hat{g}$ commutes with $\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)} - \partial^\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta K^\mu_\alpha(x)}$. It means that functional $f'[C, \eta, \bar{\eta}, K, L]$ in eq.(13.118) must satisfy eq.(13.107). According to these discussion, the solution of $f'[C, \eta, \bar{\eta}, K, L]$ has the following form,

$$f'[C, \eta, \bar{\eta}, K, L] = f_1[C, \eta, K^\mu_\alpha - \partial^\mu \bar{\eta}_\alpha, L] + \int \mathrm{d}^4x \ K^\mu_\alpha T^\alpha_\mu(C, \eta, L). \tag{13.125}$$

In order to determine $f'[C, \eta, \bar{\eta}, K, L]$, we need to study dimensions of various fields and external sources. Set the dimensionality of mass to 1, i.e.

$$D[\hat{P}_\mu] = 1. \tag{13.126}$$

Then we have

$$D[C^\alpha_\mu] = 1, \tag{13.127}$$

$$D[\mathrm{d}^4x] = -4, \tag{13.128}$$

$$D[D_\mu] = 1, \tag{13.129}$$

$$D[\eta] = D[\bar{\eta}] = 1, \tag{13.130}$$

$$D[K] = D[L] = 2, \tag{13.131}$$

$$D[g] = -1, \tag{13.132}$$

$$D[\bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1,div}] = D[S] = 0. \tag{13.133}$$

Using these relations, we can prove that

$$D[\hat{g}] = 1, \tag{13.134}$$

$$D[f'] = -1. \tag{13.135}$$

Define virtual particle number N_g of ghost field η is 1, and that of ghost field $\bar{\eta}$ is -1, i.e.

$$N_g[\eta] = 1, \tag{13.136}$$

$$N_g[\bar{\eta}] = -1. \tag{13.137}$$

The virtual particle number is a additive conserved quantity, so Lagrangian and action carry no virtual particle number,

$$N_g[S] = N_g[\mathcal{L}] = 0. \tag{13.138}$$

The virtual particle number N_g of other fields and external sources are

$$N_g[C] = N_g[D_\mu] = 0, \tag{13.139}$$

$$N_g[g] = 0, \tag{13.140}$$

$$N_g[\bar{\Gamma}] = 0, \tag{13.141}$$

$$N_g[K] = -1, \tag{13.142}$$

$$N_g[L] = -2. \tag{13.143}$$

Using all these relations, we can determine the virtual particle number N_g of $\hat{g}$ and f',

$$N_g[\hat{g}] = 1, \tag{13.144}$$

$$N_g[f'] = -1. \tag{13.145}$$

According to eq.(13.135) and eq.(13.145), we know that the dimensionality of f' is -1 and its virtual particle number is also -1. Besides, f' must be a Lorentz scalar. Combine all these results, the only two possible solutions of $f_1[C, \eta, K^\mu_\alpha - \partial^\mu \bar{\eta}_\alpha, L]$ in eq.(13.125) are

$$(K^\mu_\alpha - \partial^\mu \bar{\eta}_\alpha) C^\alpha_\mu, \tag{13.146}$$

$$\bar{\eta}^\alpha L_\alpha. \tag{13.147}$$

The only possible solution of T^α_μ is C^α_μ. But in general gauge conditions, C^α_μ does not satisfy the conserved equation eq.(13.124). Therefore, the solution to $f'[C, \eta, \bar{\eta}, K, L]$ is linear combination of (13.146) and (13.147), i.e.

$$f'[C, \eta, \bar{\eta}, K, L] = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \left[\beta_{N+1}(\varepsilon)(K^\mu_\alpha - \partial^\mu \bar{\eta}_\alpha) C^\alpha_\mu + \gamma_{N+1}(\varepsilon) \bar{\eta}^\alpha L_\alpha \right], \tag{13.148}$$

where $\varepsilon = (4-D)$, $\beta_{N+1}(\varepsilon)$ and $\gamma_{N+1}(\varepsilon)$ are divergent parameters when ε approaches zero. Then using the definition of $\hat{g}$, we can obtain the following result,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g} f'[C,\eta,\bar{\eta},K,L] \;=\; & -\beta_{N+1}(\varepsilon)\int \mathrm{d}^4x \left[\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta C^\alpha_\mu(x)} C^\alpha_\mu(x) + \tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta K^\mu_\alpha(x)} K^\mu_\alpha(x) - \bar{\eta}_\alpha \partial^\mu \mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta\right] \\ & -\gamma_{N+1}(\varepsilon)\int \mathrm{d}^4x \left[\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta L_\alpha(x)} L_\alpha(x) + \tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta \eta^\alpha(x)} \eta^\alpha(x)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{13.149}$$

The solution to $f[C]$ of eq.(13.118) which is constructed from pure gravitational gauge fields is the action $S[C]$ of gauge fields. Therefore, the most general solution of $\bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1,div}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1,div} \;=\; & \alpha_{N+1}(\varepsilon) S[C] - \int \mathrm{d}^4x \Big[\beta_{N+1}(\varepsilon)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta C^\alpha_\mu(x)} C^\alpha_\mu(x) + \beta_{N+1}(\varepsilon)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta K^\mu_\alpha(x)} K^\mu_\alpha(x) \\ & +\gamma_{N+1}(\varepsilon)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta L_\alpha(x)} L_\alpha(x) + \gamma_{N+1}(\varepsilon)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta \eta^\alpha(x)} \eta^\alpha(x) - \beta_{N+1}(\varepsilon)\bar{\eta}_\alpha \partial^\mu \mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{13.150}$$

In fact, the action $S[C]$ is a functional of pure gravitational gauge field. It also contains gravitational coupling constant g. So, we can denote it as $S[C,g]$. From eq.(5.50), eq.(5.59) and eq.(5.60), we can prove that the action $S[C,g]$ has the following important properties,

$$S[gC,1] = g^2 S[C,g]. \tag{13.151}$$

Differentiate both sides of eq.(13.151) with respect to coupling constant g, we can get

$$S[C,g] = \frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^4x \frac{\delta S[C,g]}{\delta C^\alpha_\mu(x)} C^\alpha_\mu(x) - \frac{1}{2} g \frac{\partial S[C,g]}{\partial g}. \tag{13.152}$$

It can be easily proved that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathrm{d}^4x C^\alpha_\mu(x) \tfrac{\delta}{\delta C^\alpha_\mu(x)} \left[\int \mathrm{d}^4y \bar{\eta}_\alpha(y) \partial^\mu \mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta(y)\right] \\ & = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \left[(\partial^\mu \bar{\eta}_\beta(x))(\partial_\mu \eta^\beta(x)) + \bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)\partial^\mu \mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta(x)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{13.153}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathrm{d}^4x C^\alpha_\mu(x) \tfrac{\delta}{\delta C^\alpha_\mu(x)} \left[\int \mathrm{d}^4y K^\mu_\alpha(y) \mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta(y)\right] \\ & = -\int \mathrm{d}^4x \left[K^\mu_\alpha(x)\partial_\mu \eta^\alpha(x) - K^\mu_\alpha(x)\mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta(x)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{13.154}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & g\tfrac{\partial}{\partial g}\left[\int \mathrm{d}^4x\bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)\partial^\mu \mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta(x)\right] \\ & = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\left[(\partial^\mu\bar{\eta}_\beta(x))(\partial_\mu\eta^\beta(x)) + \bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)\partial^\mu\mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta(x)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{13.155}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & g\tfrac{\partial}{\partial g}\left[\int \mathrm{d}^4xK^\mu_\alpha(x)\mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta(x)\right] \\ & = -\int \mathrm{d}^4x\left[K^\mu_\alpha(x)\partial_\mu\eta^\alpha - K^\mu_\alpha(x)\mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta\right], \end{aligned} \tag{13.156}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & g\tfrac{\partial}{\partial g}\left[\int \mathrm{d}^4x\ gL_\alpha(x)\eta^\beta(x)(\partial_\beta\eta^\alpha(x))\right] \\ & = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\ gL_\alpha(x)\eta^\beta(x)(\partial_\beta\eta^\alpha(x)), \end{aligned} \tag{13.157}$$

Using eqs.(13.153 - 13.154), eq.(13.104) and eq.(13.46), we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathrm{d}^4x\tfrac{\delta S[C,g]}{\delta C^\alpha_\mu(x)}C^\alpha_\mu(x) &= \int \mathrm{d}^4x\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta C^\alpha_\mu(x)}C^\alpha_\mu(x) + \int \mathrm{d}^4x\left[-(\partial^\mu\bar{\eta}_\alpha(x))(\partial_\mu\eta^\alpha(x))\right. \\ & \left. -\bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)\partial^\mu\mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta(x) + K^\mu_\alpha(x)\partial_\mu\eta^\alpha(x) - K^\mu_\alpha(x)\mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta(x)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{13.158}$$

Similarly, we can get,

$$\begin{aligned} g\tfrac{\partial S[C,g]}{\partial g} &= g\tfrac{\partial\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\partial g} + \int \mathrm{d}^4x\left[-(\partial^\mu\bar{\eta}_\alpha(x))(\partial_\mu\eta^\alpha(x)) - \bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)\partial^\mu\mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta(x) + K^\mu_\alpha(x)\partial_\mu\eta^\alpha(x)\right. \\ & \left. -K^\mu_\alpha(x)\mathbf{D}^\alpha_{\mu\beta}\eta^\beta(x) - gL_\alpha(x)\eta^\beta(x)(\partial_\beta\eta^\alpha(x))\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{13.159}$$

Substitute eqs.(13.158 -13.159) into eq.(13.152), we will get

$$S[C,g] = \frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^4x\frac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta C^\alpha_\mu(x)}C^\alpha_\mu(x) - \frac{1}{2}g\frac{\partial\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\partial g} + \int \mathrm{d}^4x\left\{\frac{1}{2}gL_\alpha(x)\eta^\beta(x)(\partial_\beta\eta^\alpha(x)\right\}. \tag{13.160}$$

Substitute eq.(13.160) into eq.(13.150). we will get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1,div} &= \int \mathrm{d}^4x\left[\left(\tfrac{\alpha_{N+1}(\varepsilon)}{2} - \beta_{N+1}(\varepsilon)\right)C^\alpha_\mu(x)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta C^\alpha_\mu(x)}\right. \\ & +\left(\tfrac{\alpha_{N+1}(\varepsilon)}{2} - \gamma_{N+1}(\varepsilon)\right)L_\alpha(x)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta L_\alpha(x)} \\ & +\gamma_{N+1}(\varepsilon)\eta^\alpha(x)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta\eta^\alpha(x)} + \beta_{N+1}(\varepsilon)\bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta\bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)} \\ & \left.+\beta_{N+1}(\varepsilon)K^\mu_\alpha(x)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta K^\mu_\alpha(x)}\right] - \tfrac{\alpha_{N+1}(\varepsilon)}{2}g\tfrac{\partial\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\partial g} \end{aligned} \tag{13.161}$$

On the other hand, we can prove the following relations

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x\eta^\alpha(x)\tfrac{\delta}{\delta\eta^\alpha(x)}\left[\int \mathrm{d}^4y\ \bar{\eta}_\beta(y)\partial^\mu\mathbf{D}^\beta_{\mu\sigma}\eta^\sigma(y)\right] = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\ \bar{\eta}_\beta(x)\partial^\mu\mathbf{D}^\beta_{\mu\sigma}\eta^\sigma(x), \tag{13.162}$$

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x\eta^\alpha(x)\tfrac{\delta}{\delta\eta^\alpha(x)}\left[\int \mathrm{d}^4y\ K^\mu_\beta(y)\mathbf{D}^\beta_{\mu\sigma}\eta^\sigma(y)\right] = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\ K^\mu_\beta(x)\mathbf{D}^\beta_{\mu\sigma}\eta^\sigma(x), \tag{13.163}$$

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x\eta^\alpha(x)\tfrac{\delta}{\delta\eta^\alpha(x)}\left[\int \mathrm{d}^4y\ gL_\beta(y)\eta^\sigma(y)(\partial_\sigma\eta^\beta(y))\right] = 2\int \mathrm{d}^4x\ gL_\beta(x)\eta^\sigma(x)(\partial_\sigma\eta^\beta(x)), \tag{13.164}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int \mathrm{d}^4x\eta^\alpha(x)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta\eta^\alpha(x)} = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \left\{\bar{\eta}_\beta(x)\partial^\mu\mathbf{D}^\beta_{\mu\sigma}\eta^\sigma(x)\right. \\ \left. + K^\mu_\beta(x)\mathbf{D}^\beta_{\mu\sigma}\eta^\sigma(x) + 2gL_\beta(x)\eta^\sigma(x)(\partial_\sigma\eta^\beta(x))\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{13.165}$$

Substitute eqs.(13.162 - 13.164) into eq.(13.165), we will get

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x\left\{-\eta^\alpha\frac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta\eta^\alpha} + \bar{\eta}_\alpha\frac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta\bar{\eta}_\alpha} + K^\mu_\alpha\frac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta K^\mu_\alpha} + 2L_\alpha\frac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta L_\alpha}\right\} = 0. \tag{13.166}$$

Eq.(13.166) times $\frac{\gamma_{N+1}-\beta_{N+1}}{2}$, then add up this results and eq.(13.161), we will get

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1,div} &= \int \mathrm{d}^4x\left[\left(\tfrac{\alpha_{N+1}(\varepsilon)}{2} - \beta_{N+1}(\varepsilon)\right)\left(C^\alpha_\mu(x)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta C^\alpha_\mu(x)} + L_\alpha(x)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta L_\alpha(x)}\right)\right. \\ &\left.\tfrac{\beta_{N+1}(\varepsilon)+\gamma_{N+1}(\varepsilon)}{2}\left(\eta^\alpha(x)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta\eta^\alpha(x)} + \bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta\bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)} + K^\mu_\alpha(x)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta K^\mu_\alpha(x)}\right)\right] \\ &-\tfrac{\alpha_{N+1}(\varepsilon)}{2}g\tfrac{\partial\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\partial g}.\end{aligned} \tag{13.167}$$

This is the most general form of $\bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1,div}$ which satisfies Ward-Takahashi identities.

According to minimal subtraction, the counterterm that cancel the divergent part of $\bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1}$ is just $-\bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1,div}$, that is

$$\tilde{S}^{[N+1]} = \tilde{S}^{[N]} - \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1,div} + o(\hbar^{N+2}), \tag{13.168}$$

where the term of $o(\hbar^{N+2})$ has no contribution to the divergences of $(N+1)$-loop diagrams. Suppose that $\bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}_{N+1}$ is the generating functional of regular vertex which is calculated from $\tilde{S}^{[N+1]}$. It can be easily proved that

$$\Gamma^{[N+1]}_{N+1} = \Gamma^{[N]}_{N+1} - \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1,div}. \tag{13.169}$$

Using eq.(13.101), we can get

$$\Gamma^{[N+1]}_{N+1} = \bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}_{N+1,F}. \tag{13.170}$$

$\Gamma^{[N+1]}_{N+1}$ contains no divergence which is just what we expected.

Now, let's try to determine the form of $\tilde{S}^{[N+1]}$. Denote the non-renormalized action of the system as

$$\tilde{S}^{[0]}=\tilde{S}^{[0]}[C^{\alpha}_{\mu},\bar{\eta}_{\alpha},\eta^{\alpha},K^{\mu}_{\alpha},L_{\alpha},g,\alpha]. \tag{13.171}$$

As one of the inductive hypothesis, we suppose that the action of the system after $\hbar^N$ order renormalization is

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{S}^{[N]}[C^{\alpha}_{\mu},\bar{\eta}_{\alpha},\eta^{\alpha},K^{\mu}_{\alpha},L_{\alpha},g,\alpha]\\&=\tilde{S}^{[0]}[\sqrt{Z_1^{[N]}}C^{\alpha}_{\mu},\sqrt{Z_2^{[N]}}\bar{\eta}_{\alpha},\sqrt{Z_3^{[N]}}\eta^{\alpha},\sqrt{Z_4^{[N]}}K^{\mu}_{\alpha},\sqrt{Z_5^{[N]}}L_{\alpha},Z_g^{[N]}g,Z_{\alpha}^{[N]}\alpha].\end{aligned} \tag{13.172}$$

Substitute eq(13.167) and eq.(13.172) into eq.(13.168), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{S}^{[N+1]} \;=\; & \tilde{S}^{[0]}[\sqrt{Z_1^{[N]}}C^{\alpha}_{\mu},\sqrt{Z_2^{[N]}}\bar{\eta}_{\alpha},\sqrt{Z_3^{[N]}}\eta^{\alpha},\sqrt{Z_4^{[N]}}K^{\mu}_{\alpha},\sqrt{Z_5^{[N]}}L_{\alpha},Z_g^{[N]}g,Z_{\alpha}^{[N]}\alpha]\\ & -\int \mathrm{d}^4x\Big[(\tfrac{\alpha_{N+1}(\varepsilon)}{2}-\beta_{N+1}(\varepsilon))\Big(C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)}+L_{\alpha}(x)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta L_{\alpha}(x)}\Big)\\ & +\tfrac{\beta_{N+1}(\varepsilon)+\gamma_{N+1}(\varepsilon)}{2}\Big(\eta^{\alpha}(x)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta\eta^{\alpha}(x)}+\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)}+K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)\tfrac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)}\Big)\Big]\\ & +\tfrac{\alpha_{N+1}(\varepsilon)}{2}g\tfrac{\partial\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\partial g}+o(\hbar^{N+2}).\end{aligned} \tag{13.173}$$

Using eq.(13.104), we can prove that

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)\frac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)}=\int \mathrm{d}^4x C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)\frac{\delta\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)}+2\alpha\frac{\partial\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\partial\alpha}, \tag{13.174}$$

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x L_{\alpha}(x)\frac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta L_{\alpha}(x)}=\int \mathrm{d}^4x L_{\alpha}(x)\frac{\delta\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta L_{\alpha}(x)}, \tag{13.175}$$

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)\frac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)}=\int \mathrm{d}^4x \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)\frac{\delta\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)}, \tag{13.176}$$

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x \eta^{\alpha}(x)\frac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta\eta^{\alpha}(x)}=\int \mathrm{d}^4x \eta^{\alpha}(x)\frac{\delta\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta\eta^{\alpha}(x)}, \tag{13.177}$$

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)\frac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)}=\int \mathrm{d}^4x K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)\frac{\delta\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)}, \tag{13.178}$$

$$g\frac{\partial\bar{\Gamma}_0}{\partial g} = g\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\partial g}. \tag{13.179}$$

Using these relations, eq.(13.173) is changed into,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{S}^{[N+1]} \quad = \quad & \tilde{S}^{[0]} [\sqrt{Z_1^{[N]}}C_\mu^\alpha, \sqrt{Z_2^{[N]}}\bar{\eta}_\alpha, \sqrt{Z_3^{[N]}}\eta^\alpha, \sqrt{Z_4^{[N]}}K_\alpha^\mu, \sqrt{Z_5^{[N]}}L_\alpha, Z_g^{[N]}g, Z_\alpha^{[N]}\alpha] \\
& - \int \mathrm{d}^4x \left[(\tfrac{\alpha_{N+1}(\varepsilon)}{2} - \beta_{N+1}(\varepsilon)) \left(C_\mu^\alpha(x)\tfrac{\delta\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta C_\mu^\alpha(x)} + L_\alpha(x)\tfrac{\delta\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta L_\alpha(x)} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \tfrac{\beta_{N+1}(\varepsilon)+\gamma_{N+1}(\varepsilon)}{2} \left(\eta^\alpha(x)\tfrac{\delta\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta\eta^\alpha} + \bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)\tfrac{\delta\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta\bar{\eta}_\alpha(x)} + K_\alpha^\mu(x)\tfrac{\delta\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\delta K_\alpha^\mu(x)} \right) \right] \\
& + \tfrac{\alpha_{N+1}(\varepsilon)}{2} g\tfrac{\partial\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\partial g} - 2(\tfrac{\alpha_{N+1}(\varepsilon)}{2} - \beta_{N+1}(\varepsilon))\alpha\tfrac{\partial\tilde{S}^{[0]}}{\partial\alpha} + o(\hbar^{N+2})
\end{aligned} \tag{13.180}$$

We can see that this relation has just the form of first order functional expansion. Using this relation, we can determine the form of $\tilde{S}^{[N+1]}$. It is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{S}^{[N+1]} [C_\mu^\alpha, \bar{\eta}_\alpha, \eta^\alpha, K_\alpha^\mu, L_\alpha, g, \alpha] \\
= & \tilde{S}^{[0]} [\sqrt{Z_1^{[N+1]}}C_\mu^\alpha, \sqrt{Z_2^{[N+1]}}\bar{\eta}_\alpha, \sqrt{Z_3^{[N+1]}}\eta^\alpha, \sqrt{Z_4^{[N+1]}}K_\alpha^\mu, \sqrt{Z_5^{[N+1]}}L_\alpha, Z_g^{[N+1]}g, Z_\alpha^{[N+1]}\alpha],
\end{aligned} \tag{13.181}$$

where

$$\sqrt{Z_1^{[N+1]}} = \sqrt{Z_5^{[N+1]}} = \sqrt{Z_\alpha^{[N+1]}} = 1 - \sum_{L=1}^{N+1} \left(\frac{\alpha_L(\varepsilon)}{2} - \beta_L(\varepsilon) \right), \tag{13.182}$$

$$\sqrt{Z_2^{[N+1]}} = \sqrt{Z_3^{[N+1]}} = \sqrt{Z_4^{[N+1]}} = 1 - \sum_{L=1}^{N+1} \frac{\beta_L(\varepsilon) + \gamma_L(\varepsilon)}{2}, \tag{13.183}$$

$$Z_g^{[N+1]} = 1 + \sum_{L=1}^{N+1} \frac{\alpha_L(\varepsilon)}{2}. \tag{13.184}$$

Denote

$$\sqrt{Z^{[N+1]}} \triangleq \sqrt{Z_1^{[N+1]}} = \sqrt{Z_5^{[N+1]}} = \sqrt{Z_\alpha^{[N+1]}}, \tag{13.185}$$

$$\sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}} \triangleq \sqrt{Z_2^{[N+1]}} = \sqrt{Z_3^{[N+1]}} = \sqrt{Z_4^{[N+1]}}. \tag{13.186}$$

The eq.(13.181) is changed into

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{S}^{[N+1]}[C^{\alpha}_{\mu},\bar{\eta}_{\alpha},\eta^{\alpha},K^{\mu}_{\alpha},L_{\alpha},g,\alpha]\\ =&\tilde{S}^{[0]}[\sqrt{Z^{[N+1]}}C^{\alpha}_{\mu},\sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}}\bar{\eta}_{\alpha},\sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}}\eta^{\alpha},\sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}}K^{\mu}_{\alpha},\sqrt{Z^{[N+1]}}L_{\alpha},Z_g^{[N+1]}g,Z^{[N+1]}\alpha].\end{aligned} \tag{13.187}$$

Using eq.(13.187), we can easily prove that

$$\begin{aligned}&\bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}[C^{\alpha}_{\mu},\bar{\eta}_{\alpha},\eta^{\alpha},K^{\mu}_{\alpha},L_{\alpha},g,\alpha]\\ =&\bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}\left[\sqrt{Z^{[N+1]}}C^{\alpha}_{\mu},\sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}}\bar{\eta}_{\alpha},\sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}}\eta^{\alpha},\sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}}K^{\mu}_{\alpha},\sqrt{Z^{[N+1]}}L_{\alpha},Z_g^{[N+1]}g,Z^{[N+1]}\alpha\right].\end{aligned} \tag{13.188}$$

Now, we need to prove that all inductive hypotheses hold at $L = N + 1$. The main inductive hypotheses which is used in the above proof are the following three: when $L = N$, the following three hypotheses hold,

1. the lowest divergence of $\bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}$ appears in the $(N + 1)$-loop diagram;
2. $\bar{\Gamma}^{[N]}$ satisfies Ward-Takahashi identities eqs.(13.94-13.95);
3. after $\hbar^N th$ order renormalization, the action of the system has the form of eq.(13.172).

First, let's see the first hypothesis. According to eq.(13.170), after introducing $(N + 1)th$ order counterterm, the $(N + 1)$-loop diagram contribution of $\bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}$ is finite. It means that the lowest order divergence of $\bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}$ appears in the $(N+2)$-loop diagram. So, the first inductive hypothesis hold when $L = N + 1$.

It is known that the non-renormalized generating functional of regular vertex

$$\bar{\Gamma}^{[0]} = \bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}[C,\bar{\eta},\eta,K,L,g,\alpha] \tag{13.189}$$

satisfies Ward-Takahsshi identities eqs.(13.89-13.90). If we define

$$\bar{\Gamma}' = \bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}[C',\bar{\eta}',\eta',K',L',g',\alpha',], \tag{13.190}$$

then, it must satisfy the following Ward-Takahashi identities

$$\partial^{\mu}\frac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}'}{\delta K'^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} = \frac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}'}{\delta\bar{\eta}'_{\alpha}(x)}, \tag{13.191}$$

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x \left\{ \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}'}{\delta K'^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}'}{\delta C'^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)} + \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}'}{\delta L'_{\alpha}(x)} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}'}{\delta \eta'^{\alpha}(x)} \right\} = 0. \tag{13.192}$$

Set,

$$C'^{\alpha}_{\mu} = \sqrt{Z^{[N+1]}} C^{\alpha}_{\mu}, \tag{13.193}$$

$$K'^{\mu}_{\alpha} = \sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}} K^{\mu}_{\alpha}, \tag{13.194}$$

$$L'_{\alpha} = \sqrt{Z^{[N+1]}} L_{\alpha}, \tag{13.195}$$

$$\eta'^{\alpha} = \sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}} \eta^{\alpha}, \tag{13.196}$$

$$\bar{\eta}'_{\alpha} = \sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}} \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}, \tag{13.197}$$

$$g' = Z_g^{[N+1]} g, \tag{13.198}$$

$$\alpha' = Z^{[N+1]} \alpha. \tag{13.199}$$

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}' &= \bar{\Gamma}^{[0]} \Bigg[\sqrt{Z^{[N+1]}} C^{\alpha}_{\mu}, \sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}} \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}, \sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}} \eta^{\alpha}, \\ &\qquad \sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}} K^{\mu}_{\alpha}, \sqrt{Z^{[N+1]}} L_{\alpha}, Z_g^{[N+1]} g, Z^{[N+1]} \alpha, , \eta_1, \eta_2 \Bigg] \\ &= \bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}. \end{aligned} \tag{13.200}$$

Then eq.(13.191) is changed into

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}}} \partial^{\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}}} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)}. \tag{13.201}$$

Because $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}}}$ does not vanish, the above equation gives out

$$\partial^{\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} = \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)}. \tag{13.202}$$

Eq.(13.192) gives out

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}} \sqrt{Z^{[N+1]}}} \left[\frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)} + \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}}{\delta L_{\alpha}(x)} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}}{\delta \eta^{\alpha}(x)} \right] \right\} = 0. \tag{13.203}$$

Because $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N+1]}}\sqrt{Z^{[N+1]}}}$ does not vanish, we can obtain

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x \left\{ \frac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} \frac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)} + \frac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}}{\delta L_{\alpha}(x)} \frac{\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{[N+1]}}{\delta \eta^{\alpha}(x)} \right\} = 0. \tag{13.204}$$

Eq.(13.202) and eq.(13.204) are just the Ward-Takahashi identities for $L = N + 1$. Therefore, the second inductive hypothesis holds when $L = N + 1$.

The third inductive hypothesis has already been proved which is shown in eq.(13.187). Therefore, all three inductive hypothesis hold when $L = N+1$. According to inductive principle, they will hold when L is an arbitrary non-negative number, especially they hold when L approaches infinity.

In above discussions, we have proved that, if we suppose that when $L = N$ eq.(13.172) holds, then it also holds when $L = N + 1$. According to inductive principle, we know that eq.(13.187 - 13.188) hold for any positive integer N, that is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{S}\,[C^{\alpha}_{\mu}, \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}, \eta^{\alpha}, K^{\mu}_{\alpha}, L_{\alpha}, g, \alpha] \\
=&\tilde{S}^{[0]}\left[\sqrt{Z}C^{\alpha}_{\mu}, \sqrt{\tilde{Z}}\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}, \sqrt{\tilde{Z}}\eta^{\alpha}, \sqrt{\tilde{Z}}K^{\mu}_{\alpha}, \sqrt{Z}L_{\alpha}, Z_g g, Z\alpha\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{13.205}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\Gamma}[C^{\alpha}_{\mu}, \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}, \eta^{\alpha}, K^{\mu}_{\alpha}, L_{\alpha}, g, \alpha] \\
=&\,\bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}\left[\sqrt{Z}C^{\alpha}_{\mu}, \sqrt{\tilde{Z}}\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}, \sqrt{\tilde{Z}}\eta^{\alpha}, \sqrt{\tilde{Z}}K^{\mu}_{\alpha}, \sqrt{Z}L_{\alpha}, Z_g g, Z\alpha\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{13.206}$$

where

$$\sqrt{Z} \triangleq \lim_{N\to\infty} \sqrt{Z^{[N]}} = 1 - \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_L(\varepsilon)}{2} - \beta_L(\varepsilon) \right), \tag{13.207}$$

$$\sqrt{\tilde{Z}} \triangleq \lim_{N\to\infty} \sqrt{\tilde{Z}^{[N]}} = 1 - \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_L(\varepsilon) + \gamma_L(\varepsilon)}{2}, \tag{13.208}$$

$$Z_g \triangleq \lim_{N\to\infty} Z_g^{[N]} = 1 + \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_L(\varepsilon)}{2}. \tag{13.209}$$

$\tilde{S}\,[C^{\alpha}_{\mu}, \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}, \eta^{\alpha}, K^{\mu}_{\alpha}, L_{\alpha}, g, \alpha]$ and $\bar{\Gamma}[C^{\alpha}_{\mu}, \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}, \eta^{\alpha}, K^{\mu}_{\alpha}, L_{\alpha}, g, \alpha]$ are renormalized action and generating functional of regular vertex. The generating functional of regular vertex $\bar{\Gamma}$ contains no divergence. All kinds of vertex that generated from $\bar{\Gamma}$ are finite. From eq.(13.205) and eq.(13.206), we can see that we only introduce three known parameters which are $\sqrt{Z}$, $\sqrt{\tilde{Z}}$ and Z_g. Therefore, quantum gauge general relativity is a renormalizable theory.

From eq.(13.206) and eqs.(13.89 - 13.90), we can deduce that the renormalized generating functional of regular vertex satisfies Ward-Takahashi identities,

$$\partial^{\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} = \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}}{\delta \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}(x)}, \tag{13.210}$$

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4 x \left\{ \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}}{\delta K^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}}{\delta C^{\alpha}_{\mu}(x)} + \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}}{\delta L_{\alpha}(x)} \frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}}{\delta \eta^{\alpha}(x)} \right\} = 0. \tag{13.211}$$

It means that the renormalized theory has the structure of gauge symmetry. If we define

$$C^{\alpha}_{0\mu} = \sqrt{Z} C^{\alpha}_{\mu}, \tag{13.212}$$

$$\eta^{\alpha}_{0} = \sqrt{\tilde{Z}} \eta^{\alpha}, \tag{13.213}$$

$$\bar{\eta}_{0\alpha} = \sqrt{\tilde{Z}} \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}, \tag{13.214}$$

$$K^{\mu}_{0\alpha} = \sqrt{\tilde{Z}} K^{\mu}_{\alpha}, \tag{13.215}$$

$$L_{0\alpha} = \sqrt{Z} L_{\alpha}, \tag{13.216}$$

$$g_0 = Z_g g, \tag{13.217}$$

$$\alpha_0 = Z \alpha. \tag{13.218}$$

Therefore, eqs.(13.205 - 13.206) are changed into

$$\tilde{S} [C^{\alpha}_{\mu}, \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}, \eta^{\alpha}, K^{\mu}_{\alpha}, L_{\alpha}, g, \alpha] = \tilde{S}^{[0]} [C^{\alpha}_{0\mu}, \bar{\eta}_{0\alpha}, \eta^{\alpha}_{0}, K^{\mu}_{0\alpha}, L_{0\alpha}, g_0, \alpha_0], \tag{13.219}$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}[C^{\alpha}_{\mu}, \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}, \eta^{\alpha}, K^{\mu}_{\alpha}, L_{\alpha}, g, \alpha] = \bar{\Gamma}^{[0]}[C^{\alpha}_{0\mu}, \bar{\eta}_{0\alpha}, \eta^{\alpha}_{0}, K^{\mu}_{0\alpha}, L_{0\alpha}, g_0, \alpha_0]. \tag{13.220}$$

$C^{\alpha}_{0\mu}$, $\bar{\eta}_{0\alpha}$ and η^{α}_{0} are renormalized wave function, $K^{\mu}_{0\alpha}$ and $L_{0\alpha}$ are renormalized external sources, and g_0 is the renormalized gravitational coupling constant.

The action $\tilde{S}$ which is given by eq.(13.219) is invariant under the following generalized BRST transformations,

$$\delta C^{\alpha}_{0\mu} = -\mathbf{D}^{\alpha}_{0\mu\ \beta} \eta^{\beta}_{0} \delta\lambda, \tag{13.221}$$

$$\delta \eta^{\alpha}_{0} = g_0 \eta^{\sigma}_{0} (\partial_{\sigma} \eta^{\alpha}_{0}) \delta\lambda, \tag{13.222}$$

$$\delta \bar{\eta}_{0\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \eta_{\alpha\beta} f^{\beta}_{0} \delta\lambda, \tag{13.223}$$

$$\delta\eta^{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{13.224}$$

where,

$$\mathbf{D}^{\alpha}_{0\mu\beta} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}\partial_{\mu} - g_0\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}C^{\sigma}_{0\mu}\partial_{\sigma} + g_0(\partial_{\beta}C^{\alpha}_{0\mu}), \tag{13.225}$$

$$f^{\alpha}_{0} = \partial^{\mu}C^{\alpha}_{0\mu}. \tag{13.226}$$

Therefore, the normalized action has generalized BRST symmetry, which means that the normalized theory has the structure of gauge theory.

14 Classical Tests of Quantum Gauge general Relativity

In the above chapters, the quantum gravity is formulated in the traditional framework of quantum field theory, i.e., the physical space-time is always flat and the space-time metric is always selected to be the Minkowski metric. In this picture, gravity is treated as physical interactions in flat physical space-time. Our gravitational gauge transformation does not act on physical space-time coordinates, but act on physical fields, so gravitational gauge transformation does not affect the structure of physical space-time. This is the physics picture of gravity.

According to above discussions, there is another picture of gravity which is widely used in Einstein's general relativity, i.e., the geometrical picture of gravity. For gravitational gauge theory, if we treat G^{α}_{μ} (or $G^{-1\mu}_{\alpha}$) as a fundamental physical input of the theory, we can also set up the geometrical picture of gravity[46]. For gravitational gauge theory, the geometrical nature of gravity essentially originates from the geometrical nature of the gravitational gauge transformation. In the geometrical picture of gravity, gravity is not treated as a kind of physical interactions, but is treated as the geometry of space-time. So, there is no physical gravitational interactions in space-time and space-time is curved if gravitational field does not vanish. In this case, the space-time metric is not Minkowski metric, but $g^{\alpha\beta}$ (or $g_{\alpha\beta}$). In other words, Minkowski metric is the space-time metric if we discuss gravity in physics picture of gravity while metric tensor $g^{\alpha\beta}$ (or $g_{\alpha\beta}$) is space-time metric if we discuss gravity in geometrical picture of gravity. So, if we use Minkowski metric in our discussion, it means that we are in physics picture of gravity; if we use metric tensor $g^{\alpha\beta}$ (or $g_{\alpha\beta}$) in our discussion, it means that we are in geometrical picture of gravity.

In one picture, gravity is treated as physical interactions, while in another picture, gravity is treated as geometry of space-time. But we know that there is only one physics for gravity, so two pictures of gravity must be equivalent. This equivalence means that the nature of gravity is physics-geometry duality, i.e., gravity is a kind of physical interactions which has the characteristics of geometry; it is also a geometry of space-time which is essentially a kind of physical interactions.

When we discuss quantization and renormalization of quantum gauge general relativity, it is better to perform all discussions in physics picture of gravity; but when we discuss classical effects of gravity, it's convenient for us to perform our discussions in geometrical picture of gravity. In this chapter, we will discuss classical tests of gravity and our discussions are in geometrical picture of gravity.

In quantum gauge general relativity, the field equation of gravitational gauge field is the same as that of the Einstein's field equation. It is known that, the Einstein's field equation for empty space has Schwarzschild solution, it is expected that the field equation of gravitational gauge field in empty space should also have Schwarzschild solution. In spherical coordinate system (t, r, θ, ϕ), the simplest form of gravitational gauge field which has spherical symmetry is

$$C_\mu^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} u(r) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v(r) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{14.1}$$

We can prove that, when we select

$$gu(r) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2GM}{r}}}, \tag{14.2}$$

$$gv(r) = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2GM}{r}}, \tag{14.3}$$

gravitational gauge field C_μ^α given by (14.1) is just the solution of field equation (5.74) for pure gravitational gauge field. (14.1) is just the Schwarzschild solution in quantum gauge general relativity. Using relation (5.20), we can get

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 + \frac{2GM}{r} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1-\frac{2GM}{r}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r^2 \sin^2\theta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{14.4}$$

which is the standard Schwarzschild solution in general relativity. We can easily check that (14.4) is the solution to the field equation (5.97) when $T^{\alpha\beta}$ vanishes.

As we have stated above, in the geometrical picture of gravity, gravity is not treated as physical interactions in space-time. In the geometrical picture of gravity, if there is no other physical interactions in space time, any mass point can not feel any physical forces when it moves in space-time. So, it must move along the curve which has the least length. Suppose that a particle is moving from point A to point B along a curve. Define

$$T_{BA} = \int_A^B \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu}\frac{\mathrm{d}x^\mu}{\mathrm{d}p}\frac{\mathrm{d}x^\nu}{\mathrm{d}p}}\mathrm{d}p, \tag{14.5}$$

where p is a parameter that describe the orbit. The real curve that the particle moving along corresponds to the minimum of T_{AB}. The minimum of T_{AB} gives out the following geodesic curve equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2x^\mu}{\mathrm{d}p^2} + \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda}\frac{\mathrm{d}x^\nu}{\mathrm{d}p}\frac{\mathrm{d}x^\lambda}{\mathrm{d}p} = 0, \tag{14.6}$$

where $\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda}$ is the affine connection defined by equation (5.33). Eq.(14.6) gives out the curve that a free particle moves along in curved space-time if we discuss physics in the geometrical picture of gravity.

Combine Schwarzschild solution (14.4) with the geodesic curve equation (14.6), theoretical predictions on classical tests of gravity can be obtained. Details on this calculation can be found in literature [43, 44] and many other standard textbook on general relativity. Here, I only list the final results. For the deflection of light by sun, the theoretical prediction is

$$\Delta\varphi = \frac{4GM}{r_0}, \tag{14.7}$$

where M is the mass of the sun and r_0 is the distance of closest approach to the sun. For the procession of perihelia, the theoretical prediction is

$$\Delta\varphi = \frac{6\pi GM}{L}, \tag{14.8}$$

where L is the semilatus rectum. For the time delay of radar echo, the theoretical prediction is

$$(\Delta t)_{max} \simeq 4GM\left\{1 + \ln\left(\frac{4r_1r_2}{R_0^2}\right)\right\}, \tag{14.9}$$

where r_1 and r_2 are the distances from the center of the sun to the radar antenna on earth, and the point on Mercury's surface closest to the earth, and R_0 is the radius of the sun.

For the precession of orbiting gyroscope, quantum gauge general relativity should also give out the same theoretical predictions as that of Einstein's general relativity. In fact, quantum gauge general relativity and Einstein's general relativity should have the same classical effects of gravitational interactions, and they should give out the same theoretical predictions on any phenomenon which belongs to the classical effects of gravitational interactions, they have the same post-Newtonian approximation.

Robertson-Walker metric is a solution of Einstein's field equation, so it is also the solution of the field equation of gravitational gauge field in quantum gauge general relativity. It is known that, in general relativity, the cosmological model is dominated by classical gravitational interactions. Because quantum gauge general relativity has the fundamental laws of classical gravitational interactions as those of general relativity, so it will give out the same cosmological model as that of general relativity. All traditional calculations on cosmological model can be copied to the present model without any changes on the final results.

15 Quantum Effects of Gravitational Interactions

Quantum gauge general relativity can not only explain classical effects of gravitational interactions, but also explain quantum effects of gravitational interactions. Up to now, we know that there are two quantum effects of gravitational interactions which had already been detected by experiments, that is, gravitational phase effects and gravitational shielding effects. In this chapter, we will discuss these two effects.

First, let's discuss gravitational phase effects. According to above discussions, the equation of motion of a Dirac particle in gravitational field is

$$(\gamma^\mu D_\mu + m)\psi = 0. \tag{15.1}$$

If electromagnetic interactions are considered, this equation of motion will be changed into

$$[\gamma^\mu (D_\mu - ieA_\mu) + m]\psi = 0. \tag{15.2}$$

Its non-relativistic limit gives out

$$\begin{aligned} iD_0\Psi \;=\; & \Big[\tfrac{1}{2m}(-i\vec{D} - e\vec{A})^2 + mgC_0^0 - eA_0 \\ & -\tfrac{g}{2}\vec{\Sigma}\cdot\vec{B}^0 + \tfrac{e}{2m}\vec{\Sigma}\cdot\vec{B}_e + \tfrac{ig}{2}\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{E}^0 - \tfrac{ie}{2m}\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{E}_e\Big]\Psi, \end{aligned} \tag{15.3}$$

where

$$\alpha^i = -\gamma^0\gamma^i, \tag{15.4}$$

$$E_i = F_{0i} = E_i^\alpha \hat{P}_\alpha, \tag{15.5}$$

$$E_{ei} = A_{0i}, \tag{15.6}$$

$$B_i = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ijk}F_{jk} = B_i^\alpha \hat{P}_\alpha, \tag{15.7}$$

$$B_{ei} = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ijk}A_{jk}. \tag{15.8}$$

In equation (15.3), the term mgC_0^0 gives out the gravitational phase effects detected by COW experiments[36, 37, 38]. For COW experiments, the phase difference given this term is

$$\delta\phi = -\left[\frac{4\pi\lambda g m^2}{h^2}L^2\tan\theta\right]\sin\alpha, \tag{15.9}$$

where λ is the wave length, g gravitational acceleration, m is the mass of test particle (for COW experiments, m is the mass of neutron), $2L$ is the distance between the first and the last crystal plate, θ is the Bragg angle of the crystal and α is the angle between the neutron interferometer and the horizontal plane. This result is strictly confirmed by COW experiments[36, 37, 38].

Another quantum effect of gravitational interactions is gravitational shielding effects which is first detected by E.E.Podkletnov and R.Nieminen in 1992. In Podkletnov experiments, a specially made ceramic superconductor with composite structure has revealed weak shielding properties against gravitational force. From the point of view of quantum gauge theory of gravity, the nature of gravitational shielding effects is exponentially decreasing of gravitational field in inhomogeneous vacuum of scalar field after spontaneous symmetry breaking[42].

In gauge theory of gravity, the lagrangian that describes the gravitational gauge interactions of complex scalar field is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_0 \;=\; & -\eta^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\phi)(D_\nu\phi)^* - V(\phi) \\ & -\tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha F_{\rho\sigma}^\beta \\ & -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}G_\beta^{-1\nu}G_\alpha^{-1\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha F_{\rho\sigma}^\beta \\ & +\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}G_\alpha^{-1\nu}G_\beta^{-1\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha F_{\rho\sigma}^\beta,\end{aligned} \tag{15.10}$$

where $V(\phi)$ is the potential of scalar field

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\mu^2|\phi|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}|\phi|^4, \qquad (\lambda > 0). \tag{15.11}$$

The full Lagrangian of the system is

$$\mathcal{L} = J(C) \cdot \mathcal{L}_0, \tag{15.12}$$

When $\mu^2 < 0$, the origin $\phi = 0$ will become a local maximum and the symmetry of the system will be spontaneously broken. After symmetry breaking, the physical vacuum moves to ϕ_0

$$|\phi_0| = \sqrt{-\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}}. \tag{15.13}$$

ϕ_0 is the vacuum of the complex scalar field, generally speaking, it is required that ϕ_0 is even distributed in microscopic scale, so that there is no quantum excitation, otherwise ϕ_0 is not a classical state, but a quantum state. But on the other hand, $|\phi_0|^2$ represents Cooper pairs density in superconductor, and generally speaking, Cooper pairs density is not a constant in macroscopic scale, so ϕ_0 can not be a constant in macroscopic scale. So, in this paper, our basic hypothesis on the physical vacuum ϕ_0 is that it is a classical state, it is even distributed or a constant in microscopic scale and it is a function of space-time coordinates in macroscopic scale. In a word,

$$\phi_0 = \phi_0(x). \tag{15.14}$$

ϕ_0 is a classical state, it has no quantum excitation, though it is space-time dependent. After symmetry breaking, the scalar field becomes

$$\phi(x) = \varphi(x) + \phi_0. \tag{15.15}$$

$\varphi(x)$ is the quantum scalar field after symmetry breaking, which represents the small perturbations around physical vacuum ϕ_0.

After symmetry breaking, lagrangian becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 \; = \; & -\eta^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\varphi)(D_\nu\varphi)^* - \eta^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\varphi)(D_\nu\phi_0)^* - \eta^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\varphi)^*(D_\nu\phi_0) \\ & -\eta^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\phi_0)(D_\nu\phi_0)^* - V(\varphi) - \tfrac{1}{16}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}G_\beta^{-1\nu}G_\alpha^{-1\sigma}F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}G_\alpha^{-1\nu}G_\beta^{-1\sigma}F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}F^\beta_{\rho\sigma}, \end{aligned} \tag{15.16}$$

where $V(\varphi)$ is the potential of scalar field

$$V(\varphi) = \frac{\lambda}{4}|\varphi|^4 + \frac{\lambda}{2}|\varphi|^2(\varphi^*\phi_0 + \varphi\phi_0^*) - \frac{\mu^2}{2}|\varphi|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}(\varphi^{*2}\phi_0^2 + \varphi^2\phi_0^{*2}) - \frac{\mu^4}{4\lambda}. \tag{15.17}$$

There are two important properties which could be seen from the above lagrangian. Firstly, when the vacuum ϕ_0 is space-time dependent, it can directly couple to quantum field φ. In other words, in the place where $\partial_\mu \phi_0$ does not vanish, vacuum state ϕ_0 can directly excite quantum scalar state, so it can be considered to be an external source of scalar field. Secondly, when the vacuum ϕ_0 is space-time dependent, it will couple to gravitational gauge field. But this interaction is completely in the classical level. In other words, it is also an external source of gravitational gauge field.

The field equation of gravitational gauge field in the superconductor is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu (& \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma} g_{\alpha\beta} F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho} F^{\mu}_{\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho} F^{\nu}_{\rho\alpha} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\rho}\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha} F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta} + \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha} F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta}) = -g T^{\nu}_{g\alpha}, \end{aligned} \tag{15.18}$$

where $T^{\nu}_{g\alpha}$ is the gravitational energy-momentum tensor

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\nu}_{g\alpha} \;=\; & \eta^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\varphi^*)\partial_\alpha\varphi + \eta^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\phi_0^*)\partial_\alpha\varphi + \eta^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\varphi)\partial_\alpha\varphi^* + \eta^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\phi_0)\partial_\alpha\varphi^* \\ & +\eta^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\varphi^*)\partial_\alpha\phi_0 + \eta^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\phi_0^*)\partial_\alpha\phi_0 + \eta^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\varphi)\partial_\alpha\phi_0^* + \eta^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\phi_0)\partial_\alpha\phi_0^* \\ & -\tfrac{\partial\mathcal{L}_0}{\partial D_\nu C^{\beta}_{\mu}}\partial_\alpha C^{\beta}_{\mu} + G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}\mathcal{L}_0 - G^{-1\lambda}_{\beta}(\partial_\mu C^{\beta}_{\lambda})\tfrac{\partial\mathcal{L}_0}{\partial\partial_\mu C^{\alpha}_{\nu}} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\partial_\mu(g_{\alpha\beta}C^{\mu}_{\lambda}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}) - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}\partial_\beta(C^{\sigma}_{\lambda}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}) + \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\partial_\alpha(C^{\sigma}_{\lambda}G^{-1\lambda}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}) \\ & +\tfrac{1}{4g}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\partial_\mu[(G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\sigma}_{\alpha}G^{\mu}_{\lambda} - \delta^{\nu}_{\beta}\delta^{\sigma}_{\alpha}\delta^{\mu}_{\lambda})F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}] \\ & -\tfrac{1}{2g}\eta^{\lambda\rho}\partial_\mu[(G^{-1\nu}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}G^{\mu}_{\lambda} - \delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}\delta^{\sigma}_{\beta}\delta^{\mu}_{\lambda})F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}] \\ & -\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\kappa\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\gamma}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\kappa\lambda} + \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\kappa\rho}G^{-1\nu}_{\gamma}G^{-1\lambda}_{\alpha}G^{-1\sigma}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\kappa\lambda} \\ & -\tfrac{1}{8}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\lambda\sigma}g_{\alpha\gamma}G^{-1\nu}_{\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma}F^{\gamma}_{\mu\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{15.19}$$

In fact, when we deduce the field equation of gravitational gauge field from least action principle, the original field equation that we obtained is

$$\begin{aligned} & J(C)\cdot\partial_\mu\left(\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}F^{\mu}_{\rho\alpha}\right. \\ & \left.+\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^{\nu}_{\rho\alpha} - \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\rho}\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta} + \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta}\right) \;=\; -gJ(C)T^{\nu}_{g\alpha}, \end{aligned} \tag{15.20}$$

Because $J(C)$ does not vanish, we can be eliminate it from the above equation to obtain the field equation eq.(15.18). Now, in order to obtain correct gravitational shielding effects, we need to start our discussions directly from eq.(15.20), which can be changed into another form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_\mu \left(\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}F^{\mu}_{\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^{\nu}_{\rho\alpha} - \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\rho}\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta} + \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta} \right) \\
= \;& -gJ(C)T^{\nu}_{g\alpha} + (1-J(C))\partial_\mu \left(\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}F^{\mu}_{\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^{\nu}_{\rho\alpha} \right. \\
&\left. -\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\rho}\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta} + \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{15.21}$$

The equation of motion of complex scalar field is

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta^{\mu\nu}D_\mu D_\nu\varphi - \tfrac{\mu^2}{2}\varphi \;=\;& -\eta^{\lambda\nu}(\partial_\mu G^{\mu}_{\lambda})D_\nu\varphi - \eta^{\mu\nu}D_\mu D_\nu\phi_0 \\
& -\eta^{\lambda\nu}(\partial_\mu G^{\mu}_{\lambda})D_\nu\phi_0 - g\eta^{\mu\nu}G^{-1\kappa}_{\alpha}(D_\mu C^{\alpha}_{\kappa})D_\nu\varphi \\
& -g\eta^{\mu\nu}G^{-1\kappa}_{\alpha}(D_\mu C^{\alpha}_{\kappa})D_\nu\phi_0 - \tfrac{\lambda}{2}\varphi^*(\varphi+\phi_0)^2 - \tfrac{\lambda}{2}\varphi^2\phi_0^*.
\end{aligned} \tag{15.22}$$

This field equation can be changed into another form

$$\left(\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu - \frac{\mu^2}{2}\right)\varphi = -\eta^{\mu\nu}D_\mu D_\nu\phi_0 - \eta^{\lambda\nu}(\partial_\mu G^{\mu}_{\lambda})D_\nu\phi_0 - g\eta^{\mu\nu}G^{-1\kappa}_{\alpha}(D_\mu C^{\alpha}_{\kappa})D_\nu\phi_0 + \cdots. \tag{15.23}$$

From the right hand side of the above equation, we can see that when the vacuum of the complex scalar field is not a constant, it will become source of quantum scalar field φ. In other words, inhomogeneous vacuum will excite quantum states.

After some complicated calculations, the field equation (15.21) can be changed into the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_\mu \left(\tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta}F^{\beta}_{\rho\sigma} - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\rho}F^{\mu}_{\rho\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\rho}F^{\nu}_{\rho\alpha} - \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\rho}\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta} + \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{\nu\rho}\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\rho\beta} \right) \\
= \;& -gN^{\nu}_{\alpha} + g^2 M^{\nu\mu}_{\alpha\beta}C^{\beta}_{\mu} + \cdots,
\end{aligned} \tag{15.24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
N^{\nu}_{\alpha} \;=\;& \delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}[-\eta^{\mu\lambda}(\partial_\mu\phi_0)(\partial_\lambda\phi_0^*) + \tfrac{\mu^4}{4\lambda}] \\
& +\eta^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu\phi_0)(\partial_\alpha\phi_0^*) + \eta^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu\phi_0^*)(\partial_\alpha\phi_0),
\end{aligned} \tag{15.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{\nu\mu}_{\alpha\beta} \;=\;& (\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha}\delta^{\nu}_{\beta} + \delta^{\mu}_{\beta}\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha})[\eta^{\rho\lambda}(\partial_\rho\phi_0)(\partial_\lambda\phi_0^*) - \tfrac{\mu^4}{4\lambda}] \\
& +(\eta^{\mu\nu}\delta^{\lambda}_{\beta} - \eta^{\lambda\nu}\delta^{\mu}_{\beta})[(\partial_\lambda\phi_0)(\partial_\alpha\phi_0^*) + (\partial_\lambda\phi_0^*)(\partial_\alpha\phi_0)] \\
& -\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}\eta^{\mu\lambda}[(\partial_\lambda\phi_0)(\partial_\beta\phi_0^*) + (\partial_\lambda\phi_0^*)(\partial_\beta\phi_0)].
\end{aligned} \tag{15.26}$$

From above expressions, all terms in N^{ν}_{α} and $M^{\nu\mu}_{\alpha\beta}$ are classical quantities, so N^{ν}_{α} and $M^{\nu\mu}_{\alpha\beta}$ themselves are classical quantities. Therefore, the first two terms of the right hand side of eq.(15.24) do not represent interaction terms. The first term is the source of gravity in superconductor, and the second term is mass term of gravitational gauge field. In fact, from eq.(15.25), we can see that N^{ν}_{α} is just the energy-momentum tensor of the vacuum of complex scalar field, which is the source of gravitational gauge field. But, because the coupling constant of gravitational interactions is extremely small and the total energy in a superconductor is finite, the magnitude of total gravitational gauge field generated by energy-momentum of the superconductor is many orders smaller than that of the gravitational gauge field generated by the earth. In experiments, we can neglect the gravity generated by the superconductor itself. So, N^{ν}_{α} has no contribution to gravitational shielding effects. We neglect this term for the moment.

Let's turn to the second term of the right hand side of eq.(15.24). It is a mass term, but it is not a constant mass term, so it is a variable mass term or local mass term. At different positions, $M^{\nu\mu}_{\alpha\beta}$ has different values. It is known that, in vacuum space, graviton is massless and gravitational force are long range force. But in superconductor, gravitational gauge field obtain a small mass term, so in superconductor, gravitational force decreased exponentially, which is the nature of gravitational shielding effects.

Selecting harmonic gauge (11.3), equation (15.24) can be changed into

$$\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\eta_{\alpha\beta}\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}C^{\beta}_{\sigma} + \frac{1}{4}\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}C^{\nu}_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{4}\delta^{\nu}_{\alpha}\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}C^{\beta}_{\beta} = g^2 M^{\nu\mu}_{\alpha\beta}C^{\beta}_{\mu} + \cdots . \tag{15.27}$$

For earth's gravitational gauge field, in post-Nowtonian approximation, we have the following leading order results

$$\begin{aligned} gC^0_0 &= \phi_e = -\tfrac{GM}{r} \\ gC^i_j &= -\delta^i_j \phi_e, \end{aligned} \tag{15.28}$$

where ϕ_e is the gravitational potential generated by earth. For Podkletnov experiments, the dominant component of earth's gravitational gauge field is C^0_0, which corresponds to classical Newton's gravity. So, in order to explain gravitational shielding effects quantitatively, we need to study the propagation of gravitational gauge field C^0_0 in superconductor. For earth's gravitational field C^{α}_{μ}, it is static, so we can set all time derivative of C^{α}_{μ} to zero. From field equation (15.27), the following field equation can be obtained

$$\nabla^2\phi_e = g^2(M^{00}_{00} - M^{0i}_{0i})\phi_e, \tag{15.29}$$

where

$$M_{00}^{00} = 2|\nabla\phi_0|^2 + 2V(\phi_0), \tag{15.30}$$

$$M_{0i}^{0i} = |\nabla\phi_0|^2 - |\dot{\phi}_0|^2 + V(\phi_0), \tag{15.31}$$

$$M_{00}^{00} - M_{0i}^{0i} = |\nabla\phi_0|^2 + |\dot{\phi}_0|^2 + V(\phi_0), \tag{15.32}$$

and the contribution from N_0^0 is neglected. Now, the mass term becomes very simple form. In ordinary superconductor, the Cooper pair density is almost a constant and space gradient of ϕ_0 is almost zero. In this case,

$$M_{00}^{00} = V(\phi_0) = -\frac{\mu^4}{4\lambda}. \tag{15.33}$$

After omitting contribution from N_0^0, the field equation (15.29) becomes

$$\nabla^2\phi_e = -\frac{g^2\mu^4}{4\lambda}\phi_e. \tag{15.34}$$

Selecting spherical coordinate system. For the earth's gravitational field, ϕ_e is approximately a function of r coordinate. In this case, the general solution of eq. (15.34) is

$$\phi_e(r) = \frac{c_1}{r}\cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{g^2\mu^4}{4\lambda}}(r-r_0)\right) + \frac{c_2}{r}\sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{g^2\mu^4}{4\lambda}}(r-r_0)\right), \tag{15.35}$$

where r_0 is the position of the lower surface of superconductor. Generally speaking, $\frac{g^2\mu^4}{4\lambda}$ is a extremely small quantity, in a small region of ordinary superconductor, we have

$$\phi_e(r) \approx \frac{c_1}{r}. \tag{15.36}$$

That is, ordinary superconductor almost have no effects on earth's gravitational field, or it shows no gravitational shielding effects. But for Podkletnov experiment, ϕ_0 is not a constant, $|\nabla\phi_0|^2$ and $|\dot{\phi}_0|^2$ are many orders larger than $2V(\phi_0)$, so the dominant contribution of M_{00}^{00} is $|\nabla\phi_0|^2 + |\dot{\phi}_0|^2$ and it becomes a positive quantity. In this case, $|\nabla\phi_0|^2 + |\dot{\phi}_0|^2$ is not a constant. Denote $g^2(M_{00}^{00} - M_{0i}^{0i})$ by m_g^2

$$m_g^2 = g^2(M_{00}^{00} - M_{0i}^{0i}) = g^2\left(|\nabla\phi_0|^2 + |\dot{\phi}_0|^2\right), \tag{15.37}$$

and omit the influence from N_0^0, then the above field equation (15.27) becomes

$$\nabla^2\phi_e = m_g^2\phi_e. \tag{15.38}$$

The general solution of the above equation is

$$\phi_e(r) = c_1 \frac{e^{-m_g(r-r_0)}}{r} + c_2 \frac{e^{m_g(r-r_0)}}{r}. \tag{15.39}$$

In Podkletnov experiment, c_2 vanishes and earth's gravitational field decrease exponentially

$$\phi_e(r) = c_1 \frac{e^{-m_g(r-r_0)}}{r}. \tag{15.40}$$

That is, the earth's gravitational field decrease exponentially in inhomogeneous superconductor. Suppose that the thickness of the gravitational shielding region is Δr_0 and m_g denotes the average value of the mass of graviton in this region, then the relative gravity loss in the upper surface of the superconducting disk is

$$\varepsilon \approx m_g \Delta r_0. \tag{15.41}$$

The Newton's gravitational constant is

$$G = \frac{g^2}{4\pi}, \tag{15.42}$$

so

$$m_g^2 = 4\pi G(|\nabla \phi_0|^2 + |\ \dot{\phi}_0\ |^2). \tag{15.43}$$

Obviously, increase space gradient or un-stability of ϕ_0 will increase m_g, and therefore increase gravitational shielding effects. According to this spirit, the key structure of the ceramic superconductor disk in Podkletnov experiment is that is has three zones with different crystal structure and the gravitational shielding effects mainly come from the transition part of the disk, which is consists of randomly oriented grains with typical sizes between 5 and 15 μm. The key step in the experiment is the rotation of the disk and strong disturbance from outside high frequency magnetic field. In the experiment, the upper layer of the disk is superconducting, while the lower layer is not. There is a transition region between the tow layers. The upper part of the transition region is partially in superconducting, some part of the transition region is critical, while the lower part of the transition region is not superconducting. Because of the granular structure of the lower part, the Cooper pair density is strongly inhomogeneous, the supercurrent is strongly unstable and the space gradient of ϕ_0 will be large. The supercurrent is disturbed by a high frequency magnetic field, which will increase the time derivative of ϕ_0. A rough estimation shows that the present model can semi-quantitatively explain the gravitational shielding effects in Podkletnov experiments[42].

16 Discussions

In this paper, a renormalizable quantum gauge general relativity is formulated in the framework of quantum gauge theory of gravity, where gravity is treated as a kind of physical interactions and space-time is always kept flat. This treatment satisfies the fundamental spirit of traditional quantum field theory, and go along this way, four different kinds of fundamental interactions can be unified on the same fundamental baseline[31, 32, 33]. The most advantage of this approach is that the renormalizability of the quantum gravity is easy to be proved. Its transcendental foundation is gauge principle, not equivalence principle and the principle of general covariance. Gravitational gauge interactions is determined by gauge symmetry. In other words, the Lagrangian of the system is determined by gauge symmetry. Using the langurage of Cartan tetrad, we set up the geometrical formulation of this new quantum gauge general relativity and to study its geometrical foundation. So, gravity theory has both physical picture and geometrical picture, which is the reflection of the physics-geometry duality of gravity. Finally, we give a simple summary to the whole theory.

1. In leading order approximation, the quantum gauge general relativity gives out classical Newton's theory of gravity.
2. In quantum gauge general relativity, the field equation of the gravitational gauge field is just the Einstein's field equation. In classical level, gauge general relativity is the same as that of the Einstein's general relativity.
3. For classical tests of gravity, such as the gravitational red-shift, the perihelion procession, deflection of light, time delay of radar echo and the procession of a gyroscope, the gauge general relativity gives out the same theoretical predictions as that of the Einstein's general relativity. It also gives out the same cosmological model as that of the Einstein's general relativity.
4. quantum gauge general relativity is a perturbatively renormalizable quantum gravity.
5. Four different kinds of fundamental interactions can be easily unified in a simple and beautiful way in quantum gauge general relativity.
6. Quantum gauge general relativity can also explain quantum effects of gravitational interactions, such as gravitational phase effects and gravitational shielding effects.

[**acknowledgement**] The authors would like to express his sincere gratitude to Prof. Dahua Zhang for some constructive discussions on this topic.

References

[1] Isaac Newton, *Mathematical Principles of Natural Philosophy*, (Camgridge University Press, 1934) .

[2] Albert Einstein, Annalen der Phys., **49** (1916) 769 .

[3] Albert Einstein, Zeits. Math. und Phys. **62** (1913) 225.

[4] Albert Einstein, "Naeherungsweise Integration der Feldgleichungen der Gracitation", Preussische Akademie der Wissenschaften (Berlin) Sitzungsberichte, pg 688 (1916) .

[5] G.Amelino-Camelia, Nature, **408** (2000) 661-664

[6] M.Tegmark and J.A.Wheeler, Sci.Am. **284** (2001) 68-75

[7] H.Weyl, in *Raum. Zeit. Materia,* 3rd ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1920)

[8] H.Weyl, *Gravitation and Elektrizitat.* Sitzungsber. Akademie der Wissenschaften Berlin, 465-480(1918). Siehe auch die *Gesammelten Abhandlungen.* 6 Vols. Ed. K.Chadrasekharan, Springer-Verlag.

[9] V.Fock, Zeit. f. Physik, **39** (1927) 226.

[10] H.Weyl, Zeit. f. Physik, **56** (1929) 330.

[11] W.Pauli, *Handbuch der physik, Geiger and scheel, 2, Aufl.,* Vol. 24, Teil **1** pp. 83-272.

[12] C.N.Yang, R.L.Mills, Phys Rev **96** (1954) 191 .

[13] S.Glashow, Nucl Phys **22**(1961) 579 .

[14] S.Weinberg, Phys Rev Lett **19** (1967) 1264 .

[15] A.Salam, in Elementary Particle Theory, eds.N.Svartho . Forlag, Stockholm,1968).

[16] R.Utiyama, Phys.Rev.**101** (1956) 1597.

[17] A.Brodsky, D.Ivanenko and G. Sokolik, JETPH 41 (1961)1307; Acta Phys.Hung. **14** (1962) 21.

[18] T.W.Kibble, J.Math.Phys. **2** (1961) 212.

[19] D.Ivanenko and G.Sardanashvily, Phys.Rep. **94** (1983) 1.

[20] F.W.Hehl, J.D.McCrea, E.W.Mielke and Y.Ne'eman Phys.Rep. **258** (1995) 1-171

[21] F.W.Hehl, P. Von Der Heyde, G.D.Kerlick, J.M.Nester Rev.Mod.Phys. **48** (1976) 393-416

[22] F.Gronwald and F.W.Hehl, *On the Gauge Aspects of Gravity*, gr-qc/9602013

[23] F.Brandt, Phys.Rev. D64 (2001) 065025

[24] Rolando Gaitan D., *A possible gauge formulation for gravity?*, gr-qc/0109079

[25] M.Botta Cantcheef, *General Relativity as a (constrained) Yang-Mills's theory*, gr-qc/0010080

[26] R.Magnani, E.Pessa, G.Resconi, Gen.Rel.Grav. **29**: (1997) 1049.

[27] Ning Wu, "Gauge Theory of Gravity", hep-th/0109145

[28] Ning Wu, Commun. Theor. Phys. (Beijing, China) **38** (2002): 151-156.

[29] Ning Wu, "Quantum Gauge Theory of Gravity", hep-th/0112062

[30] Ning Wu, "Quantum Gauge Theory of Gravity", talk given at Meeting of the Devision of Particles and Fields of American Physical Society at the College of William & Mery(DPF2002), May 24-28, 2002, Williamsburg, Virgia, USA; hep-th/0207254; Transparancy can be obtained from: http://dpf2002.velopers.net/talks_pdf/33talk.pdf

[31] Ning Wu, Commun. Theor. Phys. (Beijing, China) **38** (2002): 322-326.

[32] Ning Wu, Commun. Theor. Phys. (Beijing, China) **38** (2002): 455-460.

[33] Ning Wu, Commun. Theor. Phys. (Beijing, China) **39** (2003): 561-568.

[34] Ning Wu, Commun.Theor.Phys., (Beijing, China) **36**(2001) 169-172.

[35] Ning Wu, Commun. Theor. Phys. (Beijing, China) **39** (2003): 671-674.

[36] R.Colella, A.W.Overhauser and S.A.Werner, Phys.Rev.Lett. (1975):1472-1474.

[37] S.A.Werner, R.Colella and A.W.Overhauser, Phys.Rev.Lett. (1975): 1053-1055.

[38] A.W.Overhauser and R.Colella, Phys.Rev.Lett. (1974):1237-1239.

[39] E.Podkletnov and R.Nieminen, Physica **C 203** (1992) 271.

[40] E. Podkletnov, *Weak gravitational shielding properties of composite bulk* $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$ *superconductor below 70 K under electro-magnetic field*, report MSU-chem 95, cond-mat/9701074.

[41] G.Modanese, Europhys. Lett. **35** (1996) 413; G.Modanese, Phys. Rev. **D 54** (1996) 5002; G.Modanese and J.Schnurer, *Possible quantum gravity effects in a charged Bose condensate under variable e.m. field*, report UTH-391/96, gr-qc/9612022.

[42] Ning Wu, *Gravitational Shielding Effects in Gauge theory of Gravity*, hep-th/0307225.

[43] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity*, (John Wiley, New York, 1972).

[44] N. Straumann, *General Relativity and Relativistic Astrophysics*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo, 1984).

[45] Ning Wu, *Problems on Foundations of General Relativity*, hep-th/0303258.

[46] Ning Wu, Dahua Zhang and Tu-nan Ruan, "Differential Geometrical Formulation of Gravitational Gauge Theory of Gravity" hep-th/0201131

[47] L.Fadeev and V.N.Popov, Phys. Letters, **25B** (1967),29

[48] G. 't-Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B33** (1971) 173.

[49] G. 't-Hooft and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. **B50** (1972) 318.

[50] B.W.Lee and J. Zinn-Justin, Phys. Rev. **D5** (1972) 3121, 3137.

[51] B.W.Lee and J. Zinn-Justin, Phys. Rev. **D7** (1973) 1049.

[52] B.W.Lee and J. Zinn-Justin, Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 933.

[53] J. Zinn-Justin, *Renormalization of Gauge Theories*, *Lectures at the* 1974 *Bonn int. Summer Inst. for Theoretical physics.*

[54] B.W.Lee *in Methods in Field Theory* (North-Holland, 1976)

[55] G. 't-Hooft and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. **B44** (1972) 189.

[56] G. 't-Hooft and M. Veltman, *Diagram* (CERN Theoretical Studies Division 1973).